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#### Abstract

We study the $p$-adic analogue of the arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad (GGP) conjectures for unitary groups. Let $\Pi$ be a hermitian cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ over a CM field, which is algebraic of minimal regular weight at infinity. We first show the rationality of twists of the ratio of $L$-values of $\Pi$ appearing in the GGP conjectures. Then, when $\Pi$ is $p$-ordinary at a prime $p$, we construct a cyclotomic $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ interpolating those twists. Finally, under various local assumptions, we prove a precise formula relating the first derivative of $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ to the $p$-adic heights of Selmer classes arising from arithmetic diagonal cycles on unitary Shimura varieties. We deduce applications to the $p$-adic Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjecture for the motive attached to $\Pi$. All proofs are based on some relative-trace formulas in $p$-adic coefficients.
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## 1. Introduction

The pioneering formulas of Gross-Zagier and Perrin-Riou [GZ86, PR87] revealed a remarkable relation between Heegner points and derivatives of complex and $p$-adic $L$-functions. They had immediate applications to the (classical and p-adic) Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures, soon strengthened by the Selmer-group bounds proved by Kolyvagin [Kol88].

A "furtive caress" ${ }^{1}$ between those formulas and one on central $L$-values, by Waldspurger [Wal85b], did not escape Gross; and in [Gro04], he turned it into a representation-theoretic marriage, which would blossom in [YZZ12] (and later $p$-adically in [Dis17]).

The seeds for a new generation were sown in a paper by Gan, Gross, and Prasad [GGP12]. Their influential work conjectured a pair of non-vanishing criteria in the context of embeddings of unitary groups: one for automorphic periods, in terms of Rankin-Selberg $L$-values (generalizing [Wal85b]); and one for algebraic cycles in Shimura varieties, in terms of (complex) $L$-derivatives (the arithmetic GGP conjecture, generalizing [GZ86]).

The conjecture on automorphic periods was refined to an exact formula by Ichino-Ikeda and N . Harris [II10, Har14], and recently proved in this form in [BPLZZ21, BPCZ22]. On the other hand, despite considerable progress (see [Zha] for a review), the arithmetic GGP conjecture remains open outside of cases where it can be reduced to Heegner points [YZZ12, Xue19]. ${ }^{2}$

The purpose of this work is to formulate and, under some local assumptions, prove a $p$-adic variant of the arithmetic GGP conjecture. The result in fact takes the form of a precise formula, in the spirit of [PR87, Dis17, II10, Har14]. It has immediate applications to the $p$-adic Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjecture for the relevant motives, which can be further strengthened by the Selmer bounds recently established in $\left[\mathrm{LTX}^{+} 22\right]$.
(Indeed, one advantage of working in $p$-adic rather than archimedean coefficients is that we obtain a nonvanishing criterion in Selmer groups, rather than Chow groups: while the class map from the latter to the former should be injective, this is not known beyond curves.)

[^1]In the rest of this introduction, we state our main results, discuss their history and context, and give some ideas on the proofs.

In § 1.1, we describe our $p$-adic $L$-function (Theorem B), preceded by a rationality result for twisted Rankin-Selberg $L$-values (Theorem A) that should be of independent interest.

In $\S 1.2$ we state our applications on the the $p$-adic Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjecture (Theorem C; the order of presentation is dictated by ease of exposition rather than logic). In $\S 1.3$ we define the Gan-Gross-Prasad cycles and state our formula for their $p$-adic heights (Theorem D).

In § 1.4, we give a sketch of our methods: inspired by the strategy proposed by JacquetRallis for the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture [JR11], and by one of us [Zha12] for the arithmetic GGP conjecture (in archimedean coefficients), we construct a $p$-adic relative-trace formula from which we extract the $p$-adic $L$-function; then, we compare it to another relative-trace formula encoding the $p$-adic heights of GGP cycles.
1.1. The $p$-adic $L$-function. Let $F_{0}$ be a number field, and denote by $\mathbf{A}$ the adèles of $F_{0}$, by $D_{F_{0}}=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} D_{F_{0, v}}$ be the discriminant of $F_{0}$ (here $D_{F_{0, v}}$ is the norm of the different ideal of $\left.F_{0, v}\right)$. Let $F$ be quadratic extension of $F_{0}$, let $\mathrm{c} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / F_{0}\right)$ be the nontrivial element, and let $\eta: F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times} \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ be the associated quadratic character. Define a reductive group over $F_{0}$ by

$$
\mathrm{G}^{\prime}:=\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F / F_{0}} \mathrm{GL}_{n} \times \operatorname{Res}_{F / F_{0}} \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\right) / \mathrm{GL}_{1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{1},
$$

where $\mathrm{GL}_{1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{1}$ is embedded in the center $\operatorname{Res}_{F / F_{0}}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{1}\right)$ of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. Let $\Pi=\Pi_{n} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1}$ be an (irreducible) automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$. Define ${ }^{3}$ a Rankin-Selberg and an Asai $L$-function ${ }^{4}$ for $\Pi$ and a character $\chi$ of $F^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times}$by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi) & :=L\left(s, \Pi_{n} \times\left(\Pi_{n+1} \otimes \chi \circ \operatorname{Nm}_{F / F_{0}}\right)\right) \\
L\left(s, \Pi, \mathrm{As}^{\star}\right) & :=L\left(s, \Pi_{n}, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{n}}\right) L\left(s, \Pi_{n+1}, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{n+1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi_{\nu}$ is hermitian if $\Pi \circ \mathrm{c} \cong \Pi^{\vee}$ and $L\left(s, \Pi_{\nu}, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{\nu}}\right)$ is regular at $s=1$. We say that $\Pi=\Pi_{n} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1}$ is hermitian if $\Pi_{n}, \Pi_{n+1}$ are. For such a representation $\Pi$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}\left(s, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right):=D_{F_{0, v}}^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} L\left(i, \eta_{v}^{i}\right) \cdot \frac{L\left(s, \Pi_{v} \otimes \chi_{v}\right)}{L\left(1, \Pi_{v}, \mathrm{As}^{\star}\right)}, \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{L}(s, \Pi, \chi):=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} \mathscr{L}\left(s, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right) .
$$

Here, the abelian factor may be interpreted in terms of $L$-values of motives of unitary groups (§ 2.2.1).
1.1.1. Rationality of $\mathscr{L}$. Assume from now on that $F_{0}$ is totally real and $F$ is CM. Let $\arg (z):=$ $z /|z|$ (a character of $\left.\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)$, let $\Pi_{\nu, \mathbf{R}}^{\circ}$ be the representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\nu}(\mathbf{C}) / \mathrm{GL}_{1}(\mathbf{R})$ induced by the

[^2]character $\arg ^{\nu-1} \otimes \arg ^{\nu-3} \otimes \ldots \otimes \arg ^{1-\nu}$ of the torus $\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)^{\nu}$, and define the representation
$$
\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}=\bigotimes_{v \mid \infty} \Pi_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}:=\bigotimes_{v \mid \infty} \Pi_{n, \mathbf{R}}^{\circ} \otimes \Pi_{n+1, \mathbf{R}}^{\circ}
$$
of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, \infty}\right)$. Let us also denote by $\mathbf{1}_{\infty}$ the trivial representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, \infty}\right)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$.
Let $\Pi=\Pi^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty}$ be a representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ on a characteristic-zero field $L$ (admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$ ). We say that $\Pi$ is a trivial-weight (algebraic) cuspidal automorphic representation if for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the representation $\Pi^{\iota}:=\iota \Pi^{\infty} \otimes \Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ is an (irreducible) cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$. (It is known that every cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\Pi_{\infty} \cong \Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ arises in this manner for some number field $L$.) We say that $\Pi$ is hermitian if $\Pi^{\iota}$ is for some (equivalently, every) $\iota$.

We first prove the following strong rationality property for the values of $\mathscr{L}$. For an ideal $m \subset \mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}$, let $Y(m)_{/ \mathbf{Q}}$ be the finite étale scheme of characters of $F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times} / F_{0, \infty}^{\times}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{F_{0}}^{\times} \cap 1+m \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{F_{0}}\right)$. Let $Y:=\underset{\rightarrow}{\lim _{m}} Y(m)$, the ind-finite scheme over $\mathbf{Q}$ of locally constant characters of $F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times} / F_{0, \infty}^{\times}$. Theorem A. Let $\Pi$ be a trivial-weight hermitian cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ defined over a characteristic-zero field $L$. Then there is an element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right) \in \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{L}\right) \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right)=\frac{\mathscr{L}\left(1 / 2, \Pi^{\iota}, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}
$$

for all $\chi \in Y_{L}(\mathbf{C})$ with underlying embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.
For the notation ' $\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}$ ', see Remark 1.2.2.
Remark 1.1.1. For $n=1$, Theorem A is a variant of a classical result of Shimura [Shi78]. A conditional proof of the rationality of $\mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \mathbf{1})$ for a more general class of $\Pi$ was recently obtained by Grobner and Lin [GL21, Theorem C]. (In fact, their rationality result is also a consequence of the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture, but the method of [GL21] is different.) See also [Rag16] for a related result.

Remark 1.1.2. Strictly speaking, all of our main theorems rely on an explicit formula for a certain Rankin-Selberg local integral (Hypothesis 5.2.6), which is expected to be proven in forthcoming work by Li-Liu-Sun [LLS].
1.1.2. $p$-adic interpolation. Fix from now on a rational prime $p$. For $v \mid p$ a place of $F_{0}$, let $N_{v}^{\circ} \subset$ $G_{v}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$ be the subgroup of integral unipotent upper-triangular matrices, and let $T_{v}^{+} \subset G_{v}^{\prime}$ be the monoid of diagonal matrices such that $t N_{v}^{\circ} t^{-1} \subset N_{v}^{\circ}$. Let $\Pi$ be a trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. We say that $\Pi$ is $v$ ordinary if $\Pi_{v}^{N_{v}^{\circ}}$ contains a nonzero vector (necessarily unique up to scalar multiple) on which all the operators $U_{t, v}:=\sum_{x \in N_{v}^{\circ} / t N_{v}^{\circ} t^{-1}}[x t]$, for $t \in T_{v}^{+}$, act by units in $\mathscr{O}_{L}$. We say that $\Pi$ is ordinary if it is $v$-ordinary for all $v \mid p$.

For any finite extension $E / F$, denote $\Gamma_{E}:=E^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{E}^{\infty \times} / \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathscr{O}_{E, w}^{\times}$, and let

$$
\mathscr{Y}:=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{p} \llbracket \Gamma_{F_{0}} \rrbracket \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{p}} \mathbf{Q}_{p} .
$$

We have a natural map $Y\left(p^{\infty}\right):={\underset{\text { lim }}{r}} Y\left(p^{r}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{Y}$.
If $L^{\prime} / L$ is a field extension and $S / L$ is an (ind-) scheme, we denote $S_{L^{\prime}}:=S \times_{\text {Spec } L} \operatorname{Spec} L^{\prime}$.
Theorem B. Let $\Pi$ be an ordinary, hermitian, trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Assume that for each place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$ that does not split in $F$, the representation $\Pi_{v}$ is unramified.

There exists a unique function

$$
\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \in \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right)
$$

whose restriction to $Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)_{L}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)(\chi)=e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi \otimes \chi}\right) \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right) \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ is as in (1.1.2), and $e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi \otimes \chi}\right)=\prod_{v \mid p} e\left(\Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right)$ is the product of the explicit local terms (5.2.9).

Remark 1.1.3. We conjecture that the theorem remains true without the non-ramification condition at nonsplit $p$-adic places.

Remark 1.1.4. We say that $\Pi$ is non-exceptional if $e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \neq 0$. According to Hypothesis 5.2.6, the factor $e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi \otimes \chi}\right)$ is as conjectured by Coates and Perrin-Riou [Coa91]; this implies that if $\Pi_{v}$ is an irreducible principal series for all $v \mid p$, then $\Pi$ is non-exceptional (see Remark 5.2.9).

Remark 1.1.5. Januszewski [Jan16] has proven a variant of Theorem B in a more general context, by the method of modular symbols. Our method is similar locally but very different globally, see § 1.4.2 below.

### 1.2. On the $p$-adic Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjectures for Rankin-Selberg motives.

 Before moving to discuss our main result, we give its main arithmetic application, which can be stated without much further background.Let

$$
\Pi=\Pi_{n} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1}
$$

be a hermitian trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Denote by $G_{F}$ the absolute Galois group of $F$, by $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ an algebraic closure of $L$ and let $\rho_{\Pi_{\nu}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}: G_{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\nu}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$ be the semisimple representation attached to $\Pi_{\nu}$ by the global Langlands correspondence (as described in [Car12, Theorem 1.1]). Assuming that $\varepsilon(\Pi):=\varepsilon\left(1 / 2, \Pi_{n}^{\nu} \times\right.$ $\Pi_{n+1}^{\iota}$ ) $=-1$ for any (equivalently, all) $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we construct a continuous representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\Pi}: G_{F} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n(n+1)}(L) \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose base-change $\rho_{\Pi} \otimes_{L} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ is isomorphic, up to semisimplication, to $\rho_{\Pi_{n}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_{n+1}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}(n)$ (Remark 10.1.3). It satisfies $\rho_{\Pi}^{\mathrm{c}} \cong \rho_{\Pi}^{*}(1)$, where $\rho^{\mathrm{c}}(g):=\rho\left(c^{-1} g c\right)$ for any lift $c \in G_{F}$ of c .

The Beilinson-Bloch-Kato (BBK) conjecture relates the dimension of the Bloch-Kato Selmer group

$$
H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)
$$

to the order of vanishing of $\mathscr{L}\left(s, \Pi^{\iota}\right)$ at $s=1 / 2$, for any $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Assuming that $\Pi$ is ordinary, we can consider a variant in terms of

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{\chi=1} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right):=\sup \left\{r \mid \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \in \mathfrak{m}_{1}^{r} \subset \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right)\right\},
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ is the ideal of functions vanishing at $\chi=1$. We prove the following.
Theorem C. Let $\Pi$ be an ordinary, hermitian, trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Assume that $\varepsilon(\Pi)=-1$, and that the following further conditions are satisfied:

- $F / F_{0}$ is unramified; in particular, $F_{0} \neq \mathbf{Q}$;
- all places $v \mid 2$ are split in $F / F_{0}$;
$-p>2 n$ if $n>1$;
- for every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$, we have that $v$ splits in $F$ and $\Pi_{v}$ is unramified;
- for every place $v$ of $F_{0}$ that splits in $F$, at least one of $\Pi_{n, v}$ and $\Pi_{n+1, v}$ is unramified;
- for every place $v$ of $F_{0}$ that is inert in $F$, each of $\Pi_{n, v}$ and $\Pi_{n+1, v}$ is either unramified or almost unramified (namely, the base change of an almost unramified representation of unitary group), and if $\Pi_{n, v}$ is almost unramified then $\Pi_{n+1, v}$ is also almost unramified.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{\chi=1} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)=1 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{dim}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right) \geq 1 \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If moreover $p$ is an admissible prime for $\Pi$ in the sense of $\left[\mathrm{LTX}^{+} 22\right.$, Definition 8.1.1], then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{\chi=1} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)=1 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{dim}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)=1 \tag{1.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is a consequence of a non-vanishing criterion for certain explicit elements of $H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)$ arising as classes of algebraic cycles, which we describe in the rest of this section. The stronger (1.2.3) follows from combining that criterion with the Selmer bound of [ $\mathrm{LTX}^{+} 22$ ] (whose admissibility condition is expected to be mild, see ibid. Remark 1.1.5). In particular, in this case we have that $H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)$ is generated by the class of an algebraic cycle - a result analogous to the finiteness of the $p^{\infty}$-torsion of the Tate-Shafarevich group of an elliptic curve.

Remark 1.2.1. The history of theorems of type (1.2.2) consists of several works for similar 2dimensional Galois representations over CM fields (starting with [PR87] and continuing with [Nek95, Kob13, Shn16, Dis17, Dis, Dis22]), together with a very recent result by Y. Liu ad one of us for a family of higher-dimensional representations [DL, Theorem 1.7]. Theorems of type (1.2.3) were previously only known in 2-dimensional cases, based on generalizations of [Kol88].

Remark 1.2.2. Our notation (and the definitions going back to (1.1.1)) suggest that one may think of $\mathscr{L}_{(p)}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ as attached to the virtual motive $\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}$ over $F_{0}$ whose $p$-adic realization is (up to abelian factors)

$$
\left.\mathrm{M}_{\Pi, p}:=\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G_{F}}^{G_{F_{0}}} \rho_{\Pi}\right) \ominus \operatorname{As}^{\star}\left(\rho_{\Pi}\right)\right) .
$$

Here, $\operatorname{As}^{\star}\left(\rho_{\Pi}\right)=\operatorname{As}^{\star}\left(\rho_{\Pi_{n}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{As}^{\star}\left(\rho_{\Pi_{n+1}}\right)$ with the factors defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{As}^{ \pm}\left(\rho_{\Pi_{\nu}}\right): G_{F_{0}} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(L^{\nu} \otimes_{L} L^{\nu}\right) \\
G_{F} \ni g & \longmapsto \rho_{\Pi_{\nu}}(g) \otimes \rho_{\Pi_{\nu}}^{\mathrm{c}}(g), \\
c & \longmapsto(x \otimes y \longmapsto y \otimes x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $\operatorname{sign} \star=(-1)^{\nu}$ on the $\nu$-factor.
Then the $p$-adic BBK conjecture would rather relate $\operatorname{ord}_{\chi=1} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ with

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{0}, \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{F}}^{G_{F_{0}}} \rho_{\Pi}\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{0}, \operatorname{As}^{\star}(\Pi)\right) .
$$

The first term equals $\operatorname{dim}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)$. Under our assumption that $\Pi$ is hermitian, $\mathrm{As}^{\star}\left(\Pi_{\nu}\right)$ coincides with the adjoint representation defined in the opening paragraphs of [NT] (cf. [GGP12, Proposition 7.4]). By the results obtained there and in [Tho], under some irreducibility assumptions on $\rho_{\Pi_{\nu}}$, we have $H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{0}, \operatorname{As}^{\star}\left(\rho_{\Pi}\right)\right)=0$.

Remark 1.2.3. In addition to Hypothesis 5.2.6, Theorem C and Theorem D below rely on a decomposition of the tempered part of the cohomology of unitary Shimura varieties (Hypothesis 10.1.2), which is expected to be proven in a sequel to [KSZ]. (At a more basic level, we also freely use the results of [Mok15, KMSW] on automorphic representations of unitary groups.) Finally, we also need to admit a non-vanishing result for certain local spherical characters, Hypothesis 11.2.1, which is expected to be proven in [Dan].

In the next subsection we describe, after some preliminaries, the construction of the Selmer classes of interest and our formula relating those to the derivative of $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ (Theorem D).
1.3. The $p$-adic arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. The cycles of interest arise from Shimura varieties attached to certain unitary group. We start by describing the representationtheoretic background.
1.3.1. Incoherent unitary groups and their representations. For a place $v$ of $F_{0}$, denote by $\mathscr{V}_{v}$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $V_{v}=\left(V_{n, v}, V_{n+1, v}\right)$ of (non-degenerate) $F_{v} / F_{0, v}$-hermitian spaces over $F_{v}$, where $V_{n, v}$ has rank $n$ and $V_{n+1, v}=V_{n, v} \oplus F_{v} e$ with $e$ a vector of norm 1. Let $\mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ be the set of collections $V=\left(V_{v}\right)_{v}$ with $V_{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$ such that $V_{n, v}$ is positive-definite for all archimedean places, and for all but finitely many places $v$, the Hasse-Witt invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(V_{v}\right):=\eta_{v}\left((-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \operatorname{det} V_{n, v}\right) \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

equals +1 .
We say that $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ is coherent if there exists a (unique up to isomorphism) pair of $F / F_{0}$ hermitian spaces, still denoted $V=\left(V_{n}, V_{n+1}\right)$, whose $v$-localization is $V_{v}$. This holds if and only if $\epsilon(V):=\prod_{v} \epsilon\left(V_{v}\right)$ equals +1 . When $\epsilon(V)$ equals -1 , we refer to $V$ as an incoherent pair of $F / F_{0}$-hermitian spaces. For $V \in \mathscr{V}^{0}$, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{v}^{V_{v}}:=\mathrm{U}\left(V_{n, v}\right) \subset \mathrm{G}_{v}^{V_{v}}:=\mathrm{U}\left(V_{n, v}\right) \times \mathrm{U}\left(V_{n+1, v}\right), \tag{1.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the embedding is diagonal), by $H_{v}^{V_{v}} \subset G_{v}^{V_{v}}$ their $F_{0, v}$-points. When $V$ is coherent, these are localizations of unitary groups $\mathrm{H}^{V}:=\mathrm{U}\left(V_{n}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{G}^{V}:=\mathrm{U}\left(V_{n}\right) \times \mathrm{U}\left(V_{n+1}\right)$ over $F_{0}$. When $V$ is
incoherent, we still use the notation

$$
\mathrm{H}^{V} \subset \mathrm{G}^{V}
$$

for the collections (1.3.2), which we refer to as incoherent unitary groups over $F_{0}$, and we denote $\mathrm{G}^{V}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right)=\prod_{v \notin S}^{\prime} G_{v}^{V_{v}}$.

In § 2.2, for each $V_{v} \in \mathscr{\mathscr { v }}$, we fix measures $d h_{v}$ on $H_{v}=H_{v}^{V_{v}}$ such that (i) if $v$ is finite, $d h_{v}$ is $\mathbf{Q}$-valued; (ii) if $v$ is archimedean and $V_{v}$ is positive definite, $\operatorname{vol}\left(H_{v}, d h_{v}\right) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$; if $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ is coherent, $\prod_{v} d h_{v}$ is the Tamagawa measure on $\mathrm{H}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Suppose that $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ is incoherent. If $v$ is a place of $F_{0}$ non-split in $F$, we let $V(v) \in \mathscr{V}$ be the coherent collection with $V(v)_{w}=V_{w}$ if $w \neq v$, and $V(v)_{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$ is the unique element different from $V_{v}$ if $v$ is non-archimedean, and the element such that $V(v)_{n, v}$ has signature $(n-1,1)$ if $v$ is archimedean. We let $\mathrm{G}^{(v)}=\mathrm{G}^{V(v)}$.

Let $\underline{\pi}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}$ be the set of (isomorphism classes of) tempered representations of the real group $U(n-$ $1,1) \times U(n, 1)$ whose base-change to $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{C}) / \mathbf{R}^{\times} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C}) / \mathbf{R}^{\times}$is $\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$. For a characteristiczero field $L$ and an incoherent $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}$, a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$ trivial at infinity is a representation $\pi=\pi^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty}$ of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$, such that for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, every $v \mid \infty$, and some (equivalently, every) $\pi_{v}^{\circ} \in \underline{\pi}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}$, the complex representation of $\mathrm{G}^{(v)}(\mathbf{A})$

$$
\pi^{\iota, v}:=\iota \pi^{v} \otimes \pi_{v}^{\circ}
$$

is irreducible, cuspidal and automorphic. If each $\pi^{\iota}$ is tempered and admits a cuspidal automorphic base-change to $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$, we say that $\pi$ is stable; the base-change of $\pi^{\iota}$ is necessarily of the form $\Pi^{\iota}$ for a trivial-weight representation $\Pi$ over $L$ that we call the base-change of $\pi$ and denote $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$.
1.3.2. Arithmetic diagonal cycles. When $V$ is incoherent, we may attach to $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}$ a tower of Shimura varieties $\left(X_{K}\right)_{K \subset G\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)}$ over $F$ of dimension $2 n-1$, and to $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}^{V}$ a tower of Shimura varieties $\left(Y_{K^{\prime}}\right)_{K^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)}$ over $F$ of dimension $n$. They are proper provided that $F_{0} \neq \mathbf{Q}$, a condition that we henceforth assume.

The embedding $\jmath: \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ induces a morphism of Shimura varieties still denoted by $\jmath$. Consider the (well-defined) normalized fundamental class $[Y]^{\circ}:=\lim _{K^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\left[Y_{K^{\prime}}\right] \in$ $\varliminf_{K^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ch}^{0}\left(Y_{K^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and the arithmetic diagonal cycle $J_{*}\left([Y]^{\circ}\right) \in \varliminf_{K} \operatorname{Ch}^{n}\left(X_{K}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (where $\operatorname{Ch}^{i}(Z)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ denotes the Chow group of codimension- $i$ cycles on $Z$ with rational coefficients). The $p$-adic absolute cycle class of $\jmath_{*}\left([Y]^{\circ}\right)$ can be projected to an element

$$
Z \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{temp}}\right)
$$

where $M^{\text {temp }}=\varliminf_{K} H_{\text {et }}^{2 n-1}\left(X_{K, \bar{F}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(n)\right)_{\text {temp }}$, and the superscript 'temp' refers to the tempered part of cohomology (see § 10.1.3).
1.3.3. Gan-Gross-Prasad cycles. Let $\pi$ be a stable, ${ }^{5}$ cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ trivial at infinity, over some finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$; let $\Pi=\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$. According to

[^3]Hypothesis 10.1.2, there is an injective map

$$
\pi \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}\left[G_{F}\right]}\left(M^{\text {temp }}, \rho_{\Pi}\right),
$$

well-defined uniquely up to scalar multiples. We identify $\pi$ with the image of this map, and define the Gan-Gross-Prasad functional

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\pi}: & \pi \longrightarrow H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)  \tag{1.3.3}\\
& \phi \longmapsto Z_{\pi}(\phi):=\phi_{*} Z .
\end{align*}
$$

We call elements in its image Gan-Gross-Prasad cycles.
1.3.4. The p-adic arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. By construction, we have

$$
Z_{\pi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}^{V}(\mathbf{A})}(\pi, L) \otimes_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right) .
$$

The space $\operatorname{Hom}_{H^{V}(\mathbf{A})}(\pi, L)$ is known to be of dimension 0 or 1 ; in the latter case, $\pi$ is said to be distinguished. By the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture proved in [BP16, BP20], for a given representation $\Pi$ over $L$ as in Theorem A, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) pair ( $V, \pi$ ) where $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ and $\pi$ is a representation of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$ as above that is distinguished. Moreover, $\pi$ can be defined over $L$, and $V$ is incoherent if and only if $\varepsilon(\Pi)=-1$ (see § 2.5.3).

The following is a $p$-adic analogue of the arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture [GGP12, Conjecture 27.1] for unitary groups.

Conjecture 1.3.1. Let $\Pi$ be a representation as in Theorem B. Assume that $\varepsilon(\Pi)=-1$ and that $\Pi$ is not exceptional. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{ord}_{\chi=1} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)=1$.
(2) for the unique distinguished $\pi$ with $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=\Pi$, we have

$$
Z_{\pi} \neq 0 .
$$

Remark 1.3.2. According to the $p$-adic BBK conjecture, both conditions are also equivalent to (3) $\operatorname{dim}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right)=1$.

The implication $(2) \Longrightarrow(3)$ is $\left[\right.$ LTX $^{+} 22$, Theorem 1.1.9] (when $p$ is admissible for $\Pi$ ).
As a refinement of Conjecture 1.3.1, we prove (under some conditions) a formula that 'measures' the product $Z_{\pi} \otimes Z_{\pi \vee}$ in terms of the derivative of $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$; in order to state it, we need to define some pairings.
1.3.5. Dualities. Continue with the setup of $\S$ 1.3.3. Fix a non-degenerate pairing

$$
\langle,\rangle_{\Pi}: \rho_{\Pi} \otimes_{L} \rho_{\Pi \vee} \longrightarrow L(1),
$$

and let $\langle\rangle:, M_{\text {temp }} \otimes M_{\text {temp }} \rightarrow L(1)$ be the pairing induced by Poincaré duality. Then we define a pairing

$$
\begin{equation*}
(,)_{\pi}: \pi \otimes \pi^{\vee} \longrightarrow L \tag{1.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)_{\pi}:=\phi \circ u\left(\phi^{*}(1)\right)$, where $\phi^{* *}(1): \rho_{\Pi \vee}^{*}(1) \rightarrow M_{\text {temp }}^{*}(1)$ is the transpose, and $u: M_{\text {temp }}^{*}(1) \rightarrow$ $M_{\text {temp }}$ is the isomorphism induced by $\langle$,$\rangle .$
1.3.6. Invariant functionals. If $\pi$ is distinguished, there is a canonical generator

$$
\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{V}(\mathbf{A})}(\pi, L) \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{H}^{V}(\mathbf{A})}\left(\pi^{\vee}, L\right)
$$

defined as follows. Pick a factorization $(,)_{\pi}=\prod_{v}(,)_{\pi_{v}}$, where each factor is a pairing on $\pi_{v} \otimes \pi_{v}^{\vee}$. Then $\alpha$ is defined on factorizable elements $\phi=\otimes_{v \nmid \infty} \phi_{v}, \phi^{\prime}=\otimes_{v \nmid \infty} \phi_{v}^{\prime}$ by the product of absolutely convergent integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota \alpha\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right):=\operatorname{vol}\left(H_{\infty}^{V}, d h_{\infty}\right) \cdot \prod_{v \nmid \infty} \mathscr{L}\left(1 / 2, \iota \Pi_{v}\right)^{-1} \int_{H_{v}} \iota\left(\pi(h) \phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)_{\pi} d h_{v}, \tag{1.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is any embedding, $\operatorname{vol}\left(H_{\infty}^{V}, d h_{\infty}\right)=\prod_{v \mid \infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(H_{v}^{V_{v}}, d h_{v}\right) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$, and almost all factors are equal to 1 .
1.3.7. p-adic heights and main result. Assume that $\Pi$ is ordinary. Then $\rho_{\Pi}$ is Panchishkinordinary in the sense of [Nek93] (recalled in § A.2.1). By Nekovář's theory (see [Nek93] or § A), the pairing $\langle,\rangle_{\Pi}$ and the natural projection $\lambda: \Gamma_{F} \rightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}}$ induce a height pairing

$$
h_{\pi}: H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi}\right) \otimes_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, \rho_{\Pi \vee}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}} \hat{\otimes} L
$$

For $\mathscr{L} \in \mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y})_{L}$, set

$$
\partial \mathscr{L}:=[\mathscr{L}-\mathscr{L}(1)] \in T_{1}^{*} \mathscr{Y}_{L}=\mathfrak{m}_{1} / \mathfrak{m}_{1}^{2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} L=\Gamma_{F_{0}} \hat{\otimes}_{L} .
$$

The following is a $p$-adic analogue of the refined arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (cf. [Xue19, Conjecture 5.1]), in the spirit of the Ichino-Ikeda refinement of the usual Gan-GrossPrasad conjecture. The case $n=1$ is essentially equivalent to the $p$-adic Gross-Zagier formula as in [Dis17].

Conjecture 1.3.3. Let $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ be an incoherent pair, and let $\pi$ be a distinguished, stable, ordinary, cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$, trivial at infinity, over a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Let $\Pi:=\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$ and assume that it is ordinary and non-exceptional. Then for all $\phi \in \pi$, $\phi^{\prime} \in \pi^{\vee}$, we have

$$
h_{\pi}\left(Z_{\pi}(\phi), Z_{\pi \vee}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right)=e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)
$$

in $\Gamma_{F_{0}} \hat{\otimes} L$.
Remark 1.3.4. This conjecture implies the direction $(1) \Longrightarrow(2)$ in Conjecture 1.3.1; the converse implication is reduced to the conjectural non-degeneracy of $h_{\pi}$.

We have the following theorem, confirming the above refined conjecture in certain cases.
Theorem D. Conjecture 1.3.3 holds if we assume further that:
$-F / F_{0}$ is unramified; in particular, $F_{0} \neq \mathbf{Q}$;

- all places $v \mid 2$ are split in $F / F_{0}$;
- $p>2 n$ if $n>1$;
- for every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$, we have that $v$ splits in $F$ and $\pi_{v}$ is unramified;
- for every finite place $v$ of $F_{0}$ that splits in $F / F_{0}$, at least one of $\pi_{n, v}$ and $\pi_{n+1, v}$ is unramified;
- for every finite place $v$ of $F_{0}$ that is inert in $F / F_{0}, \pi_{n, v}$ and $\pi_{n+1, v}$ are either unramified or almost unramified, and if $\pi_{n, v}$ is almost unramified then $\pi_{n+1, v}$ is also almost unramified.

Remark 1.3.5. Besides the $p$-adic Gross-Zagier results mentioned in Remark 1.2.1, the only other $p$-adic height formula in the literature is the recent [DL, Theorem 1.11], which is however conditional on a certain modularity conjecture (this is arguably the main obstacle towards achieving a result of type (1.2.3) in that setting). While our setup and global approach to the proof are different, a theorem on $p$-local heights in [DL] is essential for us.
1.4. p-adic relative trace formulas and the proofs. Our approach to Theorem D is based on the comparison of a pair of relative-trace formulas with $p$-adic coefficients, analogously to the approach proposed by one of us [Zha12] over archimedean coefficients. We give a brief overview; unexplained terminology will be defined in the main body of the paper.
1.4.1. Rationality. Let us first explain the proof of Theorem A. For each $\chi \in Y(\mathbf{C})$, we have a Jacquet-Rallis relative-trace distribution

$$
I(-, \chi): \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

on the Hecke algebra for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. For a 'nice' $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C})$, it admits a spectral and a geometric expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Pi} \frac{1}{4} \mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi) \prod_{v} I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=I\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right), \tag{1.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\Pi$ ranges over isomorphism classes of cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$; the $I_{\Pi_{v}}$ are local spherical characters; $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs} / \mathrm{F}_{0}}^{\prime}$ is a variety parametrizing the 'regular semisimple' orbits in a certain double quotient of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$; and the $I_{\gamma}$ are products of local orbital integrals.

The only possible sources of irrationality in the right-hand side of (1.4.1) are essentially the archimedean orbital integrals. However, there is a particularly well-behaved class of $f_{\infty}^{\prime} \in$ $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, \infty}\right)\right)$ (and corresponding $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ ), the so-called (rational) Gaussians, whose orbital integrals are controlled. Building on [BPLZZ21], we are able to show that for $\Pi$ as in Theorem A, there exist $L$-rational Gaussians $f^{\prime}$ annihilating every automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ but $\Pi$. Moreover, we need to show that one can pick $f^{\prime}$ to be nice: more precisely, supported at the regular semisimple elements of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$ for some place $v$. This turns out to be a rather hard local problem, which is solved by a pair of explicit local computations for a certain $f_{v}^{\prime}$ of Iwahori level: one on the spectral side, which is Hypothesis 5.2.6; and one on the geometric side, which is Proposition 5.3.2, whose proof occupies the entire § 7. Then the rationality of $\mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi)$ can be deduced from (1.4.1).
1.4.2. $p$-adic analytic distribution. We have a $p$-adic variant of $I(-, \chi)$, that we describe at first in a slightly idealized form. For any 'convenient' subgroup $K_{p}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$, we construct a distribution

$$
\mathscr{I}=\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)\right)_{K_{p}^{\prime}, \mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y})
$$

on a certain space of regularly supported, $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$-rational Gaussian elements of the Hecke algebra away from $p$. It admits a spectral and a geometric expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Pi} \frac{1}{4} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right) \prod_{v \nmid p} \mathscr{I}_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=\mathscr{I}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right)=\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right) d I_{\gamma, K_{p}^{\prime}, p}^{\mathrm{ord}} \tag{1.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi$ ranges over representations as in Theorem B with nontrivial $K_{p}^{\prime}$-invariants; the $\mathscr{I}_{\Pi_{v}}$, $\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}$ are $\mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y})$-valued spherical characters and orbital integrals, respectively; and finally, $d I_{-, K_{p}^{\prime}, p}^{\text {ord }}$ is a certain generalized Radon measure on $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)$. In fact, we construct $\mathscr{I}$ from its geometric expansion, and prove Theorem B by extracting $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ from $\mathscr{I}$.

We note that Urban [Urb11, § 6] has constructed a $p$-adic Arthur-Selberg trace formula; it would be interesting to compare or combine our two approaches.
1.4.3. The derivative. For suitable $f^{\prime p}$, we then have a similar expansion for the derivative of $\mathscr{I}$. We will be especially interested in those $f^{\prime p}$ that 'purely match' an $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)\right)$ for some incoherent $V$, in the sense that $I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=J_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}\right)$ for orbital integrals

$$
J_{\delta}\left(f_{v}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{H}^{V_{v}}\left(F_{0, v}\right)^{2}} f_{v}\left(h^{-1} \gamma h^{\prime}\right) d h d h^{\prime}
$$

here $\delta$ is the (regular semisimple) orbit in $\mathrm{H}^{V_{v}}\left(F_{0, v}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{V_{v}}\left(F_{0, v}\right) / \mathrm{H}^{V_{v}}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$ that 'matches' $\gamma$ in the sense that certain invariants coincide.

For such $f^{\prime p}$, we have $\mathscr{I}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$ and the $\Gamma_{F_{0}} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$-valued expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Pi} \frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \prod_{v \nmid p} \mathscr{I}_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d I_{\gamma, K_{p}^{\prime}, p}^{\mathrm{ord}} \tag{1.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the derivative. Moreover

$$
\partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\sum_{v \nmid p \infty} I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime v p}\right) \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime v p}\right)=\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime v p}, \mathbf{1}\right)$. The $v$-component of the sum can be nonzero only if $\gamma$ matches an orbit $\delta$ of $\mathrm{H}^{V(v)}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{V(v)}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right) / \mathrm{H}^{V(v)}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)$ for the coherent pair $V(v) \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ that is locally isomorphic to $V$ at all places except $v$.

In practice, unless $K_{p}^{\prime}$ is suitably symmetric, we are only able to prove the geometric expansion in (1.4.2) after specialization at a $\chi \in Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)$, and with a generalized Radon measure $I_{-, K_{p}^{\prime}, p}^{\text {ord }}\left(\chi_{p}\right)$ depending on $\chi_{p}$; nevertheless we can show that (1.4.3) still holds with $I_{-, K_{p}^{\prime}, p}^{\mathrm{ord}}:=I_{-, K_{p}^{\prime}, p}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathbf{1})$.
1.4.4. Arithmetic distribution. Let $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ be incoherent, $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}$. For a convenient subgroup $K_{p} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$, we define another $\Gamma_{F_{0}} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$-valued distribution on a suitable subset of $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=h\left(Z_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}} T\left(f^{p}\right), Z_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\right),
$$

where $Z_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$ is an ordinary modification of the arithmetic diagonal cycle in level $K_{p}$, and $h$ is a limit of height pairings on the Selmer group of the tempered, ordinary part of $H^{2 n-1}\left(X_{K^{p} K_{p}, \bar{F}_{0}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(n)\right)$.

When the cycles have disjoint support on the generic fiber, the $p$-adic height pairing admits an expansion $h=\sum_{v \nmid \infty} h_{v}$ into local height pairings. The disjointness can be achieved by choosing
a test function $f$ with regular support at some place $v_{0}$; we pick $v_{0}$ to be split and not above $p$, and $f_{v_{0}}$ to match the regularly supported $f_{v_{0}}^{\prime}$ alluded to in § 1.4.1 - in particular, $f_{v_{0}}$ has Iwahori level.

By results in [DL, LL21], the local height pairing at a place $v$ away from $p$ is related to the arithmetic intersection pairing on a regular $v$-integral model, at least after applying suitable Hecke correspondence to the cycles, and under some vanishing condition for the absolute cohomology of the model. After a base change, we may use the models constructed in the previous work of Rapoport, Smithling and the second author [RSZ20, RSZ21]; here, a technical difficulty is to verify the vanishing of cohomology in the case of non-trivial level structure, as required both in order to treat the ramification of $\Pi$ in Theorem D , and for the place $v_{0}$ of regular support. Once this is settled:

- for split places away from $p$, we can show that the local arithmetic intersection numbers at split places away from $p$ vanish, by refining an argument of [Zha12, RSZ20];
- for inert places $v$ (thus away from $p$ ), by results in [Zha12] and [RSZ20], the local arithmetic intersection numbers admit geometric expansions over the orbits $\delta$ for $V(v)$, whose terms are products of local orbital integrals $J_{\delta}\left(f_{v^{\prime}}\right)\left(v^{\prime} \neq v\right)$ and arithmetic intersection numbers $\mathscr{J}_{\delta}\left(f_{v}\right)$ in a certain $v$-adic Rapoport-Zink space.

On the other hand, the contribution of $p$-adic places vanishes: this is proved by a variant of an argument of Perrin-Riou, which in our higher-dimensional case relies on a foundational result of Y. Liu and one of us in [DL].

We then obtain a spectral and a geometric expansion

$$
\sum_{\pi} \mathscr{J}_{\pi}\left(f^{p}\right)=\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \sum_{v \nmid p \infty} \mathbf{1}_{V(v)}(\gamma) J_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma)}^{v p}\left(f^{v p}\right) \mathscr{J}_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma), v}\left(f_{v}\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}^{\prime}}^{\text {ord }},
$$

where: $\pi$ ranges over equivalence classes of automorphic representations as in Theorem D ; the geometric expansion is pulled back to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$ via a 'matching of orbits' map $\underline{\delta}$, and $\mathbf{1}_{V(v)}$ is the indicator function of those orbits matching one on $\mathrm{G}^{V(v)}$; and finally, $d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}^{\prime}}^{\text {ord }}$ is as in (1.4.3).
N.B.: we will also need to treat regular, non-semisimple orbits; this part is missing from the current preliminary version, cf. Remarks 4.2.4, 6.3.2.
1.4.5. Comparison. Theorem D is deduced from the spectral sides of an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) \tag{1.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable matching $f^{p}, f^{\prime p}$. The only substantial input in the deduction is the comparison in [BP21a, BP21] of the functionals $I_{\Pi_{v}}$ with corresponding ones, $J_{\pi_{v}}$, that are related to the local components of $\alpha=(1.3 .5)$.

We prove (1.4.4) by comparing the geometric expansions. By the definitions of local matching of Hecke elements (which can be globally assembled thanks to the Fundamental Lemma [Yun11, BP21b]), orbital integrals on either side are the same, thus we are reduced to identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma), v}\left(f_{v}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for inert places $v$. For the spherical $f_{v}^{\prime}, f_{v}$, the identity (1.4.5) is the Arithmetic Fundamental Lemma proposed by one of us [Zha12] and then proved in [Zha21, MZ]; for certain $f_{v}^{\prime}, f_{v}$ of maximal parahoric level, (1.4.5) was very recently proved by Z. Zhang [ZZh].
1.4.6. Organization of the paper. After some preliminaries in $\S 2$, this paper is divided into two parts and en epilogue. In Part 1, we construct the analytic distribution $\mathscr{I}$ and prove the associated RTF, as well as Theorems A and B. In Part 2 (and the related appendix), we construct the distribution $\mathscr{J}$ and prove the associated RTF. In the epilogue, we compare the two RTFs to prove Theorems D and C. More details on the contents of the two parts are provided at the beginning of each.
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## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

2.1. Basic notation. We set up some notation to be used throughout the paper unless otherwise noted.
2.1.1. Fields. We denote by $F \supset F_{0}$ a quadratic extension of number fields, as in the introduction, and by $\mathrm{c} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / F_{0}\right)$ the conjugation. We denote by $\mathbf{A}$ the adèles of $F_{0}$. From $\S 4$ on, we will assume that $F_{0}$ is totally real and $F$ is CM.

We denote with a bar the nontrivial automorphism of $F / F_{0}$, and by

$$
\eta: F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}
$$

the quadratic character associated with $F / F_{0}$. We fix an auxiliary element $\tau \in F$ such that $\tau^{\mathrm{c}}=-\tau$, and an extension $\eta^{\prime}: F^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$of $\eta$.

If $F^{\prime}$ is a number field and $S$ is a finite set of places of $F^{\prime}$, we denote by $F_{S}^{\prime}=\prod_{v \in S} F_{v}^{\prime}$, and by $\mathbf{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{S}=\prod_{v \notin S}^{\prime} F_{v}^{\prime}$. If $F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$ is a subfield and $\ell$ is a place of of $F^{\prime \prime}$, for notational purposes we identify $\ell$ with the set of places of $F^{\prime}$ above $\ell$.
2.1.2. L-functions. In the rest of the paper (unlike in the introduction), all global $\zeta$ - and $L$ functions are complete including the archimedean factors (this also includes the ratio of $L$ functions $\mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi))$. If $L^{S}(s)$ is a global $L$-function, we denote by

$$
L^{S, *}\left(s_{0}\right)
$$

its leading term at $s=s_{0}$.
2.1.3. Groups. We now recall the groups under consideration in this paper, then discuss local and global base-change from unitary groups to general linear groups. We denote by $\mathbf{G}_{m}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}\left[T^{ \pm 1}\right]$ the multiplicative group over $\mathbf{Q}$. If G is a (usually, group-) scheme over a global field $F_{0}$ and $v$ is a place of $F_{0}$, we denote $G_{v}:=\mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$ with its $v$-adic topology. We also denote

$$
[G]=\mathrm{G}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})
$$

For $*=\emptyset, 0$ (where in this type of context, ' $\emptyset$ ' will always mean 'no subscript') and $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{*} / F_{0}} \mathrm{GL}_{\nu}$. We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{G}_{n}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}_{1,0} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}_{1,0}^{\prime}, \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{1,0}^{\prime}$ is the $F_{0}$-split center of G , and its subgroups

$$
j_{1}: \mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{G}_{n}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime}
$$

where $j_{1}(h):=[(\operatorname{diag}(h, 1), h)]$, and

$$
j_{2}: \mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{G}_{n, 0}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}_{1,0}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1,0}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}_{1,0}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime},
$$

where $j_{2}$ is induced by $F_{0} \hookrightarrow F$.
For unitary groups, we use the notation $\mathrm{H}^{V}, \mathrm{G}^{V}$ introduced in $\S$ 1.3.1. We denote by $\mathscr{V}$ the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $V=\left(V_{n}, V_{n+1}=V_{n} \oplus F e\right)$ of $F / F_{0}$-hermitian spaces with $(e, e)=1$. When $F_{0}$ is totally real and $F$ is CM, we denote by $V_{\infty}^{\circ}=\left(V_{v}^{\circ}\right)_{v \mid \infty}$ the pair such that $V_{n, v}$ is positive-definite, and by $\mathscr{V}^{\circ} \subset \mathscr{V}$ the set of (coherent or incoherent) pairs ( $V_{v}$ ) such that $V_{v}=V_{v}^{\circ}$ for all $v \mid \infty$. We partition

$$
\mathscr{V}^{\circ}=\mathscr{V}^{\circ,+} \sqcup \mathscr{V}^{\circ,-},
$$

where $V \in \mathscr{V}^{0, \epsilon}$ if and only if $\epsilon(V)=\epsilon$.
2.2. Measures. Let $F_{0}$ be a number field, and let $D=\left|D_{F_{0}}\right|$ be the absolute value of its discriminant. Fixing a nontrivial character $\psi: F_{0} \backslash \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$, we denote by $d x=\prod_{v} d x_{v}$ the self-dual measure on $\mathbf{A}$ with respect to $\psi$; it satisfies $\operatorname{vol}\left(F_{0} \backslash \mathbf{A}, d x\right)=1$. For a finite place $v$, let $d_{v}$ be a generator of the different ideal of $F_{0, v}$ and let $D_{v}:=\left|d_{v}\right|^{-1}$. Assume for definiteness that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\psi_{v}\right)=d_{v}^{-1} \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}$ for all finite places $v$; then we have $\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}, d x_{v}\right)=D_{v}^{-1 / 2}$. We have $D=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} D_{v}$, and for a finite set of places $S$ of $F_{0}$ we define $D^{S}:=\prod_{v \nmid S \infty} D_{v}$.
2.2.1. Tamagawa measures. If G is a reductive group over a local or global field $E$, we denote by $M_{\mathrm{G}}$ the Artin-Tate motive attached to (the quasi-split inner form of) G by Gross [Gro97]. If $E$ is a local field, let

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}=L\left(M_{\mathrm{G}}^{\vee}(1)\right), \quad \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}:=D_{v}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{G} / 2} \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}
$$

Then the abelian term in (1.1.1) (including the factor $D_{F_{0, v}}^{n+1}$ ) equals $\Delta_{G^{V_{v}}}^{\circ} / \Delta_{H^{V_{v}}}^{\circ}$ where $H^{V_{v}}, G^{V_{v}}$ are is in (1.3.2) (for any $V_{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$.)

Assume from now on that $E$ is the global field $F_{0}$. For a finite set $S$ of places of $F_{0}$, let

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{S}:=L^{S, *}\left(M_{\mathrm{G}}^{\vee}(1), 0\right), \quad \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{S, \circ}:=\left(D^{S}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{G} / 2} L^{S, *}\left(M_{\mathrm{G}}^{\vee}(1), 0\right) .
$$

Let $\omega$ be any non-zero top-degree invariant differential form on G . We denote by

$$
d_{\omega} g_{v}:=|\omega|_{v}
$$

its modulus with respect to $d x_{v}([\operatorname{Oes} 84, \S 4])$, a Haar measure on $\mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$. We define

$$
d^{\natural} g_{v}:=\Delta_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{\circ} d_{\omega} g_{v}
$$

Then for all finite places $v$ and any open compact subgroup $K_{v} \subset G_{v}$, we have $\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}, d^{\natural} g_{v}\right) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$. Moreover if $G_{v}$ is unramified and $K_{v}$ is hyperspecial, we have $\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}, d^{\natural} g_{v}\right)=1$. The Tamagawa measure on $G$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d g:=\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ,-1} \prod_{v} d^{\natural} g_{v} \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2.2. Variants. We define a variant

$$
d g_{v}= \begin{cases}d^{\natural} g_{v} & \text { if } v \nmid \infty  \tag{2.2.2}\\ \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ,-1} d^{\natural} g_{v}=\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\infty, o,-1} d_{\omega} g_{v} & \text { if } v=\infty\end{cases}
$$

so that $d g=\prod_{v} d g_{v}$. The 'rationale' for this choice is the following.
Lemma 2.2.1. Suppose $G_{\infty}$ is compact. Then $\operatorname{vol}\left(G_{\infty}, d g_{\infty}\right)$ is rational.
Proof. We say that two measures $\mu, \mu^{\prime}$ are commensurable if $\mu=c \mu^{\prime}$ for some $c \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$. Let $\mu:=\prod_{v} \mu_{v}$ be the measure on $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ considered in $\S 9$ of [Gro97], to which all citations in this proof will refer. The measure $\mu$ is nonzero by Propositions 9.4, 9.5. For almost all finite $v$, $\mu_{v}=d g_{v}$; for all finite $v, \mu_{v}$ gives rational volume to compact open subgroups (equation (5.2)), hence it is commensurable with $d g_{v}$; and $\mu$ is commensurable with $d g$ (Theorem 9.9). It follows that $d g_{\infty}$ is commensurable with $\mu_{\infty}$, which (again by equation (5.2)), gives rational volume to $G_{\infty}$.

We also consider a different measure, for comparison with some of the literature (notably $[Z h a 14 b, \S 2])$. Let Z be the center of $G$, let $G^{\text {ad }}:=G / Z$, and for $?=\circ, \emptyset$ write

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{?}(1):=\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}, v}^{?}, \quad \zeta_{\mathrm{G}}^{S, ?, *}(1):=\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^{S, ?}
$$

sot that $\zeta_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{?}(1) \Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\text {ad }}, v}^{?}=\Delta_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{?}$. Then we set

$$
d^{*} g_{v}:=\zeta_{\mathrm{G}, v}(1) d^{\tau} g_{v}, \quad d^{*} g=\prod_{v} d^{*} g_{v}
$$

so that

$$
d g=\zeta_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{*}(1)^{-1} \prod_{v} d^{*} g_{v}
$$

and for finite $v, d g_{v}=D_{v}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Z} / 2} \Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\text {ad }}, v}^{\circ} d^{*} g_{v}$.
2.2.3. Local and incoherent measures. The global measures do not depend on $\omega$, but the local ones do. We fix the following explicit choices:

- if $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{GL}_{\nu}$, we take

$$
\omega:=\operatorname{det}(g)^{-\nu} \wedge_{i, j} d g_{i j}
$$

- if G is a (product of) unitary groups over a local or a global field, we fix $\omega$ as in [Zha14b, § 2]. If $G$ is a (product of) incoherent unitary groups, we then get a measure on $G(\mathbf{A})$ by (2.2.1), with a factorisation $d g=\prod_{v} d g$ as in (2.2.2).
2.3. Hecke algebras. Let $G$ be a reductive group over a number field $F_{0}$, let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$, and let $L$ be a characteristic-zero field, with $L=\mathbf{C}$ if $v$ is archimedean or $G_{v}$ is not compact.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{v}, L\right)$ the space of Schwartz functions on $G_{v}$ valued in $L$ : when $F_{0, v}$ is nonarchimedean, this is the same as the smooth compactly supported $L$-valued functions, whereas when $F_{0, v}$ is archimedean this is defined in [Cas89, AG08]. We denote by $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}, L\right)$ the space of Schwartz measures on $G_{v}$ : those are measures of the form $\dot{f} d g$ where $\dot{f} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G_{v}, L\right)$ and $d g$ is the Haar measure fixed above. The field $L$ will be omitted when it is unimportant or understood from context. For an open compact $K_{v} \subset G_{v}$, we denote

$$
e_{K_{v}}:=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{v}, d g_{v}\right)} \mathbf{1}_{K_{v}} d g_{v}
$$

for any Haar measure $d g_{v}$; it is an idempotent in $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)$.
When $G_{v}^{\prime}$ is the group (2.1.1), we define the standard hyperspecial subgroup $K_{v}^{\circ} \subset G_{v}^{\prime}$ to be the image of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F, v}\right)$; when $G_{v}^{V_{v}}$ is the product of unramified unitary groups from (1.3.2), a relative hyperspecial subgroup $K_{v}^{\circ} \subset G_{v}^{V_{v}}$ is one of the form $\mathrm{U}\left(\Lambda_{v}\right) \times \mathrm{U}\left(\Lambda_{v} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{F, v} e\right)$ for some self-dual lattice $\Lambda_{v} \subset V_{n, v}$. For $S$ a finite set of places of $F_{0}$, and G denoting either $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{G}^{V}$ for some $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ} \cup_{\mathscr{V}^{\circ},+} \mathscr{V}$, we consider the Hecke algebra

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right)\right):=\bigotimes_{v \notin S}^{\prime} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)
$$

where the restricted tensor product is with respect to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{v}^{\circ}:=e_{K_{v}}^{\circ} \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some relative hyperspecial $K_{v}^{\circ} \subset G_{v}$. If $K=\prod_{v} K_{v} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right)$ is an open compact subgroup, we denote $e_{K}:=\prod_{v} e_{K_{v}}$. We say that an element $f \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)$ is supported in the set $S$ if we can write $f=f_{S} \otimes \prod_{v \notin S \infty} f_{v}^{\circ}$ for some $f_{S} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}\right)$.

For $f \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)$, we denote $f^{\vee}(x):=f\left(x^{-1}\right)$. We denote by $*$ the convolution operation $f * f^{\prime}(x):=\int_{G_{v}} f(x g) f^{\prime}\left(g^{-1}\right)$.
2.3.1. Convention. We stipulate that groups and Hecke algebras act on locally symmetric spaces, Shimura varieties, and their homology and algebraic cycles on the right; on automorphic forms on the left.
2.4. Local base-change and distinction. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$. If $v$ is nonarchimedean, G ? is a reductive group over $F_{0, v}$, and $L$ is a field admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$, we say that an absolutely irreducible (that is, $\pi \otimes_{L} \bar{L}$ is irreducible) smooth admissible representation $\pi$ of $G_{v}^{?}$ over $L$ is tempered if $\pi \otimes_{L, \iota} \mathbf{C}$ is tempered for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Let $\mathscr{V}_{v}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $V_{v}=\left(V_{n, v}, V_{n+1, v}=V_{n, v} \oplus F_{0, v} e\right)$ of hermitian spaces over $F_{v} / F_{0, v}$. Let $\operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{?}\right)(L)$ be the set of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / L)$-orbits of isomorphism classes of irreducible tempered representations of $G_{v}^{?}$ over $L$.
2.4.1. Local base-change. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$. Thanks to [Mok15, KMSW], we have a local base-change map BC from complex irreducible admissible representations of $G_{v}^{V_{v}}$ to complex irreducible admissible representations of $G_{v}^{\prime}$, whose definition is recalled in [BP21a, § 2.10]. It has the following properties:
(1) it restricts to a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BC}: \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{V_{v}}\right)(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)(\mathbf{C}) ; \tag{2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) being defined by a map of L-groups, it is rational in the sense that it yields a map

$$
\mathrm{BC}: \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{V_{v}}\right)(L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)(L)
$$

for any characteristic-zero field $L$;
(3) when $v$ splits in $F$, we simply have $\mathrm{BC}(\pi):=\pi \boxtimes \pi^{\vee}$ if we identify $G_{v}^{V_{v}} \cong G_{n, 0, v}^{\prime} \times G_{n+1,0, v}^{\prime}$ for the unique $V_{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$;
(4) when $G_{v}^{V_{v}}=U(n) \times U(n+1)$ over $\mathbf{R}$, the preimage of $\Pi_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}$ under (2.4.1) consists of the trivial representation only;
(5) when $G_{v}^{V_{v}}=U(n-1,1) \times U(n, 1)$ over $\mathbf{R}$, the preimage

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\pi}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}:=\mathrm{BC}^{-1}\left(\Pi_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}\right) \tag{2.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

consists of the $n(n+1)$ discrete series representations having the Harish-Chandra parameter $\left\{\frac{1-\nu}{2}, \frac{3-\nu}{2}, \ldots, \frac{\nu-1}{2}\right\}$ on the $U(\nu-1,1)$-component. (See [LTX ${ }^{+} 22$, Proposition C.3.1].)
If $v$ is non-archimedean and $\pi_{v}$, respectively $\Pi_{v}$, is a representation of $G_{v}^{V_{v}}$, respectively $G_{v}^{\prime}$, over a field $L$ admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$, we will write $\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}\right)=\Pi_{v}$ if $\mathrm{BC}\left(\iota \pi_{v}\right)=\iota \Pi_{v}$ for every embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.
2.4.2. Hermitian representations. We will say that a tempered representation $\Pi_{v}$ of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ is hermitian if the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{2, v}^{\prime}}\left(\Pi_{v}, \eta_{v}^{n} \boxtimes \eta_{v}^{n-1}\right)$ is nonzero. By the local Flicker-Rallis conjecture proved by Matringe, Mok, and others (see [Ana, §3.1] and references therein), a representation $\Pi_{v}$ over $\mathbf{C}$ is hermitian if and only if it is in the image of base-change for some $V_{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$.
2.4.3. Distinction and the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$ and let $L$ be a field of characteristic zero; we restrict to $L=\mathbf{C}$ if $v$ is archimedean and $V_{v}$ is not definite. We say that a tempered representation $\pi$ of $G_{v}^{V_{v}}$ over $L$ is distinguished if the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{v}^{V_{v}}}\left(\pi_{v}, L\right)$ is nonzero, and by the multiplicity-one result of [AGRS10], this space is one-dimensional if nonzero. It is clear that distinction is a $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / L)$-invariant property. We denote by

$$
\operatorname{Temp}\left(H_{v}^{V_{v}} \backslash G_{v}^{V}\right)(L) \subset \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{V}\right)(L)
$$

the subset of orbits of distinguished tempered representations.
The following fundamental result is the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups.
Proposition 2.4.1. Let $\Pi_{v}$ be a hermitian tempered representation of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ over a characteristic zero field $L$; we restrict to $L=\mathbf{C}$ if $v$ is archimedean. There exists a unique pair $\left(V_{v}, \pi_{v}\right)$ with $V_{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$ and $\pi_{v} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(H_{v}^{V_{v}} \backslash G_{v}^{V_{v}}\right)(L)$ such that $\Pi_{v}=\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$.

Proof. If $L=\mathbf{C}$, this is proved in [BP16, BP20]. In general, we may assume that there is an embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and apply the result to $\iota \Pi_{v}$ to obtain a pair $\left(V_{v}, \pi_{v}^{\mathbf{C}}\right)$. By uniqueness, $\pi_{v}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is isomorphic to its $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / \iota L)$-conjugates.

### 2.5. Automorphic base-change.

2.5.1. Rational spaces of automorphic representations. The following discussion is based on [Clo90, Théorème 3.1.3]. Let $L$ be a field admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$, and let $\Pi=\Pi^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty}$ be an absolutely irreducible representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over an $L$-vector space. We say that $\Pi$ is cuspidal automorphic of trivial weight if for every (equivalently, some) embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the representation $\Pi^{\iota}:=\iota \Pi^{\infty} \otimes \Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ is cuspidal and automorphic. Every cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}, \infty} \cong \Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ arises as $\Pi^{\iota}$ for some $\Pi$ defined over a number field; the smallest such number field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\Pi^{\iota}\right)=: \iota \mathbf{Q}\left(\Pi^{\infty}\right)$ depends only on $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}}$, and $\Pi$ is unique up to $\mathbf{Q}(\Pi)$-isomorphism.

Denote by $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)(L)$ the set of isomorphism classes of trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representations defined over $L$, and by $\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)(L):=\tilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)(\bar{L}) / G_{L}$, where we recall that $G_{L}:=$ $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{L} / L)$. By [Car12], for every $\Pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ and every finite place $v$, the representation $\Pi_{v}$ of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ is tempered.

Lemma 2.5.1. The natural map

$$
\tilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. This follows from the above discussion and [Clo90, Proposition 3.1, Théorème 3.1.3].
2.5.2. Base change. Let $V \in \mathscr{V}$ or, if $F_{0}$ is totally real and $F$ is CM , let $V \in \mathscr{V} \cup_{\mathscr{V}},+\mathscr{V}^{\circ}$. Let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}, \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}^{V}$. For a field $L$ admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$, denote by

$$
\tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L) \quad \supset \quad \tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})(L)
$$

the set of isomorphism classes of tempered ${ }^{6}$ cuspidal automorphic representations of $G(\mathbf{A})$ that are trivial at infinity, and its subset of representations that are $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{A})$-distinguished. We also put

$$
\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})(L):=\tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(\bar{L}) / G_{L} \quad \supset \quad \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})(L):=\quad \tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})(\bar{L}) / G_{L}
$$

We will view $\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right), \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})$ and $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})$ as ind-finite schemes over $\mathbf{Q}$.
Definition 2.5.2. Let $V \in \mathscr{V}$, and let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}$. Let $\pi$ be a (complex) automorphic representation of $G(\mathbf{A})$ which is tempered everywhere, and let $\Pi$ be an automoprhic representation of $G^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$. We say that $\Pi$ is a weak automorphic base-change of $\pi$, and write $\Pi \cong \mathrm{BC}(\pi)$, if for all but finitely many places $v$ of $F_{0}$ split in $F$, we have $\Pi_{v} \cong \mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ for the local base-change of (2.4.1). We say that $\Pi$ is a strong automorphic base-change of $\pi$ if $\Pi_{v} \cong \mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ for all places $v$.

Remark 2.5.3. By a result of Ramakrishnan [Ram], a weak automorphic base-change of $\pi$ is unique up to isomorphism if it exists, which justifies the notation. Moreover by [Mok15, KMSW], if $\Pi$ is a weak automorphic base-change of $\pi$, then $\Pi$ is a strong base-change of $\pi$. From now we will simply write the (automorphic) base-change without adjectives.

Suppose now that $F_{0}$ is totally real and $F$ is CM . Let $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$, let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}$, and let $L$ be a characteristic-zero field. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$ which

[^4]is trivial at infinity, and let $\Pi$ be a trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$. We say that $\Pi$ is the cuspidal automorphic base-change of $\pi$, and write
$$
\Pi \cong \mathrm{BC}(\pi)
$$
if for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and every finite place $v$, we have $\iota \Pi_{v} \cong \mathrm{BC}\left(\iota \pi_{v}\right)$. We say that $\pi$ is stable if it admits a cuspidal automorphic base-change over $L$; we denote by
$$
\tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L)^{\text {st }} \subset \tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L), \quad \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})(L)^{\text {st }} \subset \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})(L)
$$
the subsets consisting of (orbits of) isomorphism classes of representations that are stable.
Note that by the definitions and the rationality of local base-change maps observed in § 2.4.1, the stability condition is Galois-invariant, so that the above definition makes sense.

### 2.5.3. Hermitian automorphic representations as the image of base-change.

Proposition 2.5.4. Let $\Pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ with $\Pi_{\infty} \cong \Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\Pi$ is hermitian;
(2) for every $V \in \mathscr{V}$ such that $\mathrm{G}^{V}$ is quasi-split at all places, there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\Pi \cong \mathrm{BC}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$;
(3) for some $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$, there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$ over $\mathbf{C}$, trivial at infinity and tempered everywhere, such that $\Pi^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty} \cong \mathrm{BC}(\pi)$.
(4) there exists a unique pair $(V, \pi)$ with $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ and $\pi$ an $\mathrm{H}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$-distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$ over $\mathbf{C}$, trivial at infinity and tempered everywhere, such that $\Pi^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty} \cong \mathrm{BC}^{\circ}(\pi)$.

Proof. That (1) implies (2) is the automorphic descent of [GRS11]. Assume (2) holds for the representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{G}^{V^{\prime}}(\mathbf{A})$, and let $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ agree with $V^{\prime}$ at all finite places. If $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ},+$, let $V^{\prime \prime}=V$ and let $\pi^{\prime \prime}=\pi^{\prime}$; if $V \in \mathscr{V}^{0,-}$, let $v$ be an archimedean place of $F_{0}$, let $V^{\prime \prime}=V(v)$, and let $\pi^{\prime \prime}=\pi^{\prime v} \otimes \pi_{v}^{\circ}$ for any $\pi_{v}^{\circ} \in \underline{\pi}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\circ}=(2.4 .2)$, a representation of $\mathrm{G}^{V^{\prime \prime}}(\mathbf{A})$. Then $\Pi_{v}=\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for all $v$, so that by $\left[\mathrm{LTX}^{+} 22\right.$, Proposition C.3.1.1 (1)], $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ is automorphic with base-change $\Pi$. Let $\pi=\pi^{\prime \prime \infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty}$, which is a representation of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$ over $\mathbf{C}$ trivial at infinity. Then by definition, $\mathrm{BC}(\pi)=\Pi^{\infty} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\infty}$, so that (3) holds. The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from [Mok15, KMSW] together with the special cases of base-change for real groups stated in § 2.4.1 (4)-(5); a simpler alternative proof, when $\Pi$ is supercuspidal at some split places, is given in [BPLZZ21, Theorem 4.12 (2)].

Suppose now that (1) and (3) hold. By Proposition 2.4.1 and [LTX ${ }^{+}$22, Proposition C.3.1.1 (1)], we can modify the pair ( $V, \pi$ ) of part (3) locally at finitely many places so that the resulting representation satisfies the properties of (4).

Corollary 2.5.5. There is a sub-ind-scheme

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her }} \subset \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)
$$

parametrising those trivial-weight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\Pi$ of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ that are hermitian. Moreover, the base-change map gives an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Q}$-ind-schemes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BC}: \bigsqcup_{V \in \mathscr{Y}^{\circ}} \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{H}^{V} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{V}\right)^{\mathrm{st}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her }} . \tag{2.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows from the equivalence $(1) \Leftrightarrow(4)$ in Proposition 2.5.4.
Remark 2.5.6. For $\epsilon \in\{ \pm\}$, let $\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her }, \epsilon} \subset \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her }}$ be the subset of those representations with $\epsilon(\Pi):=\varepsilon\left(\Pi_{n} \times \Pi_{n+1}, 1 / 2\right)=\epsilon$. By [GGP12, § 26, discussion of Question (1)], we have

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{her}, \epsilon}=\mathrm{BC}\left(\bigsqcup_{V \in \mathscr{Y} 0, \epsilon} \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{H}^{V} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{V}\right)^{\mathrm{st}}\right)
$$

Remark 2.5.7. Similarly to the above, for a characteristic-zero field $L$ we may define the notions of discrete (rather than tempered cuspidal), trivial-at-infinity automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{V}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$, and of isobaric (rather than cuspidal) trivial-weight automoprhic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$. Denote the corresponding sets of isomorphism classes by $\mathscr{C}^{\sharp}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\right)(L), \mathscr{C}^{\sharp}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)(L)$. By the variant of Shin's result in [Gol14, Theorem A.1] stated in [LTX ${ }^{+}$22, Proposition 3.2.8], we have a base-change map BC: $\mathscr{C}^{\sharp}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\right)(L) \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\sharp}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)(L)$. By the Arthur classification proved in [KMSW], the map is injective.

### 2.6. Relative traces.

Definition 2.6.1. Let $L$ be a normed field. Suppose given data $D=\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2} ; \vartheta, \beta, T\right)$ consisting of:

- $L$-vector spaces $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$;
- a bilinear form $\vartheta: \Pi_{1} \otimes \Pi_{2} \rightarrow L$;
- a bilinear form $\beta: \Pi_{1} \otimes \Pi_{2} \rightarrow \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is a finite-dimensional $L$-vector space;
- a map $T: \Pi_{1} \rightarrow \Pi_{1}$,
satisfying:
- for $i=1,2$ we can write $\Pi_{i}=\lim _{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Pi_{i, \lambda}$ as a filtered direct limit of finite-dimensional $L$-vector spaces and injective maps, in such a way that:
- for every $\lambda \in \Lambda, \vartheta_{\mid \Pi_{1, \lambda} \otimes \Pi_{2, \lambda}}$ is a perfect pairing.

Let us say that a basis $\{\phi\}$ of $\Pi_{1}$ is admissible if there is a presentation $\Pi_{1}=\underset{\lambda}{\lim }{ }_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Pi_{1, \lambda}$ with the above properties, such that $\{\phi\} \cap \Pi_{1, \lambda}$ is a basis for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$; if this is the case we denote by $\left\{\phi^{\vee}\right\}$ the basis of $\Pi_{2}$ whose restriction to $\Pi_{2, \lambda}$ is the $\vartheta$-dual basis of $\{\phi\} \cap \Pi_{1, \lambda}$.

We define the trace of $T$ relative to $\beta, \vartheta$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{\beta}(T):=\sum_{\phi} \beta\left(T \phi, \phi^{\vee}\right) \tag{2.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the sum is absolutely convergent and is independent of the choice of an admissible basis $\{\phi\}$ of $\Pi_{1}$.

Remark 2.6.2. If $\Gamma=L$ and $\beta=\vartheta$, we recover the usual notion of trace. In the examples of interest to us:

- when $L$ is not $\mathbf{C}$, the sum (2.6.1) will have only finitely many nonzero terms;
- we will have $\beta=h \circ\left(P_{1} \boxtimes P_{2}\right)$ for some linear functionals $P_{i}: \Pi_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$ valued in an $L$-vector space $S_{i}$, and some bilinear form $h: S_{1} \otimes S_{2} \rightarrow \Gamma$. (In fact, in the first part of the paper we will only consider $S_{1}=S_{2}=\Gamma=L$, and $h$ equal to the multiplication map.)
2.6.1. Relations between different relative traces. We give a preliminary definition. In the situation of Definition 2.6.1, let $\alpha_{2} \in \operatorname{End}_{L}\left(\Pi_{2}\right)$. Let $\mu: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a strictly increasing function with cofinal image such that $\alpha_{2}\left(\Pi_{2, \lambda}\right) \subset \Pi_{2, \mu(\lambda)}$. We define the $\vartheta$-transpose of $\alpha_{2}$ to be the unique $\alpha_{2}^{\vartheta} \in \operatorname{End}_{L}\left(\Pi_{1}\right)$ whose restriction to $\Pi_{1, \mu(\lambda)}$ is the transpose of $\alpha_{2 \mid \Pi_{2, \lambda}}$ for the restriction of $\vartheta$.

Lemma 2.6.3. Let $D=\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2} ; \vartheta, \beta, T\right)$ and $D^{\prime}=\left(\Pi_{1}^{\prime}, \Pi_{2}^{\prime} ; \vartheta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ be data as in Definition 2.6.1. In each of the following, suppose that all the data in $D, D^{\prime}$ are equal except for the indicated differences.
(1) Suppose that $\beta^{\prime}=\beta \circ\left(1 \boxtimes \alpha_{2}\right)$ for some $\alpha_{2} \in \operatorname{End}_{L}\left(\Pi_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{\beta}(T)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(T^{\prime} \alpha_{2}^{\vartheta}\right),
$$

where $\alpha_{2}^{\vartheta} \in \operatorname{End}_{L}\left(\Pi_{1}\right)$ is the $\vartheta$-transpose of $\alpha_{2}$.
(2) Suppose that $\vartheta^{\prime}=\vartheta \circ\left(\alpha_{1} \boxtimes \mathrm{id}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=T \alpha_{1}$ for some L-isomorphism $\alpha_{1}: \Pi_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \Pi_{1}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{\beta}(T)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(T^{\prime}\right) .
$$

(3) Suppose that $\Pi_{i}^{\prime} \subset \Pi_{i}$ are all direct summands, that $\vartheta^{\prime}:=\vartheta_{\mid \Pi_{1}^{\prime *} \otimes \Pi_{2}^{\prime}}$ is a perfect pairing (in the sense that it satisfies the condition of Definition 2.6.1, and that $T\left(\Pi_{1}\right) \subset \Pi_{1}^{\prime}$. If $\beta^{\prime}=\beta_{\mid \Pi_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \Pi_{2}^{\prime}}$ and $T^{\prime}=T_{\mid \Pi_{1}^{\prime}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{\beta}(T)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(T^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The proof is elementary linear algebra.

## Part 1. $p$-adic $L$-functions and the analytic relative-trace formula

## 3. Jacquet-Rallis Relative-Trace formulas

We consider the traces of Hecke operators relative to two periods functionals and the Petersson inner product on automorphic forms for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, and compare (the resulting local terms) with a parallel relative-trace distribution for $G$. The substance of this section is not new. We omit detailed discussions of convergence issues, for which we refer to [Zha14b] or [BP21, Appendix A].
3.1. Period functionals and the distribution. Let $\mathscr{A}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ be the space of automorphic forms on $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$, and let $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ be its cuspidal subspace. We endow $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ with the bilinear Petersson product

$$
\vartheta\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right):=\int_{\left[\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right]} \phi_{1}(g) \phi_{2}(g) d g .
$$

3.1.1. Period functionals. We define two functionals on $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$.

For $\chi \in Y(\mathbf{C})$, the ( $\chi$-twisted) Rankin-Selberg period is the functional

$$
P_{1, \chi}(\phi):=\int_{\left[H_{1}^{\prime}\right]} \phi\left(h_{1}\right) \chi\left(h_{1}\right) d h_{1} .
$$

where $\chi\left(h_{1}\right):=\chi\left(N_{F / F_{0}} \operatorname{det} h_{1}\right)$,
The Flicker-Rallis period is the functional

$$
P_{2}(\phi):=\int_{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right]} \phi\left(h_{2}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) d h_{2},
$$

where $\eta\left(h_{2}\right):=\eta\left(\operatorname{det}\left(h_{n}\right)^{n+1} \operatorname{det}\left(h_{n+1}\right)^{n}\right)$ if $h_{2}=\left(\left[h_{n}\right],\left[h_{n+1}\right]\right)$.
3.1.2. Relative-trace distribution. We say that $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ is quasicuspidal if $R\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ sends $\mathscr{A}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ cf. [BPLZZ21, Definition 3.2]), and we denote by $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)_{\text {qc }}$ the space of quasicuspidal Hecke measures.

Definition 3.1.1. We define a relative-trace distribution on $\mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))_{\mathrm{qc}} \times Y(\mathbf{C})$ by

$$
I\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right):=C \cdot \operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{P_{1, \chi} \otimes P_{2}}\left(R\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

where the constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}} \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\circ} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{\circ}} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is motivated by rationality considerations. ${ }^{7}$
We note that the above definition does fit within the setup of Definition 2.6.1: we may write

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)=\underset{(K, \mathfrak{a})}{\lim } \mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{K, \mathfrak{a}=0}
$$

as $K$ varies among compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ among finite-codimension ideals in the center of the universal enveloping algebra of Lie $G_{\infty}^{\prime}$. The relative trace is well-defined by (the proof of) [Zha14a, Theorem 2.3]. (See also [BPCZ22, Proposition 2.8.4.1] for a more general result in a framework similar to ours.)

In the next two subsections we discuss the two expansions of $I$ : a spectral expansion, in terms of automorphic representations, and a geometric expansion, in terms of double orbits.
3.2. Spectral expansion. Let $\Pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$, which by multiplicity one we may and do identify with a subspace of $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$. We define a distribution on $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ by

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right):=C \cdot \operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta_{\Pi}}^{P_{1, \Pi, \chi} \otimes P_{2, \Pi} \vee}\left(\Pi\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

where we use subscripts to indicate the restriction of period functionals and Petersson product to $\Pi, \Pi^{\vee}, \Pi \otimes \Pi^{\vee}$.

We define some local periods, in order to factorize $I_{\Pi}$.
${ }^{7}[$ In fact I suspect that $C$ is the ratio between the measure on $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{A})$ coming from the split groups and the measure on $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{A})$ coming from unitary groups; anyway this remark does not seem necessary.]]
3.2.1. Whittaker models and rational structures. Let $\psi: F_{0} \backslash \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$be a nontrivial character, and let

$$
\psi_{F}:=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{F / F_{0}}(\cdot)\right): F \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}
$$

We inflate $\psi_{F}$ to a character of $\mathrm{N}_{n}\left(\mathbf{A}_{F_{0}}\right)$ by $\psi_{F, n}(u)=\psi_{F}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i, i+1}\right)$. Let $\Pi_{\nu}$ be an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}_{\nu}(\mathbf{A})$. Its $\psi$-Whittaker model $\mathscr{W}_{\psi}\left(\Pi_{\nu}\right)$ is the image of the map

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{W}: \Pi & C^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\nu}(\mathbf{A}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{\nu}(\mathbf{A}), \psi_{F, \nu}\right) \\
\phi & W_{\phi}(g):=\int_{\mathrm{N}_{\nu}(\mathbf{A})} \phi(u g) \bar{\psi}_{F, \nu}(u) d u \tag{3.2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\psi$-Whittaker model of $\Pi=\Pi_{n} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1}$ is $\mathscr{W}_{\psi}(\Pi)=\mathscr{W}_{\bar{\psi}}\left(\Pi_{n}\right) \boxtimes \mathscr{W}_{\psi}\left(\Pi_{n+1}\right)$; it has a $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})-$ factorization $\mathscr{W}_{\psi}(\Pi)=\bigotimes_{v} \mathscr{W}_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$.

We now consider rational structures, along the lines of [RS08, § 3.2]. Let $v$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$ with underlying rational prime $\ell$, and suppose that $\Pi_{v}$ is a smooth irreducible admissible representation of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ over a subfield $L \subset \mathbf{C}$. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / L)$, let $a_{\sigma} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}$be its image under the composition

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L\left(\mu_{\ell} \infty\right) / L\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}
$$

of the restriction and the cyclotomic character. Let $t_{\sigma, \nu}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{\sigma}^{\nu-1}, \ldots, 1\right)$ and let $t_{\sigma}:=$ $\left(t_{\sigma, n}, t_{\sigma, n+1}\right) \in G_{v}^{\prime}$. Then we may define an action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / L)$ on $\mathscr{W}_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{C}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\sigma}(g):=\sigma\left(W\left(t_{\sigma}^{-1} g\right)\right) \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will denote by $\mathscr{W}_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$ the space of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / L)$-invariants; it is an $L\left[G_{v}^{\prime}\right]$-module satisfying $\mathscr{W}_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{C} \cong \mathscr{W}_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{C}\right)$ (see [RS08, Lemma 3.2]).
3.2.2. Factorizations of the periods and Petersson product. For the following factorization results, see [Zha14b, § 3] and references therein. Let $\epsilon_{\nu}^{\prime}(\tau):=\operatorname{diag}\left(\tau^{\nu+\epsilon-1}, \tau^{\nu+\epsilon-2}, \ldots, \tau^{\epsilon-1}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}_{\nu}\left(F_{v}\right)$, where $\epsilon \in\{0,1\}$ has the same parity as $\nu$.

For $W=W_{n} \otimes W_{n+1} \in \mathscr{W}_{\psi, v}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$, define ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}(W) & :=\frac{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{v}^{2}, \psi_{v}\right)\binom{n+1}{2}}{L\left(1 / 2, \Pi_{v} \otimes \chi_{v}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{N}_{n}\left(F_{v}\right) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(F_{v}\right)} W\left(j_{1}\left(h_{1}\right)\right) \chi_{v}\left(h_{1}\right) d^{\natural} h_{1}, \\
P_{2, \Pi_{v}}(W) & :=\frac{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)\binom{n+1}{2}}{L\left(1, \Pi_{v}, \mathrm{As}^{-\star}\right)} P_{2, \Pi_{n, v}}^{\sharp}\left(W_{n}\right) P_{2, \Pi_{n+1, v}^{\sharp}}\left(W_{n+1}\right), \\
P_{2, \Pi_{\nu, v}}^{\sharp}\left(W_{\nu}\right) & :=\int_{\mathrm{N}_{\nu-1}\left(F_{0, v}\right) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{\nu-1}\left(F_{0, v}\right)} W_{\nu}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon_{\nu-1}^{\prime}(\tau) h_{2, \nu-1} & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \eta_{v}\left(\operatorname{det} h_{2, \nu-1}\right)^{\nu-1} d^{\natural} h_{2, \nu-1} . \tag{3.2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L\left(1, \Pi_{v}, \mathrm{As}^{-\star}\right)=\prod_{\nu=n}^{n+1} L\left(1, \Pi_{\nu, v}, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{\nu-1}}\right)$.

[^5]For $W \in \mathscr{W}_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right), W^{\vee} \in \mathscr{W}_{\bar{\psi}_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}^{\vee}\right)$, define
$\vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}\left(W, W^{\vee}\right):=L\left(1, \Pi_{v} \times \Pi_{v}^{\vee}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\nu=n}^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu-1}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{\nu-1}(F)} W_{\nu}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}g_{\nu-1} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)\right) W_{\nu}^{\vee}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}g_{\nu-1} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)\right) d^{\natural} g_{\nu-1}$.
Remark 3.2.1. With our normalizations, when all the data are unramified and $W(1)=W^{\vee}(1)=$ 1, we have

$$
P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}(W)=P_{2, \Pi_{v}}(W)=\vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}\left(W, W^{\vee}\right)=1 .
$$

Moreover, the three functionals are rational in the following sense. If $\Pi_{v}$ is defined over a subfield $L \subset \mathbf{C}$, by (3.2.2) and a change of variable we see that for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / L)$ we have

$$
P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}^{\sigma}}\left(W^{\sigma}\right)=\sigma P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}(W), \quad P_{2, \Pi_{v}}\left(W^{\sigma}\right)=\sigma P_{2, \Pi_{v}}(W), \quad \vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}\left(W^{\sigma}, W^{\vee, \sigma}\right)=\sigma \vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}\left(W, W^{\vee}\right)
$$

We may now state the factorization (see [Zha14b, $\S 3]$ and $\S 3.2 .4$ below): for any $\phi \in \Pi$ with factorizable $\psi$-Whittaker function $W=\otimes_{v} W_{v} \in \mathscr{W}_{\psi}(\Pi)$, and $\phi^{\vee} \in \Pi^{\vee}$ with factorizable $\bar{\psi}$-Whittaker function $W^{\vee}=\otimes_{v} W_{v}^{\vee} \in \mathscr{W}_{\bar{\psi}}\left(\Pi^{\vee}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1, \Pi, \chi}(\phi) & =\frac{L(1 / 2, \Pi \otimes \chi)}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\circ} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}, \psi\right)^{(n+1} 2} \prod_{v} P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}\left(W_{v}\right) \\
P_{2, \Pi}\left(\phi^{\vee}\right) & =\frac{n(n+1) L^{*}\left(1, \Pi, \mathrm{As}^{-\star}\right)}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{\circ}} \prod_{v} P_{2, \Pi_{v}}\left(W_{v}^{\vee}\right)  \tag{3.2.4}\\
\vartheta_{\Pi}\left(\phi, \phi^{\vee}\right) & =\frac{4 n(n+1) L^{*}\left(1, \Pi \times \Pi^{\vee}\right)}{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{\circ}} \prod_{v} \vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}\left(W_{v}, W_{v}^{\vee}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where 4 is the Tamagawa number of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. In the factorization of $P_{2}$, we have used that $\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta, \psi\right)=$ 1.
3.2.3. Local spherical character. We define a character

$$
I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}}^{P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi v} \otimes P_{2, \Pi_{v}^{v}}}\left(R\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

on $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ [[same as in [Zha14b], except for Petersson on G and not $\mathrm{G}^{\text {ad }}$, and our normalization of measures (so we have the same factors $\left.\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{i, v}^{\prime a},}\right]$.

### 3.2.4. Comparison with the normalization of [Zha14b]. Let

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{G}_{n}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\prime}
$$

and let us identify representations of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ with representations of $\widetilde{G}_{v}^{\prime}$ whose central character is trivial on $\left(F_{0, v}^{\times}\right)^{2}$. In [Zha14b], one considers a distribution $\widetilde{I}_{\Pi_{v}}$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(\widetilde{G}_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ (denoted there by $\left.I_{\Pi_{v}}\right)$, and a global distribution $\widetilde{I}_{\Pi}$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ (denoted there by $\left.I_{\Pi}\right) .{ }^{9}$ If $f=\otimes_{v} f_{v} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ and

[^6]$\dot{f}=\otimes_{v} \dot{f}_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\widetilde{G}_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ are related by (3.3.13), then
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}, \chi_{v}\right) & =\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime a d}, v}^{\circ} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime \text { ad }, v}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\text {ad }}, v}^{\circ}} \cdot\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{v}^{2}, \psi_{v}\right)\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \cdot \widetilde{I}_{\Pi_{v}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}, \chi_{v}\right),  \tag{3.2.5}\\
I_{\Pi}(f, \chi) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right], d^{*} z\right)} \frac{\zeta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{*}(1)}{\zeta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}(1) \zeta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{*}(1)} \widetilde{I}_{\Pi}(\dot{f}, \chi)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}}^{*}(1) \zeta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{*}(1)} \widetilde{I}_{\Pi}(\dot{f}, \chi),
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where the factor $\operatorname{vol}\left(\left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\right], d^{*} z\right)=4 L(1, \eta)^{2}=4 \zeta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{*}(1)$ accounts for the fact that the Petersson product in $\left[\right.$ Zha14b] is defined via integration on $\left[G^{\prime a d}\right]=\left[\widetilde{G}^{\prime a d}\right]$ and not $\left[G^{\prime}\right]$.
3.2.5. Factorization of the spherical character. Define

$$
\mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi):=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}} \frac{L(1 / 2, \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L\left(1, \Pi, \mathrm{As}^{\star}\right)},
$$

which agrees with the definition made in the introduction as noted in $\S$ 2.2.1. We use the analogous notation relative to the constituents $\Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}$ for $v$ a finite place of $F_{0}$ or $v=\infty$.

Proposition 3.2.2. For all $f^{\prime}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$, there is a factorization

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi)}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}} \prod_{v} I_{\Pi, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

Proof. Using (3.2.5), the factorization in [Zha14b, Proposition 3.6] is equivalent to

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=C \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}}^{\circ} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{\circ}} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{-\binom{n+1}{2}} \cdot \frac{L(1 / 2, \Pi \otimes \chi)}{L\left(1, \Pi, \mathrm{As}^{\star}\right)} \cdot \prod_{v} I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) .
$$

By the definition of $C$ in (3.1.1) and of $\mathscr{L}$, this is equivalent to the asserted formula. (Equivalently, the factorization follows from (3.2.4).)

We will state the spectral expansion $I=\sum_{\Pi} I_{\Pi}$ as part of Proposition 3.3.3 below.
3.3. Geometric expansion. The distribution $I$ also admits an expansion as a sum of orbital integrals, which we review.
3.3.1. Orbit varieties. Let

$$
\mathrm{B}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\prime} / \mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}
$$

be the categorical quotient, which is an affine variety over $F_{0}$, cf. [Zha14a]. We have isomorphisms $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{G}_{n}^{\prime} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}_{n+1,0}^{\prime}$ given by $\left[\left(g_{n+1}, g_{n}\right) \mapsto\left[g_{n}^{-1} g_{n+1}\right]\right.$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}:=\left\{\gamma \in \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\prime} \mid \gamma \bar{\gamma}=1_{n}\right\} \cong \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}_{n+1,0}^{\prime} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by $g \mapsto g g^{\mathrm{c},-1}$; the latter map also gives a bijection on $F^{\prime}$-points $\mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right) / \mathrm{G}_{n+1,0}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{S}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ for any field $F^{\prime} \supset F_{0}$.

Representing a point of $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ by a matrix in S given in $(n, 1) \times(n, 1)$ block decomposition, the invariant map

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { inv }: \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \\
&\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \longmapsto((\operatorname{Res}  \tag{3.3.2}\\
& F / F_{0} \\
& \mathbb{A}^{2 n+1}\left.\left.\left.\wedge^{i} A\right)\right)_{i=1}^{n},\left(c A^{j-1} b\right)_{j=1}^{n}, d\right)
\end{align*}
$$

gives an embedding into affine space. We define the Zariski open and dense subvariety of regular semisimple orbits

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{B}^{\prime}-V\left(D_{B}\right) \subset \mathrm{B}^{\prime}
$$

where $D_{B}$ is the invariant function given, on the orbit of $s \in \mathrm{~S}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{B}([s]):=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(e_{n+1}^{\mathrm{t}} s^{i+j} e_{n+1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\right), \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{n+1}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)^{\mathrm{t}} \in F^{n+1}$. The preimage of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, respectively S , is denoted by $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$, respectively $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{rs}}$; their elements are also said to be regular semisimple. For any field $F^{\prime} \supset F_{0}$, the $F^{\prime}$-points of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$, respectively $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{rs}}$, are those with trivial stabilizer and closed orbit for the action of $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, respectively the adjoint action of $\mathrm{G}_{n}^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$.
3.3.2. Local orbital integrals. Let $v$ a place of $F_{0}$, and let $\gamma^{\prime} \in G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$. Then for all $\chi_{v}: F_{0, v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$, we define the orbital integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right):=\int_{H_{1, v}^{\prime}} \int_{H_{2, v}^{\prime}} f_{v}^{\prime}\left(h_{1, v}^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2, v}\right) \chi\left(h_{1, v}\right) \eta\left(h_{2, v}\right) \frac{d^{\natural} h_{1, v} d^{\natural} h_{2, v}}{d^{\natural} g_{v}}, \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that $f_{v}^{\prime} / d^{\natural} g_{v}$ is a function.
For $h_{1} \in H_{1, v}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime} \in H_{2, v}^{\prime}$, we have $I_{h_{1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2}}=\chi\left(h_{1}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) I_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. We then add a renormalization factor to the orbital integral so that it only depends on the orbit $\gamma=\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right] \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ of $\gamma^{\prime}$. Let $\eta^{\prime}: F^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$be a character such that $\eta_{\mathbf{A}^{\times}}^{\prime}=\eta$. For each place $v$ of $F_{0}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \gamma_{n+1}^{\prime}\right) \in$ $G_{v, \text { rs }}^{\prime}$, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{*}:=\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \gamma_{n+1}^{\prime-1}, \quad s=\gamma_{*} \gamma_{*}^{\mathrm{c},-1} \in S_{v}=\mathrm{S}\left(F_{0, v}\right) \tag{3.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right):=\chi_{v}^{-1}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right) \eta^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{*}\right)^{\epsilon} \operatorname{det} s^{-(n+\epsilon) / 2} \operatorname{det}\left(e_{n+1}^{t}, e_{n+1}^{t} s, \ldots, e_{n+1}^{t} s^{n}\right)\right) \tag{3.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon:=0$ if $n$ is even, $\epsilon:=1$ if $n$ is odd.
Up to the dependence on $\chi_{v}$, this is the transfer factor $\Omega_{v}$ of [Zha14b, (4.12)-(4.13)] (cf. § 3.5.3 below) - that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=\Omega_{v}\left(\gamma_{v}\right) ; \tag{3.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

it similarly satisfies $\kappa_{v}\left(h_{1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)=\chi_{v}^{-1}\left(h_{1}\right) \eta_{v}\left(h_{2}\right) \kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{v} \kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=1 \tag{3.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$. We also record the following rationality property.
Lemma 3.3.1. Let $\gamma^{\prime} \in G_{v, \mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$. Then $\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ is a square root of $\eta_{v}(-1)^{-\binom{n+1}{2}}$.
Proof. With notation as above, write $n=2 m+\epsilon$ and let

$$
a:=\operatorname{det}\left(e_{n+1}^{t}, \ldots, e_{n+1}^{t} s^{n}\right) \operatorname{det} s^{-(m+\epsilon)} \operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{*}\right)^{\epsilon}=\operatorname{det}\left(e_{n+1}^{t} s^{-m-\epsilon}, \ldots, e_{n+1}^{t} s^{m}\right) \operatorname{det} \gamma_{*}^{\epsilon},
$$

which satisfies $\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=\eta_{v}^{\prime}(a)$. Using $s^{\mathrm{c}}=s^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{*}^{\mathrm{c}}=s^{-1} \gamma_{*}$, we find

$$
a^{\mathrm{c}}=(-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(e_{n+1}^{t} s^{-m-\epsilon}, \ldots, e_{n+1}^{t} s^{m}\right) \operatorname{det} \gamma_{*}=(-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} a
$$

where $(-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{n+1}{2}\end{array}\right.$ is the sign of the longest permutation on $n+1$ elements. The assertion of the lemma follows.

We may now define, for $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$,

$$
I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right):=\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) I_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

for any $\gamma^{\prime} \in G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ with image $\gamma$.
3.3.3. Global orbital integrals. For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$, any $f:=\otimes_{v} f_{v} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$, and any character $\chi \in Y(\mathbf{C})$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right):=C \cdot \int_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})} \int_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})} f^{\prime}\left(h_{1}^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2}\right) \chi\left(h_{1}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) \frac{d h_{1} d h_{2}}{d g} . \tag{3.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the definition (but not the following factorisation) makes sense for any locally constant function $\chi: F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Lemma 3.3.2. Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$, let $f^{\prime}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$, and let $\chi \in Y(\mathbf{C})$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right):=C \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}}^{\circ} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{\circ}} \prod_{v} I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}}{\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}\right)^{2}} \prod_{v} I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) \tag{3.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for almost all finite places $v$ of $F_{0}$ such that $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ equals the unit Hecke measure (2.3.1) and $\chi_{v}$ is unramified, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=1 \tag{3.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We only need to prove the last assertion, as the first one follows by the definitions. Let $v$ be such that $\gamma_{v} \in \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right)$ and $v$ is unramified in $F$; then $\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=1$ for any lift $\gamma_{v}^{\prime} \in G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ of $\gamma_{v}$. Let $s=s\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \in S_{v}$ be as in (3.3.5). Then by [Zha14b, (4.22)] we have

$$
I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime \circ}, \chi_{v}\right)=\int_{G_{n, 0}^{\prime}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{S}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}, v}\right)}\left(h^{-1} s h\right) d h
$$

Let $D_{B}$ be the discriminant function (3.3.3). Since $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right)$, we have $s \in \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right)$ and $D_{B}\left(\gamma_{v}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(e_{n+1}^{\mathrm{t}} s^{i+j} e_{n+1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\right) \in \mathscr{O}_{F_{0}, v}^{\times}$. This means that

$$
\Lambda:=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathscr{O}_{F_{v}} e_{n+1}^{\mathrm{t}} s^{i}=\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}^{n+1}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}, \quad \Lambda^{\prime}:=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{O}_{F_{v}} s^{j} e_{n+1}=\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}^{n+1} .
$$

Now for $h \in H_{1, v}^{\prime}$ we have $h^{-1} s h \in \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right)$ if and only if we also have $\Lambda h=\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}^{n+1}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}=\Lambda$ and $h^{-1} \Lambda^{\prime}=\mathscr{O}_{F_{v}}^{n+1}=\Lambda^{\prime}$. In other words, both $h$ and $h^{-1}$ belong to $M_{n}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F}\right)$. We conclude that

$$
I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime \circ}, \chi_{v}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right), d h\right)=1
$$

3.3.4. Comparison with the normalization of [Zha14b]. In [Zha14b, §4], one considers the distribution on Hecke functions (and not measures) on $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$, defined by

$$
\widetilde{I}_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right):=\int_{H_{1, v}} \int_{H_{2, v}} \dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}\left(h_{1, v}^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2, v}\right) \chi\left(h_{1, v}\right) \eta\left(h_{2, v}\right) \frac{d^{*} h_{1, v} d^{*} h_{2, v}}{d^{*} g}
$$

(and denoted there by $O\left(\gamma, f^{\prime}\right)$ ), and the global analogue

$$
\widetilde{I}_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(\dot{f}^{\prime}, \chi\right):=\prod_{v} \widetilde{I}_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

Let p: $\widetilde{G}_{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{v}^{\prime}$ be the projection, and let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p}_{*}: \mathcal{S}\left(\widetilde{G}_{v}^{\prime}\right) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right) \\
\dot{f}^{\prime} & \longmapsto\left(g=[\widetilde{g}] \longmapsto \int_{F_{0, v}^{\times 2}} \dot{f}^{\prime}(z \widetilde{g}) d^{*} z\right) . \tag{3.3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $f^{\prime}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ and $\dot{f}^{\prime}=\otimes_{v} \dot{f_{v}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{v}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}_{*}\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}\right) d^{*} g_{v} \tag{3.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) & =\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime \text { ad }}, v}^{\circ} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\text {'ad }, v}}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime a \mathrm{ad}}, v}^{\circ}} \widetilde{I}_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right),  \tag{3.3.14}\\
I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right) & =C \frac{\zeta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}^{*}(1)}{\zeta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}}^{*}(1) \zeta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}}^{*}(1)} \prod_{v} \widetilde{I}_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}, \chi\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We will also denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{*}: \mathscr{H}\left(\widetilde{G}_{v}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right) \tag{3.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the pushforward map of Hecke measures.
3.3.5. Relative-trace formula for $I$. We describe the spectral and geometric expansions of $I$. For $S$ a finite set of places of $F_{0}$, we say that $f^{\prime S} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right)\right)$ is regular-semisimply supported (resp. regularly supported) if it is in the span of those $\otimes_{v \notin S} f_{v}^{\prime}$ such that for some place $v, f_{v}^{\prime}$ is supported on $G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ (resp. on the regular locus of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ ).
Proposition 3.3.3. Let $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ be regular-semisimply supported and quasicuspidal. Then for every character $\chi \in Y(\mathbf{C})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Pi} I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=I\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right) . \tag{3.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both sums are absolutely convergent, the first one running over the cuspidal hermitian automorphic representations of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ that are $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$-distinguished. If $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ is regularly supported and quasicuspidal, then on the right hand side one needs to include the orbital integrals $I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$ defined by Chaudouard and Zydor [CZ21] (for $\chi=1$, but their definition is easily generalized to finite order characters $\chi$ ).

Proof. The proof of [BP21, Proposition A.2.1] applies. We briefly recall the formal steps for the geometric expansion. Defining the kernel measure

$$
K_{f^{\prime}}(x, y):=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} f^{\prime}\left(x^{-1} \gamma y\right),
$$

we have

$$
I\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=C \int_{\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbf{A})} \int_{\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathbf{A})} K_{f^{\prime}}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \chi\left(h_{1}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) \frac{d h_{1} d h_{2}}{d g} .
$$

Then one can switch the integrations and the sum.
Lemma 3.3.4. Let $f^{\prime}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})\right)$ be regularly supported at a split place $v_{0}$. Then, for all regular non-semisimple $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$, the orbital integral $I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ vanishes.

Proof. By decent it suffices to consider the (most degenerate) case: $\gamma$ is a regular element in the fiber $G_{\mathrm{inv}=\mathrm{inv}(1)}^{\prime}$ under the invariant map (3.3.2) of the image of 1 . The orbital integral of Chaudouard and Zydor

$$
f_{v_{0}} \in \mathscr{H}_{v_{0}} \longmapsto I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v_{0}} \otimes\left(\otimes_{v \neq v_{0}} f^{v}\right)\right)
$$

defines an invariant distribution on $G_{v_{0}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v_{0}}\right)$, with support on $G_{\mathrm{inv=inv}(1)}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v_{0}}\right)$. We would like to show that its restriction to the regular locus $G_{\mathrm{inv}=\operatorname{inv}(1)}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v_{0}}\right)$ vanishes. There are two regular orbits and each of them is an open subset of $G_{\mathrm{inv}=\mathrm{inv}(1)}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v_{0}}\right)$. There is a unique (up to scalar) invariant distribution on each of the regular orbit, namely the one induced by the Haar measure and an isomorphism $\left(H_{1}^{\prime} \times H_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(F_{0, v_{0}}\right)$ with a regular orbit. However this distribution does not extend to the entire space $G_{\mathrm{inv}=\operatorname{inv}(1)}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v_{0}}\right)$. This can be seen by the divergence of the integral (for example, an explicit formula may be obtained from [Zha14b, §6.3] by replacing the character $\eta$ by the trivial one). The proof is complete.
3.4. Relative traces for unitary groups. We review the Jacquet-Rallis RTF for unitary groups.
3.4.1. Orbit spaces. Let $v$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$ or $v=\infty$, and recall from $\S 2.1 .3$ the set $\mathscr{V}_{v}$ of pairs of hermitian spaces. For $V \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{v, V}:=H_{v}^{V} \backslash G_{v}^{V} / H_{v}^{V}, \tag{3.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is isomorphic to the quotient of $U\left(V_{n+1}\right)$ by the adjoint action of $U\left(V_{n}\right)$ via the map $\left[\left(g_{n}, g_{n+1}\right)\right] \mapsto\left[g_{n}^{-1} g_{n+1}\right]$. Differently from the linear case, $B_{v, V}$ is a strict subset (open for the $v$-adic topology if $v$ is non-archimedean) of the set of $F_{0, v}$-points of $\mathrm{B}_{v}^{V}:=\mathrm{H}_{v}^{V} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{v}^{V} / \mathrm{H}_{v}^{V}$. We say that $g \in G_{v}^{V}$ is regular semisimple (for the $H_{v}^{V} \times H_{v}^{V}$-action) if its orbit is closed and its stabilizer is trivial. We denote by $G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{V} \subset G_{v}^{V}$ the (Zariski-open) subset of regular semisimple elements and by $B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{V}$ its image in $B_{v}^{V}$. When $v=\infty$ is archimedean and $V_{\infty}=V_{\infty}^{\circ}$ is the positive definite pair, we denote $B_{(\mathrm{rs}), \infty}^{\circ}:=B_{(\mathrm{rs}), \infty, V_{\infty}^{\circ}}$.

Consider now the global case, and let $V \in \mathscr{V}$. We similarly define $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{V} \subset \mathrm{G}^{V}$ to be the sub-group-scheme of those $g$ with closed orbit and trivial stabilizers for the $\mathrm{H}^{V} \times \mathrm{H}^{V}$-action. For uniformity of notation, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}\left(F_{0}\right)_{V} \subset \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{V}\left(F_{0}\right) \tag{3.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the image of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{V}\left(F_{0}\right)$.
3.4.2. Local distributions. Let $\delta \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v, V}$ and let $\delta^{\prime} \in G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{V}$ be a preimage of $\delta$. We define a local orbital-integral distribution $J_{\delta, v}=J_{\delta, v}^{V}$ on the Hecke algebra of $G_{v}=G_{v}^{V}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\delta, v}\left(f_{v}\right):=\int_{H_{v}} \int_{H_{v}} f_{v}\left(x^{-1} \delta^{\prime} y\right) \frac{d^{\natural} x d^{\natural} y}{d^{\natural} g} . \tag{3.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\pi_{v}$ a representation of $G_{v}=G_{v}^{V}$, we define a spherical character $J_{\pi_{v}}=J_{\pi_{v}}^{V}$ on $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right):=\mathscr{L}\left(1 / 2, \mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)^{-1} \int_{H_{v}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\pi_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}(h) \pi_{v}(f)\right) d^{\natural} h . \tag{3.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our choices of measures, for all finite $v$, if $f_{v}$ is $L$-valued (for some subfield $L \subset \mathbf{C}$ ) then so are $J_{\delta, v}\left(f_{v}\right), J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)$.
3.4.3. Comparison with the normalization of [Zha14b]. Let $f_{v} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)$ and $\dot{f}_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(G_{v}\right)$ be related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{v}=\dot{f}_{v} d^{*} g \tag{3.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Let $\widetilde{J}_{\pi_{v}}$ be the spherical character on $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{v}\right)$ defined in [Zha14b, (1.8)] (using the measure $d^{*} h_{v}$ on $H_{v}$ as in $\S 4 i b i d$.), and denoted there by $J_{\pi_{v}}^{\natural}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=D_{v}^{1 / 2} \Delta_{H_{v}^{\text {ad }}}^{\circ} \widetilde{J}_{\pi_{v}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}\right), \tag{3.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Let $\widetilde{J}_{\delta}$ be the orbital integral distribution on $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{v}\right)$ defined in [Zha14b, (4.2)], and denoted there by $O(\delta, \cdot)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\delta}\left(f_{v}\right)=\frac{\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{ad}}, v}^{\circ}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}, v}^{\circ}} \widetilde{J}_{\delta}\left(\dot{f}_{v}\right) . \tag{3.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.4.4. Global relative-trace formula. Let now $V \in \mathscr{V}$ be coherent, and let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}$. Let $\vartheta$ : $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}(\mathrm{G}) \otimes$ $\mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the Petersson inner product (with respect to the Tamagawa measure on $[\mathrm{G}]$ ), and consider the H-period

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=P^{V}: \mathscr{A}_{\text {cusp }}(\mathrm{G}) & \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \\
\phi & \longmapsto \int_{[\mathrm{H}]} \phi(h) d h
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the following distributions on (subspaces of) $\mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))$ :

- let $\mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))_{\mathrm{qc}} \subset \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))$ be the quasicuspidal subspace (defined as in $\left.\S 3.1 .2\right)$. For $f \in$ $\mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))_{\mathrm{qc}}$, we define

$$
J(f):=\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{P \otimes P}(R(f)) ;
$$

- let $\pi$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$. For $f \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))$, we define

$$
J_{\pi}(f):=\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta_{\pi}}^{P_{\pi} \otimes P_{\pi}}(\pi(f)) ;
$$

- let $\delta \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}\left(F_{0}\right)$. For $f=\otimes_{v} f_{v} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\delta}(f):=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}}{\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}\right)^{2}} \prod_{v} J_{\delta, v}\left(f_{v}\right)=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} J_{\delta, v}\left(f_{v}\right) \cdot J_{\delta, \infty}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}\right) . \tag{3.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously to Proposition 3.3.3, we have the spectral and geometric expansions ([BP21, Proposition A.2.1])

$$
\sum_{\pi} J_{\pi}(f)=J(f)=\sum_{\delta \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}\left(F_{0}\right)} J_{\delta}(f)
$$

valid whenever $f \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}))$ is quasicuspidal with regular support, where the second sum runs over cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$.

However, unlike the factorization

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi)}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}} \prod_{v} I_{\Pi, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

of Proposition 3.2.2, the analogous factorization

$$
J_{\pi}=\frac{1}{4 \Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}} \mathscr{L}(1 / 2, \Pi, \mathbf{1}) \prod_{v} J_{\pi_{v}}
$$

for a stable cuspidal tempered representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$ is highly nontrivial, and equivalent to the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture for unitary groups [Zha14b, Conjecture 1.1], whose proof is completed in [BPLZZ21]. The proof, reviewed in § ?? below, goes through a comparison of local orbital integrals $I_{\gamma, v}$ and $J_{\delta, v}$ and of local spherical characters $I_{\Pi_{v}}$ and $I_{\pi}$. We first review the main results on the local comparison, which are equally important in the arithmetic setting.

### 3.5. Comparison of the local distributions.

3.5.1. Spectral matching. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$. For $V \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$ and $\pi_{v}^{V} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{V}\right)$, define a spectral transfer factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(\pi_{v}^{V}\right)=\kappa\left(\pi_{v}^{V}, \psi_{v}, \tau\right):=\eta_{v}^{\prime}\left((-1)^{n+1} \tau\right)\left(_{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \eta_{v}\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(V_{n}\right)\right)^{n} \cdot \omega_{\pi_{v}^{V}}(-1) ; \tag{3.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

this is the same as in [Zha14b, Conjecture 4.4] with the correction of [BP21a, Remark 5.52], up to a factor $\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{v}, \psi\right)\binom{n+1}{2} . .^{10}$

Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $F_{0}$. Denote $\mathscr{V}_{S}:=\prod_{v \in S} \mathscr{V}_{v}$; for $V=\left(V_{v}\right)_{v \in S} \in \mathscr{V}_{S}$, denote $\operatorname{Temp}\left(\left(H_{S}^{V}\right) \backslash G_{S}^{V}\right)=\prod_{v \in S} \operatorname{Temp}\left(\left(H_{v}^{V_{v}}\right) \backslash G_{v}^{V_{v}}\right)$; for $\pi_{S}^{V} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{S}^{V}\right)$, set $\kappa\left(\pi_{S}^{V}\right):=\prod_{v \in S} \kappa\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ and $J_{\pi_{S}}:=\otimes_{v \in S} J_{\pi_{v}}$. For $\Pi_{S} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{S}^{\prime}\right):=\prod_{v \in S} \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$, let $I_{\Pi_{S}}:=\otimes_{v \in S} I_{\Pi_{v}}$.
Definition 3.5.1. We say that Hecke measures $f_{S}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(f_{S}^{V}\right)_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}} \in \prod_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}^{V}\right)$ match spectrally if for all $V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}$ and all $\pi_{S}^{V} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(H_{S}^{V} \backslash G_{S}^{V}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{S}^{V}\right)}\left(f_{S}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=\kappa\left(\pi_{S}^{V}\right) J_{\pi_{S}}\left(f_{S}^{V}\right) \tag{3.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.5.2. Geometric matching. Let us first recall the matching of orbits for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and G ; for the details, see [Zha12, § 2.1]. Let $V \in \mathscr{V}_{v}$. Orbits $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ and $\delta \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v, V}$ are said to match if a lift $\gamma^{\prime} \in S_{v} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\left(F_{v}\right)$ of $\gamma$ and a lift $\delta^{\prime} \in U\left(V_{n+1}\right) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ of $\delta$ are conjugate for the adjoint action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$. This notion is independent of the choices of the lifts and of the basis of $V_{n+1}$ giving the embedding $U\left(V_{n+1}\right) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F)$. The matching relation defines a bijection (an isomorphism of $F_{0, v}$-manifolds if $v$ is non-archimedean)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\delta}: B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime} \cong \bigsqcup_{V \in \mathscr{H}_{v}} B_{\mathrm{rs}, v, V} . \tag{3.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S$ is a finite set of places of $F_{0}$, by taking products we obtain a matching bijection

$$
\underline{\delta}: B_{\mathrm{rs}, S}^{\prime} \cong \bigsqcup_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}} B_{\mathrm{rs}, S, V}
$$

[^7]where $B_{\mathrm{rs}, S}^{\prime}:=\prod_{v \in S} B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}, B_{\mathrm{rs}, S, V}:=\prod_{v \in S} B_{\mathrm{rs}, v, V_{v}}$.
For the number field $F_{0}$ and for $V \in \mathscr{V}$, with the notation of (3.4.2) we have an analogous bijection
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\delta}: \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \cong \bigsqcup_{V \in \mathscr{V}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{rs}}\left(F_{0}\right)_{V} . \tag{3.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

compatible with (3.5.3)
Definition 3.5.2. Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $F_{0}$. We say that Hecke measures $f_{S}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(f_{S}^{V}\right)_{V} \in \prod_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}^{V}\right)$ match geometrically if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma, S}\left(f_{S}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}_{S}\right)=J_{\delta, S}\left(f_{S}^{V}\right) \tag{3.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, S}^{\prime}$ and $\delta \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, S, V}$ match.
3.5.3. Comparison with the normalization of [Zha14b]. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$. Suppose that $f_{v}^{\prime}$ is related to $\dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}$ as in (3.3.13) and $f_{v}$ is related to $\dot{f}_{v}$ as in (3.4.5). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{v}:=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime \text { ad }}, v}^{\circ} \Delta_{\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime \text { ad }}, v}^{\circ}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime \text { ad }}, v}^{\circ}} \cdot \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}^{\text {ad }}, v}^{\circ}}{\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\text {ad }, v}}^{\circ}\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) By (3.2.5), (3.4.6), the Hecke measures $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\left(f_{v}^{V}\right)$ match spectrally if and only if $c_{v} \dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{V}\right)$ match spectrally in the sense ([Zha14b, Conjecture 4.4 and last equation on p. 566]) that

$$
\widetilde{I}_{\Pi_{v}}\left(c_{v} \dot{f}_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\kappa\left(\pi_{v}^{V}\right) \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}, v}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}, v} \zeta_{\mathrm{H}, v}(1)} \cdot \widetilde{J}_{\pi_{v}}\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{V}\right)
$$

(2) By (3.3.7), (3.3.14), (3.4.7), the Hecke measures $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\left(f_{v}^{V}\right)$ match geometrically if and only if $c_{v} \dot{f}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\dot{f}_{v}^{V}\right)$ match geometrically in the sense of [Zha14b, (4.14)], namely

$$
\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{I}_{\gamma^{\prime}}\left(c_{v} \dot{f}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=\widetilde{J}_{\delta}\left(\dot{f}_{v}\right)
$$

for all matching pairs of orbits $(\gamma, \delta)$.
3.5.4. Main results on the local comparisons. Each of the following is a deep result.

Proposition 3.5.3 (Equivalence of spectral and geometric matching). Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $F_{0}$. The pairs $f_{S}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(f_{S}^{V}\right) \in \prod_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}^{V}\right)$ match spectrally if and only if they match geometrically.
Proof. The proof of [BPLZZ21, Lemma 4.9], based on [BP21a, BP21], applies. (As noted in [BPLZZ21, Remark 4.10], in general this relies on [Mok15, KMSW].) Note that by § 3.5.3, the comparisons of matchings in locc. citt., whose conventions are inherited from [Zha14b], are compatible with ours.

From now on we will simply say that $f_{S}^{\prime}$ and $\left(f_{S}^{V}\right)$ match when they match spectrally and geometrically. For a fixed $V \in \mathscr{V}_{S}$, we say that $f_{S}^{\prime}$ purely matches $f_{S}^{V}$ if it matches $\left(f_{S}^{V},\left(0^{V^{\prime}}\right)_{V^{\prime} \neq V}\right)$.
Proposition 3.5.4 (Fundamental Lemma [Yun11, BP21b]). Let $v$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$ that is unramified in $F$, let $V \in \mathscr{V}$ be the unramified pair of hermitian spaces, and recall the unit Hecke measures from (2.3.1).

The unit Hecke measure $f_{v}^{\prime \circ}$ on $G_{v}^{\prime}$ purely matches the unit measure $f_{v}^{\circ}$ on $G_{v}^{V}$.

Proposition 3.5.5 (Existence of matching [Zha14a, Theorem 2.6]). Let $v$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$. For every $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$, a matching $\left(f^{V}\right) \in \prod_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{v}}$ exists; conversely, for every $\left(f^{V}\right) \in \prod_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{v}}$, a matching $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ exists.

A matching result for archimedean places will be proved in § 4.3.2. We will also need to note the following (relatively easy) fact.

Lemma 3.5.6 ([Zha14a, Proposition 2.5]). Let $v=w \bar{w}$ be a split place of $F_{v}$, and identify $G_{v} \cong G_{n, 0}^{\prime} \times G_{n+1,0}^{\prime}$. Then $f_{v}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}_{*}\left(f_{w}^{\prime} \otimes f_{\bar{w}}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ matches $f_{v}:=f_{w} * f_{\bar{w}}^{\vee} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)$.

## 4. Rationality

This section is dedicated to establishing the rationality of our $L$-values and a rational relativetrace formula. From now on, we assume that $F_{0}$ is totally real and $F$ is CM.
4.0.1. Notation. For a locally compact and totally disconnected group $G$ with a fixed Haar measure $d g$, from now on we denote by $\mathscr{H}(G)$ the sheaf of smooth compactly supported $\mathscr{O}_{\text {Spec }} \mathbf{Q}^{-}$ multiples of $d g$; we will write $\mathscr{H}(G, R):=\mathscr{H}(G)(R)$. (Thus the object denoted by $\mathscr{H}(G)$ in the previous section will henceforth be denoted by $\mathscr{H}(G, \mathbf{C}))$.
4.1. Archimedean theory. We define some rational variant of the archimedean distributions of the previous section. Denote $G_{\infty}^{\circ}:=G_{\infty}^{V_{\infty}^{\circ}}, H_{\infty}^{\circ}:=H_{\infty}^{V_{\infty}^{\circ}}, B_{\infty}^{\circ}:=B_{\infty, V_{\infty}^{\circ}}$.
4.1.1. A product of transfer factors. Let

$$
\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right):=\prod_{v \mid \infty} \kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{v}\right)
$$

be the product of (3.5.1) for the trivial representation of the positive-definite group $G_{\infty}^{\circ}$.
Lemma 4.1.1. For each $\gamma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, \infty}\right)$, we have $\kappa_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right) \kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.3.1, the first factor is a square root of $(-1)^{-\binom{n+1}{2}\left[F_{0}: \mathbf{Q}\right]}$; so are $\eta_{\infty}^{\prime}(\tau)\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{n+1}{2}\end{array}\right.$ and, hence, the second factor.
4.1.2. Distributions on $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{C}\right)$. For any tempered representation $\Pi_{\infty}$ of $G_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and any $\gamma \in$ $B_{\mathrm{rs}, \infty}^{\prime}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Pi_{\infty}}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}\right) & :=\frac{1}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}} \mathscr{L}\left(1 / 2, \Pi_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}\right) I_{\pi_{\infty}}\left(f_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}\right), \\
I_{\gamma}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \chi_{\infty}\right) & :=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}}{\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}\right)^{2}} I_{\gamma}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \chi_{\infty}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the factorizations of Proposition 3.2.2 and of (3.3.10) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right) & =\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(1 / 2, \Pi, \chi)}{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{\binom{+1}{2}}} \prod_{v \ngtr \infty} I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) \cdot I_{\Pi_{\infty}}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}\right),  \tag{4.1.1}\\
I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right) & =\prod_{v \nmid \infty} I_{\gamma}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) \cdot I_{\gamma}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \chi_{\infty}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

4.1.3. Distributions and special elements in $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, \mathbf{C}\right)$. For any $V \in \mathscr{V}_{\infty}=\prod_{v \mid \infty} \mathscr{V}_{v}$, every representation $\pi_{\infty}^{V}$ of $G_{\infty}^{V}$, and every $\delta \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, \infty, V}$, we define variants of $J_{\pi_{\infty}^{V}}$ and $J_{\delta, \infty}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\pi_{\infty}}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}\right) & :=\int_{H_{\infty}^{V}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\pi_{\infty}}\left(\pi_{\infty}(h) \pi_{v}\left(f_{\infty}\right)\right) d h=\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}} \mathscr{L}\left(1 / 2, \mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{\infty}\right)\right) \cdot J_{\pi_{\infty}}\left(f_{\infty}\right), \\
J_{\delta, \infty}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}\right) & :=\int_{H_{\infty}^{V}} \int_{H_{\infty}^{V}} f_{v}\left(x^{-1} \delta^{\prime} y\right) \frac{d x d y}{d g}=\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{\circ}}{\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\circ}\right)^{2}} J_{\delta, \infty}\left(f_{\infty}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the matching relations (3.5.2) and, respectively, (3.5.5) for $S=\{v \mid \infty\}$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{\infty}^{V}\right)}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\kappa\left(\pi_{\infty}^{V}\right)}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)} J_{\pi_{\infty}^{V}}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}\right)  \tag{4.1.2}\\
I_{\gamma, \infty}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) & =J_{\delta, \infty}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{V}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.1.2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\infty}^{\circ}:=\operatorname{vol}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, d g\right)^{-1} d g \quad \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, \mathbf{Q}\right) . \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:
(1) for all $\pi_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Temp}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}\right)$, we have

$$
J_{\pi_{\infty}}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{\circ}\right)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{vol}\left(H_{\infty}^{\circ}\right):=\operatorname{vol}\left(H_{\infty}^{\circ}, d h_{\infty}\right) & \text { if } \pi_{\infty} \cong \mathbf{1}  \tag{4.1.4}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(2) for all $\delta \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}\left(F_{0, \infty}\right)$, we have

$$
J_{\delta}^{\circ}\left(f_{\infty}^{\circ}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{\infty}^{\circ}\right)^{-1}:=\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(H_{\infty}^{\circ}, d h_{\infty}\right)^{2}}{\operatorname{vol}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, d g_{\infty}\right)}
$$

Moreover, both of the above values are rational.
Proof. The calculation is immediate. The rationality follows from Lemma 2.2.1.
4.1.4. Gaussians. Let $f_{\infty}^{\circ}=(4.1 .3)$ be the standard Hecke measure on $G_{\infty}^{\circ}=G_{\infty}^{V_{o}}$. For each characteristic-zero field $L$, we put $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, L\right)^{\circ}:=L f_{\infty}^{\circ}$.

For $L$ a subfield of $\mathbf{C}$, we denote by

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{0, \#} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{C}\right)
$$

the preimage of $L f_{\infty} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, L\right)^{\circ}$ under pure matching. By Proposition 4.1.3 below, the pure matching map

$$
\operatorname{tr}: \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ, \sharp} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, L\right)^{\circ}
$$

is surjective. We put

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}:=\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ, \sharp} / \operatorname{Kertr}
$$

extend the definition to any characteristic-zero field $L$ by $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}:=\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\circ} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} L$, and we extend the notion of matching by linearity. Elements of $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}$ are called $L$-rational Gaussians. If $L$ can be embedded into $\mathbf{C}$, we also refer to an $f_{\infty}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}$, as a Gaussian; we say that $f_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is nontrivial if its image in $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}$ is nonzero.

If $S$ is a finite set of non-archimedean places of $F_{0}$, we put

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(G_{S \infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}:=\mathscr{H}\left(G_{S}^{\prime}, L\right) \otimes_{L} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}, \quad \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)^{\circ}:=\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S \infty}\right), L\right) \otimes_{L} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ},
$$

and refer to the elements of those spaces as Gaussians too.
Proposition 4.1.3 (Existence of Gaussians). The space $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\circ}$ is nonzero.
Proof. This follows from [BPLZZ21, Proposition 4.11].
4.2. Rationality statements. We state the main results of this section, whose proofs will be completed in § 4.5.
4.2.1. Rationality of L-values. The following is Theorem A from the introduction.

Theorem 4.2.1. Let $L$ be a number field and let $\Pi$ be a trivial-weight hermitian cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ defined over $L$. There is a function

$$
\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right) \in \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{L}\right)
$$

such that for each $\chi \in Y_{L}(\mathbf{C})$ with underlying embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$,

$$
\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right)=\frac{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(1 / 2, \Pi^{\iota}, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}
$$

4.2.2. Special Hecke algebras. Let $L$ be a field that is embeddable in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $S$ be a finite set of finite places of $F_{0}$. We denote by

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)_{K_{S},(\mathrm{rs}), \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)^{\circ}
$$

the space of Gaussian measures $f^{\prime S}$ (that are regularly supported and) such that for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow$ C, $f^{\prime S, \iota} e_{K_{S}}$ is quasicuspidal.

If $\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)(L)$ and $\chi \in Y(L)$, we say that a Hecke measure $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)^{\circ}$ is adapted to $\left(\Pi, \chi, K_{S}\right)$ if $\left(\otimes_{v \notin S S} I_{\Pi_{v}}\right)\left(f^{\prime S}, \chi^{S}\right) \neq 0$ and for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the operator $R\left(f^{\prime S, \iota} e_{K_{S}}\right)$ sends $\mathscr{A}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ into (the image in $\mathscr{A}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)$ of) $\Pi$. We denote by

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)_{K_{S},(\mathrm{rs}), \Pi, \chi}^{\circ}
$$

the space of (regularly supported) Gaussians that are adapted to ( $\Pi, \chi, K_{S}$ ). When $\chi=\mathbf{1}$ we omit it from the notation.
4.2.3. Rational relative-trace formula. We introduce a variant of the distribution $I$ and its expansions. From now on, we change the notation for the distributions $I_{\text {? }}$ of the previous section by appending a superscript ' $\mathbf{C}$ ', thus writing $I_{\text {? }}^{\mathbf{C}}$ in place of $I_{\text {? }}$.

We introduce some further notation. For a finite place $v$ of $F_{0}$ and an ideal $m \subset \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}$, let $Y_{v}(m)=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}\left[F_{0, v}^{\times} /\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}^{\times} \cap 1+m \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right)\right]$, viewed as the space of characters of the group within square brackets. Let $Y_{v}:={\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim }}_{r} Y_{v}\left(v^{r}\right)$. For the sake of uniformity, we will denote $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}:=$ $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)$ if $v \nmid \infty$, and $Y_{\infty}:=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}$.

In the rest of the paper, unless otherwise noted all products ' $\prod_{v}$ ' run over the union of the set of finite places $v$ of $F_{0}$ and $\{v=\infty\}$. If $\mathscr{H}$ is a Hecke algebra over a field $L$ and $Y$ is an ind-scheme over $L$, an $L$-linear functional $D: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}(Y)$ will be called a distribution.

Proposition 4.2.2. Let $L$ be a field that can be embedded in $\mathbf{C}$. There exist:
(1) (a) for each finite place $v$ of $F_{0}$ and each tempered irreducible admissible representation $\Pi_{v}$ of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ over $L$, a distribution

$$
I_{\Pi_{v}}: \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{v, L}\right)
$$

characterized by

$$
I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)=I_{\iota \Pi_{v}}^{\mathbf{C}}\left(\iota f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

for all $\chi_{v} \in Y_{v, L}(\mathbf{C})$ with underlying embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$;
(b) for each tempered irreducible admissible representation $\Pi_{\infty}$ of $G_{\infty}^{\prime}$, a distribution

$$
I_{\Pi_{\infty}}(\cdot, \mathbf{1}): \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ} \longrightarrow L
$$

characterized by

$$
I_{\Pi_{\infty}}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=I_{\Pi_{\infty}}^{\circ, \mathbf{C}}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)
$$

(2) for each representation $\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her }}$ over $L$ as in Theorem 4.2.1, a distribution

$$
I_{\Pi}: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), L\right)^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{L}\right)
$$

defined on factorizable elements $f^{\prime}=\otimes_{v \nmid \infty} f_{v}^{\prime} \otimes f_{\infty}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right) \cdot \prod_{v} I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) for each finite place $v$ of $F_{0}$ and for $v=\infty$, and each $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$, a distribution

$$
I_{\gamma, v}: \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{v, L[\sqrt{-1}]}\right)
$$

characterized by

$$
I_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)= \begin{cases}I_{\gamma, v}^{\mathbf{C}}\left(\iota f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) & \text { if } v \nmid \infty \\ I_{\gamma, v}^{\circ, \mathbf{C}}\left(\iota f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) & \text { if } v=\infty\end{cases}
$$

for each $\chi_{v} \in Y_{v, L[\sqrt{-1}]}(\mathbf{C})$ with underlying embedding $\iota: L[\sqrt{-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.
(4) for each $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$, a distribution

$$
I_{\gamma}=\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \prod_{v} I_{\gamma, v}: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), L\right)_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{L}\right)
$$

where the product is locally finite;
(5) a distribution

$$
I: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), L\right)_{\mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(Y_{L}\right)
$$

admitting the spectral and geometric expansions

$$
\sum_{\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her }}} I_{\Pi}=I=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma}
$$

where both sums are locally finite.
Remark 4.2.3. It should be possible to interpret the rational distribution $I$ as the inner product of analogues of $P_{1, \chi}, P_{2}$ in the rational Betti homology (in complementary degrees) of the symmetric space of $G^{\prime}$.

Remark 4.2.4. In [DZ], we plan to prove the analogue of (4) in the case of regular, non-semisimple orbits (cf. Proposition 3.3.3).

We prove the local parts of Proposition 4.2.2
Proof of Proposition 4.2.2 (1)-(3). We need to show the existence of various distributions.
Archimedean distributions. Suppose that $f_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is an L-rational Gaussian matching $f_{\infty}=c f_{\infty}^{\circ} \in$ $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\circ}, L\right)$. Then by Lemma 4.1.2 and (4.1.2), we may define

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Pi_{\infty}}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right) & := \begin{cases}c \operatorname{vol}\left(H_{\infty}^{\circ}\right) & \text { if } \Pi_{\infty} \cong \Pi_{\infty}^{\circ} \\
0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
I_{\gamma}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right): & = \begin{cases}c \operatorname{vol}\left(B_{\infty}^{\circ}\right)^{-1} & \text { if } \gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, \infty}^{\circ} \\
0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{\mathrm{rs}, \infty}^{\prime \circ}$ is the image of $B_{\mathrm{rs}, \infty}^{\circ}$ in $B_{\mathrm{rs}, \infty}^{\prime}$.
Orbital integrals. Suppose $v$ is non-archimedean. We observe that for all $f_{v}^{\prime}$ of a given level and support and all $\chi_{v}$ of a given level, the value of the orbital integral

$$
I_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{C}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

is a polynomial, with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients, in a fixed finite set of values of $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{v}$. Together with Lemma 3.3.1, this implies part (3) of Proposition 4.2.2. Part (4) follows from part (3) and (3.3.11), together with (3.3.8) and Lemma 4.1.1 for the elimination of $\sqrt{-1}$ from the field of rationality.
Local spherical character. It suffices to show that $P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}\left(W_{v}\right), P_{2, \Pi_{v}}\left(W_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\vartheta_{\Pi_{v}}\left(W_{v}, W_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ are polynomials, with $L$-coefficients, in the values of $W_{v}$ and $\chi_{v}$. The rationality in $W_{v}$ is observed in Remark 3.2.1. Then we only need to consider the function $\chi_{v} \mapsto P_{1, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}\left(W_{v}\right)$. Let $Y_{v}^{\prime}$ be the ind-finite scheme over $L$ of smooth characters of $\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}^{\times}$; then $\chi \mapsto \chi_{v \mid \mathscr{\sigma}_{F, 0, v}^{\times}}$gives an exact sequence of ind-group-schemes $1 \rightarrow Y_{v}^{\circ} \rightarrow Y_{v} \rightarrow Y_{v}^{\prime} \rightarrow 1$ where $Y_{v}^{\circ} \cong \mathbf{G}_{m, L}$ parametrizes unramified characters of $F_{0, v}^{\times}$. Thus locally we may reduce to proving the desired result when $\chi_{v}$ is restricted to $Y_{v}^{\circ}$ at the cost of replacing $\Pi_{v}$ by (one of locally finitely many) ramified twists. In this case, that $P_{1, \Pi_{v}}$ is a polynomial in $Y_{v}^{\circ}$ and the values of $W_{v}$ is one of the main results of [JPSS83], whose proof considers unramified characters of the form $|\cdot|_{v}^{s}$ but goes through in our context.
4.3. Test Hecke measures. We now give some results asserting the existence of suitable Hecke measures.
4.3.1. Test measures at finite places. Let $v$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$ and let $L$ be a field that can be embedded into $\mathbf{C}$. A character $\xi^{\prime}=\xi_{1}^{\prime} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \xi_{\nu}^{\prime}:\left(F_{w}^{\times}\right)^{\nu} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$is called regular if the characters $\xi_{i}^{\prime}$ are pairwise distinct. A regular principal series representation of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ is a representation $\Pi_{v}=$ $\Pi_{n, v} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1, v}$ such that for $\nu=n, n+1$, all places $w \mid v$, and any $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$the representation $\Pi_{\nu, w}:=\Pi_{\nu, v \mid \mathrm{GL}_{\nu}\left(F_{w}\right)}$ is unitarily induced from a regular character of the diagonal torus.

Lemma 4.3.1. Let $\Pi_{v}$ be a hermitian (§ 2.4.1) tempered representation of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ over L, and let $\chi_{v}$ be a smooth character of $F_{0, v}^{\times}$with values in some finitely generated extension $L^{\prime}$ of $L$. For a
compact open $K_{v} \subset G_{v}^{\prime}$, denote by

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{v}, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}
$$

the set of those $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)$ that are right- $K_{v}$-invariant and satisfy $I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) \neq 0$.
(1) There exists some $K_{v}$ such that $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{v}, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}} \neq \emptyset$.
(2) If $\Pi_{v}$ and $\chi_{v}$ are unramified, then $f_{v}^{\prime \circ} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{v}, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}$.
(3) If $v$ splits in $F$ and $\Pi_{v}$ is a regular principal series, there exist a deeper Iwahori subgroup $K_{v} \subset G_{v}^{\prime}$ and a regularly supported $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{v}, \Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}}$; if moreover $\Pi_{v}$ is unramified, we can take $K_{v}$ to be an Iwahori subgroup.

The proof of part (3) relies on some results from later sections. (In fact, see § 5.1.4 for a definition of Iwahori and deeper Iwahori subgroups.)

Proof. We omit all subscripts $v$.
(1) Let $K \subset G^{\prime}$ be an open compact subgroup. The restriction of $I_{\Pi}(\cdot, \chi)$ to $\mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ is the inner product, for the natural pairing, of the elements

$$
P_{1, \Pi, \chi \mid \Pi^{K}} \circ \Pi(\cdot) \in \Pi^{K, \vee} \otimes_{L} L^{\prime}, \quad P_{2 \mid \Pi^{\vee}, K} \in\left(\Pi^{\vee, K}\right)^{\vee} \cong \Pi^{K}
$$

Now if $K$ is sufficiently small, both $P_{1, \Pi, \chi \mid \Pi^{K}}$ and $P_{2 \mid \Pi^{\vee}, K}$ are nonzero - the former by the theory of [JPSS83], the latter because $\Pi$, hence $\Pi^{\vee}$, is hermitian. Since $\Pi^{K}$ is irreducible as an $\mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}, L\right)_{K^{-}}$-module, there exists an $f_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)_{K}$ such that $P_{1, \Pi, \chi \mid \Pi^{K}} \circ \Pi\left(f_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $P_{2 \mid \Pi^{\vee}, K}$ do not pair to zero. Fix an embedding $\iota: L^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. If $\iota(L)$ is not contained in $\mathbf{R}$, then it is dense in $\mathbf{C}$, and any $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}, L\right)_{K}$ that is sufficiently close to $f_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ in the topology induced from $\mathbf{C}$ by $\iota$ will also have the desired nonvanishing property. If $\iota(L)$ is contained in $\mathbf{R}$, note that one of $\operatorname{Re} \iota f_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \operatorname{Im} \iota f_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ has the nonvanishing property, and then so does any sufficiently close $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}, L\right)_{K}$ (for the topology induced from $\mathbf{R}$ by $\iota$ ).
(2) This follows from Remark 3.2.1.
(3) This follows from Proposition 5.2.12 and Corollary 7.1.3 below.
4.3.2. Test Gaussians. For a pure tensor $f_{S \infty}^{\prime}=f_{S}^{\prime} f_{\infty}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S \infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}$, we define $f_{S \infty}^{\prime \prime}:=\iota f_{S}^{\prime} f_{\infty}^{\prime \iota}$, and extend this definition to all of $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{S \infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ}$ by linearity.

Proposition 4.3.2. Let $\Pi$ be a trivial-weight hermitian cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over a field $L$ admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$, let $K=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} K_{v} \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ be an open compact subgroup such that $\Pi^{K} \neq 0$, and let $P$ be a finite set of non-archimedean places of $F_{0}$ containing all those for which $K_{v}$ is not maximal.

There exist a finite set $S$ of split non-archimedean places of $F_{0}$ disjoint from $P$, and Gaussians

$$
\left(f_{S \infty}^{\prime \iota}\right)_{\iota} \in \prod_{\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S \infty}^{\prime}, \iota L\right)_{K_{S}}^{\circ, \sharp}, \quad f_{S \infty}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S \infty}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{S}}^{\circ}
$$

satisfying that for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ :
(1) the image of $f_{S \infty}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{H}\left(G_{S \infty}^{\prime}, \iota L\right)_{K_{S}}^{\circ}$ equals $\iota f_{S \infty} ;$;
(2) $I_{\Pi_{S \infty}^{\iota}}\left(f_{S \infty}^{\prime \prime}, \chi_{S \infty}\right) \neq 0$ for every unramified character $\chi_{S \infty}: F_{0, S}^{\times} F_{0, \infty}^{\times} / F_{0, \infty}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$;
(3) $R\left(f_{S \infty}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ maps $\mathscr{A}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{K}$ into $\left(\Pi^{\iota}\right)^{K}$. (In particular, for any $f^{\prime S \infty} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}, \iota L\right)_{K^{S}}$, the Hecke measure $f^{\prime S \infty} f_{S \infty}^{\prime \prime}$ is quasicuspidal.)

The proof will be given in § 4.4
Lemma 4.3.3. Let $\Pi$ be a representation in $\mathscr{C}$. Then there exist infinitely many places $v$ of $F_{0}$ that are split in $F$ such that $\Pi_{v}$ is an unramified regular principal series.

Proof. This follows from the similar observation about $\Pi_{\nu}$ made in the proof of [CH13, Proposition 3.2.5].

Corollary 4.3.4. Let $\Pi$ be a trivial-weight hermitian cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over a field $L$ admitting embeddings into $\mathbf{C}$, and let $\chi \in Y_{L}$. There exists an L-rational Gaussian $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), L\right)^{\text {o, }}$ that is regularly supported and adapted to ( $\left.\Pi, \chi\right)$ (in the sense of § 4.2.2).

Proof. Let $P^{\prime}$ be the set of all finite places of $F_{0}$ at which $\Pi$ or $\chi$ is ramified. Let $v_{0}$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$ that is split in $F$, and such that $\Pi_{v_{0}}$ is a regular principal series. Let $f_{v_{0}}^{\prime}$ be as in Lemma 4.3.1 (3), and for $v \in P^{\prime}$ let $f_{v}^{\prime}$ be as in Lemma 4.3.1 (1). Let $f_{S \infty}^{\prime}$ be as given by Proposition 4.3.2 with $P=P^{\prime} \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}$, and any $K$ that is maximal away from $P$ and sufficiently small at the places in $P$. Then $f^{\prime}=f_{P}^{\prime} f_{S \infty}^{\prime} \prod_{v \nmid P S \infty} f_{v}^{\prime \circ}$ is as desired.
4.4. Proof of Proposition 4.3.2. We will refine the arguments of [BPLZZ21], of which the reader is invited to open a copy. Briefly, in order to construct the desired $f_{S \infty}^{\prime}$ we will start from a Gaussian $f_{1, S^{\prime} \infty}^{\prime}$ constructed in a simple way as a pure tensor, and then correct $f_{1, S^{\prime} \infty}^{\prime}$ by acting on it by a carefully chosen multiplier of the Hecke algebra for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$.

The substance of this subsection was generously provided to us by Yifeng Liu. Of course, any defects in the following pages are to be attributed to the authors only.
4.4.1. Archimedean multipliers annihilating non-strongly typical cuspidal data. We momentarily consider the more general situation of [BPLZZ21, § 3.2]. Consider a connected reductive algebraic group G over a number field $F_{0}$. We freely adopt notation from [BPLZZ21, § 3], up to cosmetic modifications to adapt to our conventions (for instance, in loc. cit. the algebraic group is denoted by $G$ rather than G). Take a unitary automorphic character $\omega: \mathrm{Z}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$. We fix

- a subset $P$ of primes of $F_{0}$ containing $S_{G}$ and a $P$-character $\xi=\left(\xi_{\infty}, \xi^{\infty, P}\right)$,
- a finite set $S$ of primes of $F$ satisfying $S_{G} \subseteq S \subseteq P$, and
- a subgroup $K \subseteq K_{0}^{\infty}$ of finite index of the form $K=K_{S} \times \prod_{v \notin S} K_{0, v}$.

The following definition is modified from [BPLZZ21, Definition 3.11].
Definition 4.4.1. Let $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{G}$ be a standard Levi subgroup. We say that a $\sigma \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)^{\varnothing}$ is strongly $\xi_{\infty}$-typical if $\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\xi_{\sigma_{\infty}}\right) \subseteq \gamma_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\xi_{\infty}\right)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\ominus}$ the subset of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)^{\varrho}$ consisting of strongly $\xi_{\infty}$-typical elements.

It is clear that set of strongly $\xi_{\infty}$-typical elements, $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\varrho}$, is a subset of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}}^{\varnothing}$, the set of $\xi_{\infty}$-typical elements defined in loc. cit. The following lemma slightly strengthens [BPLZZ21, Lemma 3.14], whose notation we simplify by putting

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\infty}:=\mathcal{M}_{\theta}^{\sharp}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^{* *}\right)^{\mathrm{W}}
$$

We fix an element $\mu_{\infty}^{0}$ and a finite set $\mathfrak{T}$ of $K_{0, \infty}^{\mathrm{G}}$-types as described after [BPLZZ21, Definition 3.11].

Lemma 4.4.2. For every standard Levi subgroup $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{G}$, there exists an element

$$
\mu_{\infty}^{\mathrm{M}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\infty}
$$

satisfying:

- $\mu_{\infty}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\xi_{\infty}\right) \neq 0$
- for every open compact $K_{\mathrm{M}} \subset \mathrm{M}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ and every finite set $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{M}}$ of $K_{0, \infty}^{\mathrm{M}}$-types satisfying the conditions following [BPLZZ21, Definition 3.11] with respect to $\left(\mu_{\infty}^{0}, \mathfrak{T}\right)$, for every non-strongly typical

$$
\sigma \in \mathfrak{C}\left(\mathrm{M}, \omega ; K_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{\rho}-\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\ominus}
$$

and every $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C}}^{*}$, we have

$$
\mu_{\infty}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\xi_{\sigma_{s, \infty}}^{\mathrm{G}}\right)=0
$$

Here, $\xi_{\sigma_{s, \infty}}^{G}$ is the infinitesimal character of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}^{\mathrm{G}}\left(\sigma_{s, \infty}\right)$.
Proof. By Definition 4.4.1, it is easy to see that for each element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{C}\left(\mathrm{M}, \omega ; K_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{\rho}$ $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\mathscr{L}}$, there exists a W -invariant polynomial function $\nu_{\sigma}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ satisfying $\nu_{\sigma}\left(\xi_{\infty}\right) \neq 0$ and $\nu_{\sigma}\left(\xi_{\sigma_{s, \infty}}^{\mathrm{G}}\right)=0$ for every $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C}}^{*}$. By [BPLZZ21, Lemma 3.14], we have an element $\nu_{\infty}^{\mathrm{M}} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}^{\sharp}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{W}}$ satisfying the similar property but with $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\varrho}$ r replaced by $\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}}^{\varrho}$. Now by [BPLZZ21, Lemma 3.13], the set $\mathfrak{C}\left(\mathrm{M}, \omega ; K_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{\varrho} \cap\left(\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}}^{\varrho}-\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\mathscr{O}}\right)$ is finite. Thus, we may take

$$
\mu_{\infty}^{\mathrm{M}}:=\nu_{\infty}^{\mathrm{M}} . \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{C}\left(\mathrm{M}, \omega ; K_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{)} \cap\left(\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}}^{\varrho}-\mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, \omega)_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{)}\right)} \nu_{\sigma} .
$$

The following is a direct analogue of [BPLZZ21, Proposition 3.15] in terms of Lemma 4.4.2.
Proposition 4.4.3. There exists $\mu_{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$ such that

- $\mu_{\infty}\left(\xi_{\infty}\right)=1$;
- for every cuspidal datum $(\mathrm{M}, \sigma)$ for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ that does not belong to $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{G}, \omega, K, \mathfrak{T})_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\varrho}$ and for every $f \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C})_{K}$, the endomorphism $R\left(\mu_{\infty} \star f\right)$ of $L^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}) / K, \omega\right)$ annihilates the subspace $L_{(\mathrm{M}, \sigma)}^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}) / K, \omega\right)$.
4.4.2. Multipliers annihilating strongly typical cuspidal data for a proper Levi subgroup. We now specialize back to the setup of Proposition 4.3.2. We denote by $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ the infinitesimal character of $\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$. We still freely use terminology and notation from [BPLZZ21, §3] where not in conflict with ours.

Lemma 4.4.4. Let $(\mathrm{M}, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathrm{M}, 1)$ satisfy

$$
\xi_{\sigma, \infty}^{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}=\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}
$$

We have:
(1) $\sigma$ is an exterior product of regular algebraic representations;
(2) $\sigma^{\infty}$ is defined over a number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sigma) \subset \mathbf{C}$, and for each $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C} / \mathbf{Q})$, the representation $\sigma^{\infty} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}, \tau} \sigma$ is the finite part of a cuspidal datum ${ }^{\tau} \sigma$;
(3) $\xi_{\tau, \infty}^{G^{\prime}}=\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ as well.

Proof. All references in this proof point to [Clo90] (where the group considered is $\mathrm{GL}_{N}$; the modifications needed to treat $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ are trivial). Part (1) follows from Lemme 3.9 (ii) and the regularity of $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$. Part (2) follows from Théorème 3.13. Since $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ on infinity types defined in § 3.3, part (3) follows from the rationality of induction (Lemme 3.9 (i)).

For a characteristic-zero field $L$, define $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{\mathrm{spl}, P} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}, L\right)_{\Pi_{v \nmid p} K_{v}^{\circ}}\right.$ to be the spherical Hecke algebra of elements supported at a set of places of $F_{0}$ split in $F$ and disjoint from $P$. If $L^{\prime}$ is a subfield of $\mathbf{C}$, define $\mathcal{M}_{\infty, L^{\prime}}$ to be the $L^{\prime}$-linear subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}$ consisting of those $\mu$ such that $\mu\left(\xi_{\infty}\right)^{\circ} \in L^{\prime}$. We put

$$
\mathcal{M}_{L^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{spl}, P}:=\mathscr{H}_{L^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{spl}, P} \otimes_{L} \mathcal{M}_{\infty, L^{\prime}}
$$

which is stable under multiplication and preserves $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}, L^{\prime}\right)_{K}^{\circ}\right.$. We have a surjective map

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[-]^{\circ}: \mathcal{M}_{L^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{spl}, P} } & \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime \mathrm{spl}, P} \\
\mu & \longmapsto[\mu]^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

given by the evaluation at $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$. It is clear that the action of $\mathcal{M}_{L^{\prime}}^{\text {spl }, P}$ on $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), L^{\prime}\right)^{\circ}$ factors through $[-]^{\circ}$.

We denote by $\mathscr{C}_{K} \subset \mathscr{C}$ the subset consisting of those $\Pi^{\prime}$ with $\Pi^{\prime K} \neq 0$.
Lemma 4.4.5. Let $\Pi^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{K}(\mathbf{C})$ and let $(\mathrm{M}, \sigma)$ be a strongly $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$-typical cuspidal datum for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ with

$$
\mathrm{M} \neq \mathrm{G}^{\prime}
$$

Denote by $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathbf{C}$.
There exists an element $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathrm{sp}, P}$ satisfying:

- for every $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C}\right)_{K}$, the endomorphism $R\left(\mu \star f^{\prime}\right)$ of $L_{(M, \sigma)}^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}) / K\right)$ annihilates the subspace $L_{(M, \sigma)}^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}) / K^{\prime}\right)$;
$-\mu\left(\xi_{\Pi^{\prime}}^{P}\right)=1$.
Proof. We refine the argument in the proof of [BPLZZ21, Proposition 3.17]. ${ }^{11}$ Denote by $L^{\prime} \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ the field of definition of $\Pi^{\prime}$. Note that the subspace $\mathfrak{a}_{M}^{*} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^{\prime *}$ has a natural model $\mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbf{Q}}^{*} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime *}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. We fix a rational splitting map $\ell: \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime *} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbf{Q}}^{*}$ and an element $\alpha \in \xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$. By Ramakrishnan's

[^8]automorphic Tchebotarev theorem [Ram], for every $w \in \mathrm{~W}^{\prime}$, there is a finite place $v[w] \notin P$ of $F_{0}$, split in $F$, such that $\xi_{\sigma_{s w}, v[w]}^{G^{\prime}} \neq \xi_{v[w]}$ where $s_{w}:=\ell(w \alpha)-\ell(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbf{Q}}^{*}$.

This allows us to choose an element $\nu_{w} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}_{v[w]}^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)_{K_{v[w]}^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\nu_{w}\left(\xi_{v[w]}\right) \neq \nu_{w}\left(\xi_{\sigma_{s w}, v[w]}^{G^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

By the process in the proof of [BPLZZ21, Proposition 3.17], it suffices to show that for every $w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \nu_{w}\left(\xi_{\sigma_{s^{\prime}}, v[w]}^{G^{\prime}}\right)$ is algebraic. By Lemma 4.4.4, the Satake parameters of $\sigma_{w^{\prime}}$ are algebraic numbers. Since $s_{w^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{a}_{M, \mathbf{Q}}^{*}$, it follows that the Satake parameters of $\sigma_{s_{w^{\prime}}, v[w]}$ are all algebraic numbers as well, which implies that $\mu_{w}\left(\xi_{s_{s^{\prime}, v[w]}^{\prime}}^{G^{\prime}}\right)$ is algebraic.

We now extend the result to a finite set of cuspidal data and descend it to $\mathbf{Q}$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathrm{sp}, P}$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$, we denote by $\tau . \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}^{\mathrm{spl}, P}$ a chosen lift of $\tau\left([\mu]^{\circ}\right)$.
Proposition 4.4.6. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a finite set of strongly $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$-typical cuspidal data for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{M} \neq \mathrm{G}^{\prime}
$$

for every $(M, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then there exists a collection

$$
\left.\left(\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\iota}\right)_{\iota} \in \prod_{\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}} \mathcal{M}_{\iota L}^{\mathrm{spl}, P}\right)
$$

satisfying
(1) for every $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C}\right)_{K}$ and every $\iota$, the endomorphism $R\left(\mu \star f^{\prime \iota}\right)$ of $L_{(M, \sigma)}^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}) / K\right)$ annihilates the subspace $L_{(M, \sigma)}^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}) / K\right)$;
(2) there exists a $\left[\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}\right]^{\circ} \in \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{L}^{\text {spl, } P}$ such that $\left[\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\iota}\right]^{\circ}=\iota\left[\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}\right]^{\circ}$ for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$;
(3) $\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\iota}\left(\xi_{\Pi}^{P}\right)=1$ for every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Proof. Up to enlarging $\mathfrak{D}$, we may assume it is stable under the $G_{\mathbf{Q}^{-}}$-action given by Lemma 4.4.4. We denote its elements simply by $\sigma$ in order to lighten the notation. For each $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}$, let $\mu_{\sigma, \iota}$ be as provided by Lemma 4.4.5 applied to $\sigma$ and $\Pi^{\iota}$. Let $L^{\prime}$ be a Galois extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathbf{C}$ containing $\iota L$ and the fields of definition of $\mu_{\sigma, \iota}$ for every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}$ and every $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Now take the collection

$$
\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\iota}:=\prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}\right)} \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}} \tau \cdot \mu_{\sigma, \tau^{-1} \iota} .
$$

It satisfies the desiderata: the first one is enforced by the factors with $\tau=1$; the second and third properties follow by construction and elementary Galois theory.
4.4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.3.2. Let $f_{1, \infty}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\circ, \#}$ be a nontrivial rational Gaussian, which exists by Proposition 4.1.3. By [Ram], we can find a finite set $S_{1}$ of split places of $F_{0}$, disjoint from $P$ and the ramification set of $\Pi$, and an

$$
f_{1, S_{1}}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S_{1}}, L\right)_{K_{S_{1}}},
$$

such that $\Pi\left(f_{1, S_{1}}\right) \neq 0$ and $\Pi^{\prime}\left(f_{1, S_{1}}\right)=0$ for every $\Pi^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{K, L}-\Pi$. For each $\iota$ : $\hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, let $f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\iota f_{1, S_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes f_{1, \infty} \otimes \otimes_{v \notin S \infty} f_{v}^{\circ}$.

Let $\mu_{\infty}$ and $\mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{G}, \omega, K, \mathfrak{T})_{\xi_{\infty}!}^{\infty}$, be as provided by Proposition 4.4.3; the set $\mathfrak{D}$ is finite and it consists of of strongly $\xi_{\infty}^{\circ}$-typical cuspidal data for $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$. Let $\left(\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\iota}\right)_{\iota},\left[\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}\right]^{0}$ be as provided by Proposition 4.4.6 for $\mathfrak{D}$. Let

$$
\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right):=\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\iota} \star \mu_{\infty} \star f_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \quad f^{\prime}:=\left[\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}\right]^{\circ} \star\left[\mu_{\infty}\right]^{\circ} \star f_{1}^{\prime}
$$

By construction, there is a set of split places $S \supset S_{1}$ disjoint from $P$ such that for $?=\iota, \emptyset$, we have $f^{\prime ?}=f_{S \infty}^{\prime ?} \otimes \otimes_{v \notin S} f_{v}^{\circ}$ for some

$$
f_{S \infty}^{\prime \prime}, \quad f_{S \infty}^{\prime}
$$

that satisfy the desired properties.
The proof is complete.
4.5. Proofs of the rationality statements. Recall that the local assertions of Propositions 4.2.2 were proved at the end of $\S 4.2$. We will prove the global assertions of Proposition 4.2.2 and, as an interlude, Theorem 4.2.1.
4.5.1. Global distribution. The global orbital-integral distributions $I_{\gamma}$ of part (4) are well-defined by Lemma 3.3.2. Then may define the distribution $I$ of part (5) by its asserted geometric expansion:

$$
I:=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma} .
$$

We show the sum is locally finite. We may assume that $f^{\prime}$ factors as $f^{\prime}=f^{\prime \infty} \otimes f_{\infty}^{\prime}$. By definition, the sum is supported in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \cap B_{\infty}^{\prime o}$. The invariant map (3.3.2) sends $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$ isomorphically to an open subset of the affine space $\operatorname{Res}_{F / F_{0}} \mathbb{A}^{2 n+1}$. Let $\Omega^{\infty} \subset\left(\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty}\right)^{2 n+1}$ be the image of the support of $f^{\prime \infty} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)$, which is compact. Let $\Omega_{\infty} \subset F_{\infty}^{2 n+1}$ be the image of $B_{\infty}^{\prime \circ}$. By definition, this is contained in the image of the positive-definite unitary group $G_{\infty}^{\circ}$ under the invariant map, which is compact. Therefore the support of the sum is in bijection with a subset of the set $F^{2 n+1} \cap \Omega^{\infty} \Omega_{\infty}$; as the first intersecting set is discrete and second one is compact, this is finite.

By construction, $I$ has the geometric expansion asserted in part (5); it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} I^{\mathrm{C}}\left(\iota f^{\prime}, \chi\right) \tag{4.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G^{\prime}(\mathbf{A}), L\right)_{\mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ}$ and any $\chi \in Y_{L}(\mathbf{C})$ with underlying embedding $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$.
Remark 4.5.1. By linearity, we may extend the distributions $I, I_{\Pi}, I_{\gamma}$ to distributions (defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ or, for $I_{\Pi}$, the field of definition of $\Pi$ ) on the space of locally constant functions $\ell: F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\times} / F_{0, \infty}^{\times} \rightarrow L$, in such a way that for every $\gamma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$ with image $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$ and every $f^{\prime \infty} \otimes f_{\infty}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)^{\circ}$, we have

$$
I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}, \ell\right)=\frac{I_{\gamma}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) \kappa_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)} f^{\prime \infty}\left(h_{1}^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2}\right) \ell\left(h_{1}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) \frac{d^{\natural} h_{1} d^{\natural} h_{2}}{d^{\natural} g}
$$

where $d^{\natural} x:=\prod_{v \nmid \infty} d^{\natural} x_{v}$, and the integral reduces to a finite sum.
4.5.2. L-function. We are now ready to prove the rationality of $\mathscr{L}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2.1. For $\chi \in Y$, consider the set $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right), L\right)_{\mathrm{rs}, \Pi, \chi}^{\circ}$ of regularly supported Gaussians that are adapted to ( $\Pi, \chi$ ) ( $\S 4.2 .2$ ). It is non-empty by Corollary 4.3.4. For any
$\chi \in Y_{L}$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right), L\right)_{\mathrm{r}, \Pi, \chi}^{\circ}$, we define

$$
\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right)_{f^{\prime}}:=\frac{4 \cdot I\left(f^{\prime}, \cdot\right)}{\left(\otimes_{v} I_{\Pi_{v}}\right)\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \cdot\right)}
$$

away from the zeros of the denominator. Then for any $\chi \in Y_{L}(\mathbf{C})$ with underlying $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right)_{f^{\prime}}=\frac{4 \cdot I_{\Pi^{\iota}}^{\mathbf{C}}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)\left(\otimes_{u \ngtr \infty} I_{\Pi_{v}^{L}}^{\mathbf{C}} \otimes I_{\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}}^{\circ, \mathbf{C}}\right)\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)}=\frac{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(1 / 2, \Pi^{\iota}, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}
$$

where the first equality is (4.5.1), and the second one is (4.1.1). Thus the functions $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right)_{f^{\prime}}$ glue to the desired $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right)$.
4.5.3. Spectral expansion. We define

$$
I_{\Pi}:=\frac{1}{4} \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \prod_{v} I_{\Pi_{v}}
$$

Then the spectral expansion of part (5) of Proposition 4.2.2 follows from the definition, Proposition 3.3.3, and (4.5.1). This completes the proof of the proposition.

## 5. $p$-ADIC RELATIVE-TRACE FORMULA: LOCAL THEORY

Throughout this subsection, we fix a place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$ and work in a local situation, dropping all subscripts $v$. We denote by $\mathscr{O}$ the ring of integers of the étale $F_{0}$-algebra $F$, by $\mathscr{O}_{0}$ the ring of integers of $F_{0}$, by $\varpi \in \mathscr{O}_{0}$ a chosen uniformizer, and we let $q_{0}:=\left|\mathscr{O}_{0} / \varpi \mathscr{O}_{0}\right|, q:=|\mathscr{O} / \varpi \mathscr{O}|$.
5.1. Group-theoretic preliminaries. We introduce some notation and the group-theoretic foundations for the construction of the $p$-adic distribution.
5.1.1. Notation. If $v$ splits in $F$, we fix an isomorphism $F \cong F_{0} \times F_{0}$ and we expand our list of groups to include

$$
\widetilde{G}_{0}^{\prime}:=G_{n, 0}^{\prime} \times G_{n+1,0}^{\prime}, \quad H_{1,0}^{\prime}:=G_{n, 0}^{\prime},
$$

so that $\widetilde{G}^{\prime}=\widetilde{G}_{0}^{\prime} \times \widetilde{G}_{0}^{\prime}$ and $H_{1}^{\prime}=H_{1,0}^{\prime} \times H_{1,0}^{\prime}$. We may then write elements of $G^{\prime}=\widetilde{G}^{\prime} /\left(F_{0}^{\times}\right)^{2}$ as $\left[g_{1} ; g_{2}\right]$ with $g_{i} \in \widetilde{G}_{0}^{\prime}$.

We will denote all conjugation actions by

$$
x^{g}:=g^{-1} x g
$$

Convention. Throughout this section, for $\nu \in\{n, n+1, \emptyset\}$ and $*=\{\emptyset, 0\}$ we will define various subgroups and elements $\square_{\nu, *}$ of $G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ (or $\widetilde{G}_{0}^{\prime}$ for this 'pair' of subscripts). Unless otherwise specified, we will define $\square_{\nu, *}$ in a way that makes sense for $\nu=n, n+1$, and tacitly stipulate that $\square_{*}$ is the product of $\square_{n, *}$ and $\square_{n+1, *}$, if $*=0$, or its image via $\widetilde{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ if $*=\emptyset$. For the sake of uniformity, we introduce the notation

$$
\dot{G}_{0}^{\prime}:=\widetilde{G}_{0}^{\prime}, \quad \dot{G}^{\prime}:=G^{\prime} .
$$

5.1.2. Some subgroups. The lattice $\mathscr{O}_{*}^{\nu} \subset F_{*}^{n}$ induces an integral model for $\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{0}$, still denoted by $\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$. Let $T_{\nu, *} \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ denote the diagonal torus, and let $W_{\nu, *}$ be the associated Weyl
group, identified with the permutation matrices in $G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$. We denote by

$$
w_{\nu, *} \in W_{\nu, *}
$$

the antidiagonal matrix $\left(w_{\nu, *}\right)_{i j}=\delta_{i, \nu+1-j}$.
5.1.3. On the torus in $G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$. We denote by $N_{\nu, *} \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ the set of upper-triangular unipotent matrices and by

$$
N_{\nu, *}^{\circ}:=N_{\nu, *} \cap \mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right) .
$$

Let $T_{\nu, *}^{+} \subset T_{\nu, *}$ be the sub-monoid consisting of those $t$ such that $N_{\nu, *}^{\circ, t}:=\left(N_{\nu, *}^{\circ}\right)^{t} \subset N_{\nu, *}^{\circ}$, and $T_{\nu, *}^{++} \subset T_{\nu, *}^{+}$the multiplicative subset ot those $t$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{r \geq 1} N_{\nu, *}^{\circ, t^{r}}=\{1\} .
$$

Concretely, $T_{\nu, *}^{+}$(respectively $\left.T_{\nu, *}^{++}\right)$consists of matrices $\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\nu}\right)$ with $t_{i} \in F_{*}^{\times}$and $v\left(t_{i} / t_{i+1}\right) \geq$ 0 (respectively $>0$ ) for all $1 \leq i \leq \nu-1$.

The group $T_{\nu, *}$ is equipped with the involution

$$
\iota: t \longmapsto w_{\nu, *}^{-1} t^{-1} w_{\nu, *},
$$

which preserves $T_{\nu, *}^{+}$and $T_{\nu, *}^{++}$. We still denote by $\iota$ the resulting involution on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}\left[T_{\nu, *}\right]$.
We identify $\mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$ with the space of cocharacters of $T_{\nu, *}$ via

$$
\lambda \longmapsto\left[x \longmapsto x^{\lambda}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(x^{\lambda_{1}}, \ldots, x^{\lambda_{\nu}}\right)\right] \in T_{\nu, 0} \subset T_{\nu, *},
$$

where the inclusion is diagonal.
We fix the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\nu, *}:=\varpi^{(\nu-1, \ldots, 0)} \in T_{\nu, *}^{++}, \quad z_{\nu, *}=\varpi^{\nu-1} 1_{\nu} \in G_{\nu, *}^{\prime} \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
t_{\nu, *}^{\iota}=z_{\nu, *}^{-1} t_{\nu, *}, \quad t_{\nu, *} t_{\nu, *}^{\iota}=\varpi^{2 \rho_{\nu}}
$$

where $\rho_{\nu} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$ denotes half the sum of positive roots (with respect to $N_{\nu, *}$ ); concretely,

$$
\rho_{\nu}:=\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1, \nu-3, \ldots, 1-\nu) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}^{\nu} .
$$

5.1.4. Iwahori and deeper Iwahori subgroups. The standard Iwahori subgroup

$$
\mathrm{Iw}_{\nu, *} \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}
$$

is the set of matrices in $\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right)$ whose reduction modulo $\varpi$ belongs to the image of the uppertriangular matrices in $\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right)$. A deeper Iwahori subgroup of $G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ is an open subgroup $K \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ satisfying $N_{\nu, *}^{\circ} \subset K \subset \operatorname{Iw}_{\nu, *}^{g}$ for some $g \in N_{G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}}\left(T_{\nu, *}\right)$, the normalizer of $T_{\nu, *}$ in $G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$; it is said to be standard if we can take $g=1_{\nu}$.

For $r \in \mathbf{Z}-\{0\}$, we define three families of subgroups

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\nu, *}^{[r]} \subset K_{\nu, *}^{\langle r\rangle} \subset K_{\nu, *}^{(r)} \tag{5.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\nu, *}^{(r)} & :=\mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right) \cap t_{\nu, *}^{-r} \mathrm{G}_{\nu, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right) t_{\nu, *}^{r}, \\
K_{\nu, *}^{\langle r)} & :=\left\{g \in K_{\nu, *}^{(r)} \mid g_{i i} \in 1+\varpi^{|r|-1} \mathscr{O}_{*}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu\right\} \\
K_{\nu, *}^{r r]} & :=\left\{g \in K_{\nu, *}^{(r)} \mid g_{i i} \in 1+\varpi^{|r|} \mathscr{O}_{*}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

They are standard deeper Iwahori subgroups whenever $r \geq 1$. For $r \geq 1$, we say that a standard deeper Iwahori $K_{\nu, *}$ has level $\leq r$ if

$$
K_{\nu, *} \supset K_{\nu, *}^{\langle r\rangle} .
$$

5.1.5. Iwahori-Weyl symmetries. For $c \geq 1$, define

$$
w_{*, \nu, c}:=w_{\nu, *} t_{\nu, *}^{c} \in N_{G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}}\left(T_{\nu, *}\right) \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime} .
$$

Let $K \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ be a deeper Iwahori subgroup. We say that $K$ is symmetric if $K^{w_{\nu, *, c}}=K$ for some $c \geq 1$ such that $K_{*}^{\langle c\rangle} \subset K$. If $v$ splits in $F$ and $*=\emptyset$, we say that $K$ is conjugate-symmetric if $K=K_{0} \times K_{0}^{w_{\nu, 0, c}}$ for some $c \geq 1$ and some standard ${ }^{12}$ deeper Iwahori subgroup $K_{0} \subset G_{\nu, 0}^{\prime}$ containing $K_{\nu, 0}^{\langle c\rangle}$.

Remark 5.1.1. For $r \geq 1$, the subgroups $K_{\nu, *}^{[r]} \subset K_{\nu, *}^{\langle r\rangle} \subset K_{\nu, *}^{(r)} \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ are all symmetric, whereas for $\nu \geq 3$ Iwahori subgroups are not symmetric. On the other hand, conjugate-symmetric deeper Iwahori subgroups of $G_{\nu}^{\prime}$ are obviously in bijection with standard deeper Iwahori subgroups of $G_{\nu, 0}^{\prime}$.
5.1.6. Iwahori-Hecke algebras and the operators $U_{t}$. Let $K \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ be a standard deeper Iwahori subgroup. Define sheaves of $\mathscr{O}_{\text {Spec } \mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-algebras by

$$
\mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger++}:=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(K \backslash K T_{\nu, *}^{+} K / K, \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) d g \quad \subset \quad \mathscr{H}_{K, *}:=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(K_{\nu} \backslash G_{\nu, *}^{\prime} / K_{\nu}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) d g
$$

The involution $\iota$ extends to $\mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger,+}$ by linearity. For $x \in G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$, we abusively denote by $K x K$ both the coset in $K G_{\nu, *}^{\prime} K$ and the Hecke element

$$
K x K:=\operatorname{vol}(K, d g)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{K x K} d g \in \mathscr{H}_{K, *}
$$

The map

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}\left[T_{*}^{+} / T_{*} \cap K\right] & \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger,+}  \tag{5.1.3}\\
{[t] } & \longmapsto U_{t, K}:=K t K .
\end{align*}
$$

is an $\mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$-algebra isomorphism. We define

$$
\mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger}:=\mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger,+}\left[\left(U_{t}^{-1}\right)_{t \in T^{+}}\right] \cong \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathbf{Q}_{p}\left[T_{*} / T_{*} \cap K\right] .
$$

If $K^{\prime} \subset K$, then $U_{t, K^{\prime}}$ maps to $U_{t, K}$ under the natural map $\mathscr{H}_{K^{\prime}, *}^{\dagger,+} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger,+}$. Thus for $?=+, \emptyset$, we define $\mathscr{H}_{\nu, *}^{\dagger, ?}:=\varliminf_{K} \mathscr{H}_{K, *}^{\dagger, ?}$, where the limit runs over the standard deeper Iwahori subgroups, and denote $U_{t}:=\lim U_{t, K}$
5.1.7. Multiplication rules in Iwahori-Hecke algebras. The following result is standard and easy to verify.

[^9]Lemma 5.1.2. Let $K \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ be a deeper Iwahori subgroup, and define $\ell: K \backslash G_{\nu, *}^{\prime} / K \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by $q_{*}^{\ell(g)}:=|K g K|=\left|K / K \cap g K g^{-1}\right|$. Then:
(1) We have $K g K g^{\prime} K=K g g^{\prime} K$ in $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ if and only if $\ell\left(g g^{\prime}\right)=\ell(g)+\ell\left(g^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) Assume that $K$ is standard. Then for all $t^{\prime} \in T_{\nu, *}^{+}$,

$$
\ell\left(t^{\prime} w_{\nu, *}\right)=\ell\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\ell\left(w_{\nu, *}\right), \quad \ell\left(w_{\nu, *} t^{\prime-1}\right)=\ell\left(w_{\nu, *}\right)+\ell\left(t^{\prime-1}\right)
$$

(3) Assume that $K$ is standard, and let $K^{\prime \prime} \subset K$ be an open subgroup. Then for all $t^{\prime} \in T_{\nu, *}^{+}$,

$$
K t^{\prime} w K^{\prime \prime}=K t^{\prime} w K
$$

If moreover $K$ is of level $\leq c$ and $t^{\prime} t_{\nu, *}^{-c} \in T_{\nu, *}^{+}$, then

$$
K^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime} K=K t^{\prime} K
$$

Proof. Part (1) is standard. Consider the first equality of part (2), and drop all subscripts. By part (1), it is equivalent to prove $K t^{\prime} K w K=K t^{\prime} w K$. Since the quotient $K \backslash K t^{\prime} K$ is represented by lower-triangular matrices in $K$, it suffices to show that for such a matrix $k$, we have $t^{\prime} k w \in K t^{\prime} w K$; fact, since $K \supset N^{\circ}$ we even have $k w \in w K$. The second equation follows from taking inverses in the identity $K t^{\prime} K w K=K t^{\prime} w K$.

Consider now part (3). For the first equality, It suffices to prove that for any lower-triangular $k \in K$ we have $t^{\prime} w k \in K t^{\prime} w$, which is clear since $t^{\prime} w k w^{-1} t^{\prime-1} \in N^{\circ} \subset K$. For the second one, it suffices to prove that for any lower-triangular $k t^{\prime} \in K t^{\prime}$ we have $k t^{\prime} \in t^{\prime} K$. In fact, by the assumptions we have $t^{\prime-1} t k \in K^{(c)} \cap K \subset K$.
5.1.8. Twisting matrices. Let $u \in\left(\mathscr{O}_{F, p}^{\times}\right)^{n}$; we will take $u=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\mathrm{t}}$ to fix ideas in computations. Then we define the twisting matrices ${ }^{13}$

$$
m_{n, *}:=1_{n}, \quad m_{n+1, *}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
w_{n} & u  \tag{5.1.4}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for $r \geq 1$ we let

$$
m_{*, r}:=m_{\nu, *, r} t_{\nu, *}
$$

5.1.9. Subgroups of $H_{1}^{\prime}$. Recall that by the convention introduced at the beginning ot this subsection, $\square_{*}$ denotes the (image of the) product of $\square_{n, *}$ and $\square_{n+1, *}$ in $\dot{G}_{*}^{\prime}$. For $r \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{H, *}^{(r)} & :=m_{*} K_{*}^{(-r)} m_{*}^{-1} \cap \mathrm{G}_{n, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right)  \tag{5.1.5}\\
& =m_{*} K_{*}^{[-r]} m_{*}^{-1} \cap \mathrm{G}_{n, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right) \quad \subset \mathrm{G}_{n, *}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right) \subset H_{1, *}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

where the intersections are with respect to the usual diagonal embedding $H_{1, *}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \dot{G}_{*}^{\prime}$.
Remark 5.1.3. A simple computation shows that $K_{H, *}^{(r)}$ consists of the matrices $h$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{i j} \in \varpi^{r|i-j|} \mathscr{O}_{*}  \tag{5.1.6}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{i j} \in 1+\varpi^{i r} \mathscr{O}_{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^10]for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. This description also shows the equality in (5.1.5). We may then compute that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, *}\right):=q_{*}^{d(n) s} \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{H, *}^{(s)}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1-q_{*}^{-1}}{1-q_{*}^{-i}} \tag{5.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is a rational number independent of $s \geq 1$, and a $p$-unit.

We record the following easily checked property, for a later use: for all $r \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{*, r}^{-1} K_{H, *}^{(r)} m_{*, r} \subset K_{*}^{(2 r)} \cap K_{*}^{[r]} \subset K_{*}^{\langle r+1\rangle} . \tag{5.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.1.10. Twisting identity. We come to the key result of this subsection, which refines [Jan, Lemma 5.2] in the spirit of [Loe21, Lemma 4.4.1]. Denote $N_{*}^{\circ,(r)}:=t^{r} N_{*}^{\circ} t^{-r}$.

Lemma 5.1.4 (Twisting identity). Let $r \geq 1$ and let $K \subset \dot{G}_{*}^{\prime}$ be a subgroup containing $K_{*}^{\langle r+1\rangle}$. For all $x \in N_{*}^{\circ}$, there exists $h_{x} \in K_{H, *}^{(r)}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{*, r} x t K=h_{x} m_{*, r+1} K \tag{5.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{*}^{\circ,(1)} \backslash N_{*}^{\circ} & \longrightarrow K_{H, *}^{(r+1)} \backslash K_{H, *}^{(r)} \\
{[x] } & \longmapsto\left[h_{x}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is well-defined and a group isomorphism.

Proof. We omit the subscript ' $*$ ' from the notation. It suffices to take $K=K^{\langle r+1\rangle}$. Consider the diagram

$$
K_{H}^{(r+1)} \backslash K_{H}^{(r)} \xrightarrow{\alpha} K^{\langle-r-1\rangle} \backslash K^{[-r]} \stackrel{\beta}{\longleftarrow} N^{\mathrm{o},(r+1)} \backslash N^{\mathrm{o},(r)} \stackrel{\gamma}{\longleftarrow} N^{\mathrm{o},(1)} \backslash N^{\circ}
$$

where $\alpha: h \mapsto m^{-1} h m, \beta$ is induced by the inclusion $N^{\mathrm{o},(r)} \subset K^{[-r]}$, and $\gamma$ is the isomorphism $x \mapsto t^{r} x t^{-r}$. All four quotients have cardinality $q^{d(n)}$ where $d(n)=(5.1 .11)$, and by (5.1.5), $\alpha$ is well-defined and injective. Hence all three maps are isomorphisms, and the second statement of the lemma is proved with $\left[h_{x}\right]=\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta \circ \gamma([x])$. The first statement is then easily verified using $t^{-r-1} K^{\langle-r-1\rangle} t^{r+1}=K^{\langle r+1\rangle}$.

Corollary 5.1.5. Let $r \geq 1$, and let $K \subset \dot{G}_{*}^{\prime}$ be a deeper Iwahori of level $\leq r+1$. For all $s \geq r$, we have the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{*, s} U_{t_{*}, K} & =\sum_{h \in K_{H, *}^{(s+1)} \backslash K_{H, *}^{(s)}} h m_{*, s+1} K \\
q^{s d(n)} \cdot m_{*, s} U_{t_{*}, K}^{-s} & =q_{*}^{(s+1) d(n)} \cdot \sum_{h \in K_{H, *}^{(s+1)} \backslash K_{H, *}^{(s)}}^{\text {avg }} h m_{*, s+1}\left(\dot{G}_{*}^{\prime} / K\right),  \tag{5.1.10}\\
t_{*}, K & \text { in } C_{c}\left(\dot{G}_{*}^{\prime} / K\right) \otimes_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{\dagger++}} \mathscr{H}_{K}^{\dagger},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sum^{\text {avg }}$ denotes average.
5.1.11. Volumes. The volumes of $K_{\nu, *}^{(r)}$ and $K_{H, *}^{(r)}$ are constant multiples of $q_{*}^{-c(\nu) r}$, respectively $q_{*}^{-d(n) r}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
c(\nu) & :=\frac{1}{6}(\nu-1) \nu(\nu+1) \\
d(n) & :=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=\frac{1}{6} n(n+1)(2 n+1)=c(n)+c(n+1) \tag{5.1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

5.2. $p$-adic spherical characters. Let $\Pi_{\nu, *}$ be a tempered representation of $G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ over $L$. Denote by $B_{\nu, *} \subset G_{\nu, *}^{\prime}$ the upper-triangular Borel and by $\delta_{B_{\nu, *}}: T_{\nu, *} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{\times}$its modulus character.

### 5.2.1. Finite-slope subspace. Let

$$
\Pi_{\nu, *}^{\dagger} \subset \Pi_{\nu, *}^{N^{\circ}},
$$

be the subspace where $T_{\nu, *}^{+}$acts invertibly. It has a structure of $\mathscr{H}_{\nu, *}^{\dagger}(L)$-module, and it is isomorphic as $L\left[T_{\nu, *}\right]$-module to the twisted Jacquet module $\delta_{B_{\nu, *}} \otimes\left(\Pi_{\nu, *}\right)_{N_{\nu, *}}$ of $\Pi_{\nu, *}$ (see e.g. [Eme06, Proposition 4.3.4]). We define $c\left(\Pi^{\dagger}\right)$ to be the minimal $c \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\Pi_{\nu, *}^{\dagger} \subset \Pi_{\nu, *}^{K}$ for some deeper Iwahori subgroup $K$ of level $\leq c$.

Denote by $\widehat{T}$ the dual torus (as a scheme over $L$ ). For a subgroup $K \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}\right)$ containing $N^{\circ}$, let $\Pi^{K, \dagger}$ be the image of $\Pi^{K}$ in $\Pi^{\dagger}$ under the $U_{t}$-eigenprojection, for any sufficiently positive $t$. Then there are decompositions into generalized $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{\dagger}$-eigenspaces

$$
\Pi_{\bar{L}}^{K, \dagger}=\bigoplus_{\xi \in \widehat{T}_{L}(\bar{L})} \Pi_{\bar{L}}^{K, \dagger}[\xi]
$$

and similarly $\Pi_{\bar{L}}^{\dagger}=\bigoplus \Pi_{L}^{\dagger}[\xi]$.
If $\Pi$ is a subquotient of a regular principal series (as defined in $\S 4.3 .1$ ) and $\xi$ is a character ot $\widehat{T}$ occurring in $\Pi_{\bar{L}}^{\dagger}$, then by [Jan, Proposition 1.3 (ii)] (or its proof, applied to $\Pi_{n}, \Pi_{n+1}$ ), any Whittaker model of $\Pi_{L(\xi)}$ contains a a unique vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\xi} \tag{5.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $W_{\xi}(1)=1$ and $U_{t} W=\xi(t) W$ for all $t \in T^{+}$.
5.2.2. Ordinary representations. Suppose for this paragraph only that $L$ is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, with algebraic closure denoted $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$.

Definition 5.2.1. Let $N^{\circ} \subset K \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}\right)$. We say that the tempered representation $\Pi$ is $K$ ordinary (with respect to $\Pi_{\infty}^{\circ}$ ) if there is a character $\xi^{\circ} \in \widehat{T}_{L}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$ occurring in $\Pi^{K, \dagger}$ (that is, such that $\Pi^{K, \dagger}\left[\xi^{\circ}\right] \neq 0$ ) satisfying

$$
\left|\xi^{\circ}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|=1
$$

for all $t^{\prime} \in T^{+}$and the absolute value on $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$. We say that $\Pi$ is ordinary if it is $K$-ordinary for sufficiently small $K \supset N^{\circ}$.

We call a character $\xi^{\circ}$ as above an ordinary refinement of $\Pi$. By the following proposition, an ordinary refinement is unique and defined over the field of definition of $\Pi$. We will then denote

$$
\Pi^{\text {ord }}:=\Pi_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}\left[\xi^{\circ}\right] \cap \Pi .
$$

Proposition 5.2.2. Let $\Pi$ be an ordinary tempered representation of $G^{\prime}$ over L. Then $\Pi$ is a subquotient of a regular principal series, the space $\Pi^{\dagger}$ is $T_{L}$-semisimple, and every $\xi \in \widehat{T}_{L}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$ occurring in $\Pi_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\dagger}$ satisfies $\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \Pi_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}^{\dagger}[\xi]=1$ and it is defined over $L$. Moreover the ordinary refinement $\xi^{\circ}$ is unique.

Proof. This is essentially [Hid98, Corollary 8.3]. We recall the argument, working over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ without signaling this in the notation. Let $W_{G^{\prime}}$ be the Weyl group of $G$. Recall form $\S 5.2 .1$ that $\Pi^{\dagger} \cong \delta_{B} \otimes \Pi_{N}$, the $\delta_{B}$-twisted Jacquet module of $\Pi$. By Frobenius reciprocity, $\xi$ occurs in $\Pi^{\dagger}$ if and only if $\Pi$ embeds into the normalized induction $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G}(\widetilde{\xi})$ where $\widetilde{\xi}:=\delta_{B}^{-1 / 2} \xi$. Now $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G^{\prime}}(\widetilde{\xi}) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{\xi}^{w}\right)$ for all $w \in W_{G}$. If $\xi_{T^{+}}^{\circ}$ is valued in units, then the stabilizer of $\widetilde{\xi}^{\circ}$ in $W_{G^{\prime}}$ is trivial, therefore its orbit consists of $\left|W_{G^{\prime}}\right|$ distinct characters $\widetilde{\xi}$, and $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G^{\prime}}(\widetilde{\xi})$ is regular. By [BZ76, Theorem 5.21], we have $\operatorname{dim} \Pi_{N} \leq\left|W_{G^{\prime}}\right|$, hence all the characters $\xi$ occur with multiplicity one. The rationality assertion follows from the fact that the $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / L\right)$-action on the set of occurring $\xi$ preserves valuations.

Denote by

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\text {ord }}: \Pi^{N^{\circ}} \longrightarrow \Pi^{\text {ord }}
$$

the $\mathscr{H}^{\dagger}$-eigenprojector Let $e_{K}^{\text {ord }}:=e^{\text {ord }} e_{K}$. Thus $\Pi$ is $K$-ordinary if $e_{K}^{\text {ord }} \Pi=\Pi^{\text {ord }}$. If $K$ is a deeper Iwahori, then $\Pi^{K, \dagger}=\Pi^{\dagger} \cap \Pi^{K}$ so that it is clear that $\Pi$ is $K$-ordinary if and only if $\Pi$ is ordinary and $\Pi^{K} \neq 0$. By the following lemma, the same is true if $K=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}\right)$.

Lemma 5.2.3. Suppose that $\Pi$ is ordinary and unramified, and let $K:=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}\right) \subset G^{\prime}$. Then $e_{K}^{\text {ord }} \Pi=\Pi^{\text {ord }}$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.2.2, the operator $e^{\text {ord }}$ acts as the eigenprojection (with respect to the action of $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{p}[T]\right)$ onto $\Pi^{\text {ord }}$. Write a nonzero spherical vector $\phi_{K} \in \Pi^{K}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{K}=\sum_{w \in W_{G}} c_{w} \phi_{w} \tag{5.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{w} \in L$ and $\phi_{w} \in \Pi^{\dagger}\left[\xi^{\circ} \cdot w\right]-\{0\}$, where we define $\xi \cdot w$ by $\widetilde{\xi \cdot w}=\widetilde{\xi}^{w}$ (with notation as in the proof of Proposition 5.2.2). Then we need to show $c_{1} \neq 0$. Now by [Cas80, Lemma 3.9], the expansion of [Cas80, Lemma 3.8] (where $\chi=\widetilde{\xi}^{\circ}$ ) is of the form (5.2.2), and there one has (see Theorem 3.1 ibid.) that $c_{1}=1$.
5.2.3. p-adic Rankin-Selberg period. Let $\chi \in Y_{L}$. We define a functional on $\Pi^{\dagger}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1, \Pi, \chi}^{\dagger}:=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} P_{1, \Pi, \chi, s}^{\dagger}, \quad P_{1, \Pi, \chi, s}^{\dagger}:=q^{d(n) s} P_{1, \Pi, \chi} \circ m_{s} U_{t}^{-s}: \Pi^{\dagger} \longrightarrow L(\chi) \tag{5.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c(\chi)$ to be the conductor of $\chi$ in the usual sense: $c(\chi)=0$ if $\chi$ is unramified and otherwise $c(\chi)$ is the minimal $c \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\chi_{\mid 1+\varpi^{c} \mathscr{C}_{0}}=1$.

Lemma 5.2.4. The sequence in the limit (5.2.3) stabilizes as soon as $s \geq s_{0}:=\max \left\{1, c\left(\Pi^{\dagger}\right)-\right.$ $1, c(\chi)\}$.
Proof. In the definition of $P_{1, \Pi, \chi, s}^{\dagger}(W)$ in $\S 3.2 .2$, we may first integrate over $K_{H}^{\left(s_{0}\right)}$; observing that $\chi$ is $\left(\operatorname{det} K_{H}^{\left(s_{0}\right)}\right)$-invariant, the lemma results from (5.1.10).
5.2.4. p-adic pairing. We define a (non-degenerate) pairing

$$
\vartheta_{\Pi}^{\dagger}:=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_{\Pi, r}^{\dagger}, \quad \vartheta_{\Pi, t}^{\dagger}(\cdot, \cdot):=q^{d(n)} \vartheta_{\Pi}\left(w_{r} U_{t}^{-r} \cdot, \cdot\right): \Pi^{\dagger} \times \Pi^{\mathrm{V}, \dagger} \longrightarrow L .
$$

It is easy to show, using the symmetry of $K^{\langle c\rangle}$, that the sequence in the limit stabilizes as soon as $r \geq c\left(\Pi^{\dagger}\right)$, and that for all $t^{\prime} \in T^{+}$the $\vartheta_{\Pi^{\prime}}^{\dagger}$-adjoint of $U_{t^{\prime}}$ is $U_{t^{\prime}}$.
5.2.5. p-adic Flicker-Rallis period. Suppose that $v$ splits in $F$ and that $\Pi_{\nu}$ is in the image of the local base change map (2.4.1); in other words, we may write $\Pi_{\nu} \cong \Pi_{\nu, 0} \boxtimes \Pi_{\nu, 0}^{\vee}$ for some representation $\Pi_{\nu}$ of $\widetilde{G}_{0}$. We define

$$
P_{2, \Pi}^{\dagger}:=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P_{2, \Pi, r}, \quad P_{2, \Pi, r}:=q_{0}^{d(n) r} P_{2} \circ\left[w_{0, r} ; 1\right] U_{\left[t_{0} ; 1\right]}^{-r}: \Pi^{\dagger} \longrightarrow L .
$$

The sequence in the limit stabilizes as soon as $r \geq c\left(\Pi^{\dagger}\right)$.
5.2.6. Finite-slope spherical character. We say that a subgroup $K \subset G^{\prime}$ is convenient if either $K=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right)$, or $v$ splits in $F$ and $K$ is a conjugate-symmetric deeper Iwahori (henceforth: a CSDI).

Let $K \subset G^{\prime}$ be a convenient subgroup. We define a distribution

$$
I_{\Pi, K}^{\dagger} \in \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{\dagger} \times Y_{v}\right)
$$

by

$$
I_{\Pi, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right):= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta_{\Pi}}^{P_{1, \Pi, \chi}^{\dagger} \otimes P_{2, \Pi}}\left(\Pi\left(f^{\dagger} e_{K}\right)\right) & \text { if } K=G^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right), \\ \operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta_{\Pi, \Pi}^{\dagger}}^{P_{1, \Pi, \chi}^{\dagger} \otimes P_{2, \Pi}^{\dagger}}\left(\Pi\left(f^{\dagger} e_{K}\right)\right) & \text { if } K \text { is a CSDI. }\end{cases}
$$

Remark 5.2.5. The second definition is the 'correct' one from the $p$-adic point of view. The first one is made because, first, in the arithmetic side the geometry will compel us to work at spherical level; and second, we have not investigated the analogue of the notion of 'conjugate-symmetric' in the nonsplit case.
5.2.7. Decomposition according to refinements. Identify $\Pi_{n+1}$ (respectively $\Pi_{n}$ ) with its $\psi$ - (respectively $\bar{\psi}$-) Whittaker model, and $\Pi$ with their product. Suppose that $\Pi$ is a subquotient of a regular principal series.

Let $\xi \in \widehat{T}$ be a character occurring in $\Pi_{\bar{L}}^{\dagger}$; by the argument in the proof of Proposition 5.2.2, we have $\operatorname{dim}_{L(\xi)} \Pi_{L(\xi)}^{\dagger} \Pi^{\dagger}[\xi]=1$. We denote by $W_{\xi} \in \Pi_{L(\xi)}$ the element of (5.2.1).

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(\Pi, \xi, \chi):=P_{1, \Pi, \chi}^{\dagger}\left(W_{\xi}\right) \in L(\xi, \chi) . \tag{5.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We state our working hypothesis on an explicit formula for this term. Write $\widetilde{\xi}=\widetilde{\xi}_{n} \boxtimes \widetilde{\xi}_{n+1}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, let $\widetilde{\xi}_{\nu, i}: F^{\times} \rightarrow L(\xi)^{\times}$be the restriction of $\widetilde{\xi}_{\nu}$ to the $i^{\text {th }}$ component of $T_{\nu}=\left(F^{\times}\right)^{\nu} / F_{0}^{\times}$. For any character $\xi^{\prime}$ of $F_{v}^{\times}$and any place $w \mid v$ of $F$, denote by $\xi_{w}^{\prime}:=\xi_{\mid F_{w}^{\times}}$; denote by $N_{w}: F_{w}^{\times} \rightarrow F_{0}^{\times}$ the norm map. Finally, we denote by

$$
\gamma\left(s, \xi_{F, w}^{\prime}, \psi_{F, w}\right)^{-1}:=L\left(s, \xi_{w}^{\prime}\right) / \varepsilon\left(s, \xi_{w}^{\prime}, \psi_{F, w}\right) L\left(1-s, \xi_{w}^{\prime-1}\right)
$$

the inverse Deligne-Langlands $\gamma$-factor of a character of $\xi_{w}^{\prime}: F_{w}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$. If

$$
|\cdot|^{1 / 2} \xi_{k}^{\prime}, \quad|\cdot|^{1 / 2} \xi_{k}^{\prime \prime}: F_{w}^{\times} \hookrightarrow L^{\prime \times} \subset \mathbf{C}
$$

(for $1 \leq k \leq N$ ) are characters with $\prod_{k=1}^{N}|\cdot|^{1 / 2} \xi_{k}^{\prime}=\prod_{k=1}^{N}|\cdot|^{1 / 2} \xi_{k}^{\prime \prime}$, then it is easy to see that $\prod_{k=1}^{N} \gamma\left(1 / 2, \xi_{k}^{\prime}, \psi_{F, w}\right) / \gamma\left(1 / 2, \xi_{k}^{\prime \prime}, \psi_{F, w}\right)$ belongs to $L^{\prime}$. Thus the following expression gives an element of $L(\xi, \chi)$ (unless some division by zero has occurred).

Define

$$
\hat{e}(\Pi, \xi, \chi):=\frac{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{2}, \psi\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes \chi\right)} \prod_{i+j \leq n} \prod_{w \mid v} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi \circ N_{w} \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_{n, i, w} \widetilde{\xi}_{n+1, j, w}, \psi_{F, w}\right)^{-1}
$$

Hypothesis 5.2.6. We have

$$
e(\Pi, \xi, \chi)=\hat{e}(\Pi, \xi, \chi)
$$

The key consequences for us are Propositions 5.2 .12 and Remark 5.2.9 below, both derived from the following lemma. We temporarily restore the notation of the rest of the paper.

Lemma 5.2.7. Suppose that $\Pi_{v}$ is a regular irreducible principal series that is the local component of a representation $\Pi$ as in Theorem A. For every character $\xi_{v}$ of $T_{v}$ occurring in $\Pi_{v}^{\dagger}$ and every finite-order character $\chi_{v}$ of $F_{0, v}^{\times}$, we have

$$
\hat{e}\left(\Pi_{v}, \xi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right) \in L(\Pi, \xi, \chi)^{\times}
$$

Proof. By [Car14, Theorem 1.1], for each place $w$ of $F$, the semisimple Weil-Deligne representation attached to $\rho_{\Pi \mid G_{F_{w}}}(\mathrm{cf}.(1.2 .1))$ is

$$
r_{\Pi, w}=\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n+1}|\cdot|^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\xi}_{n, i, w} \widetilde{\xi}_{n+1, j, w}
$$

and it is strictly pure of some weight that is independent of $w$ (here we identify a character of $F_{w}^{\times}$ with its correspondent on the Weil group of $F_{w}$ via class field theory). By considering $\operatorname{det} r_{\Pi, w}$ at an inert place $w$ we then see that the weight must be -1 . Thus for each $(i, j)$, the character $|\cdot|^{1 / 2} \widetilde{\xi}_{n, i, w} \widetilde{\xi}_{n+1, j, w}$ is either ramified (so that its $\gamma$-factor is an $\varepsilon$-factor, hence nonzero), or it is an unramified character whose value at a uniformizer of $F_{w}$ is a Weil $q_{w}$-number of weight -1 , which again implies the nonvanishing of each term in the $\gamma$-factors of Hypothesis 5.2.6.

We go back to the notation of the rest of this section. If $K=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}\right)$ and $\Pi$ is unramified, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{K}(\Pi, \xi):=c_{K}(\Pi):=1=P_{2, \Pi}\left(W_{0}^{\vee}\right) / \vartheta_{\Pi}\left(W_{0}, W_{0}^{\vee}\right) \tag{5.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{0}^{(\vee)} \in \Pi^{(\vee), K}$ is the generator normalized by $W_{0}^{(\vee)}(1)=1$. If $K$ is a conjugate-symmetric deeper Iwahori, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{K}(\Pi, \xi):=c(\Pi, \xi):=\frac{P_{2, \Pi}^{\dagger}\left(W_{\xi^{\iota}}\right)}{\vartheta_{\Pi}^{\dagger}\left(W_{\xi}, W_{\xi^{\iota}}\right)} \in L(\xi) \tag{5.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the denominator is nonvanishing since $U_{t}$ is $\vartheta$-adjoint to $U_{t^{\iota}}$. Similarly, the numerator is nonvanishing if and only if $\Pi_{v}$ is hermitian.

Then by the definitions we have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Pi, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)=\sum_{\xi \in \Xi_{K}(\Pi)} I_{\Pi, K, \xi}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right) \tag{5.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\Pi, K, \xi}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)=\xi\left(f^{\dagger}\right) c_{K}(\Pi, \xi) e(\Pi, \xi, \chi) \tag{5.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.2.8. Ordinary spherical character. Suppose for this paragraph only that $L$ is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and that there is an $\mathscr{O}_{L}$-lattice $\Pi_{\mathscr{O}_{L}} \subset \Pi$ that is stable under $\mathscr{H}^{\dagger}$. Then we have Hida's description

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ord}}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} U_{t}^{N!}
$$

for the action of the ordinary projector on $\Pi$.
Remark 5.2.8. The above assumption holds whenever $\Pi$ is a local component of a global representation in $\mathscr{C}_{L}$. Indeed, representations in $\mathscr{C}_{L}$ can be realised in the Betti cohomology of the locally symmetric space attached to $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, and the cohomology with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ gives a natural integral structure stable under the Hecke operators; see [Hid98] for more details.

For any convenient $K \subset G^{\prime}$, we then define

$$
I_{\Pi, K}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\chi):=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} I_{\Pi, K}^{\dagger}\left(U_{t}^{N!}, \chi\right)
$$

If $\Pi$ is ordinary, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
e(\Pi, \chi) & :=e\left(\Pi, \xi^{\circ}, \chi\right) \in L(\chi), \\
c_{K}(\Pi) & :=c_{K}\left(\Pi, \xi^{\circ}\right) \in L^{\times} \tag{5.2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the right-hand sides are defined in (5.2.4), (5.2.5), (5.2.6).
Remark 5.2.9. If $\Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}$ are as in Lemma 5.2.7 and moreover $\Pi_{v}$ is ordinary, it follows from that lemma and Hypothesis 5.2.6 that $e\left(\Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right)$ and $c_{K_{v}}(\Pi)$ are nonzero.

Corollary 5.2.10. Suppose that $\Pi$ admits an $\mathscr{O}_{L}$-stable lattice. Then for every $\chi \in Y_{L}$ and every convenient $K \subset G^{\prime}$, we have

$$
I_{\Pi, K}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\chi)= \begin{cases}c_{K}(\Pi) e(\Pi, \chi) & \text { if } \Pi \text { is } K \text {-ordinary } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $e\left(\Pi, \xi^{\circ}\right)=(5.2 .9)$.
Proof. This follows from (5.2.8).
5.2.9. Relation to the character $I_{\Pi}$. Let $\Pi$ be a tempered irreducible representation of $G^{\prime}$, let $\chi: F_{0}^{\times} \rightarrow L^{\times}$be a smooth character, $K \subset G^{\prime}$ be a convenient subgroup, and let $s \geq 1$. We say that $s$ is sufficiently positive for $\chi$ (respectively for $K$ ) if $s \geq \max \{1, c(\chi)\}$ (respectively $K$ contains a deeper Iwahori of level $c$ with $^{14} s \geq 2 c$ ). We say that $f^{\dagger} \in \mathscr{H}^{\dagger}$ is sufficiently positive

[^11]for $\Pi$ (respectively for $s_{0}$, for $\chi$, for $K$ ) if $f^{\dagger} \Pi \subset \Pi^{\dagger}$ (respectively if $U_{t}^{-s} f^{\dagger}$ belongs to $\mathscr{H}^{\dagger,+}$ for $s=s_{0}$ or some $s$ that is sufficiently positive for $\chi$, respectively for $\left.K\right)$.

It is clear that if $f^{\dagger}$ is in the span of $\left\{U_{t} \mid t \in T^{++}\right\}$and $s$ and $\Pi$ are given, then some power of $f^{\dagger}$ is sufficiently positive for both $s$ and $\Pi$.

Lemma 5.2.11. For everys that is sufficiently positive for $K$ and $\chi$ and every $f^{\dagger}$ that is sufficiently positive for $s$ and $\Pi$, we have

$$
I_{\Pi, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)=I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime}, \chi\right)
$$

where

$$
f^{\prime}=f_{K, s}^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}q^{d(n) s} \cdot m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} f^{\dagger} e_{K} & \text { if } K=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathscr{O}_{0}\right),  \tag{5.2.10}\\ q_{0}^{d(n)(2 s-c)} \cdot m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} f^{\dagger} U_{\left[1 ; t_{0}\right]}^{c} e_{K}\left[1 ; w_{0, c}^{-1}\right] & \text { if } K \text { is a CSDI of level } \leq c .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The first case is clear. Consider the second case, dropping the subscripts $\Pi$ and $K$ from the notation. Let $\Pi_{\dagger, K}:=w_{c} \Pi^{\dagger, K}$, and let $\vartheta_{\mid}: \Pi_{\dagger, K} \otimes \Pi^{\vee, \dagger, K} \rightarrow L$ be the restriction of $\vartheta: \Pi \otimes \Pi^{\vee} \rightarrow L$, which is still a perfect pairing. By Lemma 2.6.3 (using, in order, part (1), part (2), and part (1) together with part (3)),

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}\right) & =q_{0}^{d(n) c} \operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta^{\dagger}}^{P_{1}^{\dagger} \otimes P_{2}\left[w_{0, c} ; 1\right]}\left(\Pi\left(f^{\dagger} e_{K} U_{\left[t_{0}^{t} ; 1\right]}^{-c}\right)\right) \\
& =q_{0}^{-d(n) c} \operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta_{1}}^{P_{1}^{\dagger} \otimes P_{2}\left[w_{0, c} ; 1\right]}\left(\Pi\left(f^{\dagger} e_{K} U_{\left[t_{0} / t_{0}^{t} ; t_{0}\right]}^{c} w_{c}^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =q_{0}^{d(n)(2 s-c)} \operatorname{Tr}_{\vartheta}^{P_{1} \otimes P_{2}}\left(\Pi\left(m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} f^{\dagger} U_{\left[z_{0} ; t_{0}\right]}^{c} e_{K} w_{c}^{-1}\left[w_{0, c}^{-1} ; 1\right]\right)\right)=I\left(f^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f^{\prime}=q_{0}^{d(n)(2 s-c)} \cdot m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} f^{\dagger} U_{\left[z_{0} ; t_{0}\right]}^{c} e_{K}\left[z_{0}^{-c} ; w_{0, c}^{-1}\right],
$$

the same as asserted.
5.2.10. A non-vanishing result. Unlike the rest of this section, the following result is not used for the $p$-local theory of the $p$-adic relative-trace formula, but rather as an input to Lemma 4.3.1 (3).

Proposition 5.2.12. Let $\Pi, \chi, K$ be as in $\S$ 5.2.9. Suppose that $v$ is split, $K$ is a conjugatesymmetric Iwahori, and $\Pi$ is a regular principal series. Assume Hypothesis 5.2.6 holds. Then there exists an $f^{\dagger} \in \mathscr{H}^{\dagger}$ that is sufficiently positive for $\Pi$, $\chi, K$, such that the Hecke measure $f^{\prime}:=f_{K, s}^{\prime}=(5.2 .10)$ satisfies

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f_{K, s}^{\prime}, \chi\right) \neq 0
$$

Proof. Let $f_{N}^{\prime}$ correspond to $f^{\dagger}=U_{t}^{N}$ for some sufficiently large integer $N$. We may and do extend scalars from $L$ to $\mathbf{C}$; we do not alter the notation. By (5.2.7), we have

$$
I_{\Pi}\left(f_{N}^{\prime}, \chi\right)=\sum_{\xi} \xi(t)^{N} \hat{c}_{K}(\Pi, \xi) \hat{e}(\Pi, \xi, \chi) .
$$

Order the characters $\xi$ occurring in $\Pi^{\dagger}$ as $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}$; then we may write $I_{\Pi}\left(f_{N}^{\prime}, \chi\right)=a_{N} x$ where $x=\left(m_{\xi_{i}} c_{K}\left(\Pi, \xi_{i}\right) e\left(\Pi, \xi_{i}, \chi\right)\right)_{i} \in \mathbf{C}^{r}$ and $a_{N}^{\mathrm{t}}=\left(\xi_{i}(t)^{N}\right)_{i} \in \mathbf{C}^{r}$. Now all entries of the vector $x$ are nonzero by Lemma 5.2.7, and the Vandermonde matrix $A$ with rows $a_{N}, \ldots a_{2 N}, \ldots, a_{r N}$ is invertible. Hence there is some $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $0 \neq a_{i N} x=I_{\Pi}\left(f_{i N}^{\prime}, \chi\right)$, as desired.
5.3. $p$-adic orbital integrals. Let $K \subset G^{\prime}$ be a convenient subgroup. For $f^{\dagger}$ sufficiently positive (depending on $\chi$ ) and $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right):=I_{\gamma}\left(f_{K, s}^{\prime}, \chi\right) \tag{5.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ and $f_{K, s}^{\prime}$ are as in Lemma 5.2.11.
Lemma 5.3.1. We have:
(1) the right hand side of (5.3.1) is independent of the choices of an $s$ that is sufficiently positive for $K$ and $\chi$, so long as $f^{\dagger}$ is sufficiently positive for $s$.
(2) For any $s_{0}$ that is sufficiently positive for $K$, any finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and any $f^{\dagger} \in$ $\mathscr{H}^{\dagger}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)$ that is sufficiently positive for $s_{0}$, the map

$$
\chi \longmapsto I_{\gamma, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)
$$

extends by linearity to a functional $C^{\infty}\left(F_{0}^{\times} /\left(1+\varpi^{s_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{0}\right), \mathscr{O}_{L}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}$.
Proof. For a Hecke operator $T^{\prime}=\sum_{i} c_{i} \operatorname{vol}\left(A_{i}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}} d g \in \mathscr{H}_{K}$, denote $\mathbf{1}\left[\gamma^{\prime} \in T^{\prime}\right]:=\sum c_{i} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Let $s_{0}$ be sufficiently positive for $K$ and $\chi$. The integrand in the explicit expression for $I_{\gamma, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{d(n) s} \chi\left(h_{1}\right) \mathbf{1}\left[\gamma h_{2} \in h_{1} m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} f^{\dagger} e_{K}\right] \tag{5.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is $K_{H}^{\left(s_{0}\right)}$-invariant by (5.1.8). Integrating first over over $K_{H}^{\left(s_{0}\right)} \subset H_{1}^{\prime}$, the relation (5.1.10) shows that (5.3.2) is independent of $s \geq s_{0}$. We also see that the functional $I_{\gamma, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger},-\right)$ sends $C^{\infty}\left(F_{0}^{\times} /\left(1+\varpi^{s_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{0}\right), \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)$ to $\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H}\right) \mathscr{O}_{L}=\mathscr{O}_{L}$ (Remark 5.1.3).

The $p$-adic orbital integral may be explicitly computed when $f^{\dagger}$ is sufficiently positive and $K$ is a conjugate-symmetric deeper Iwahori. A remarkable fact is that it is independent of $\chi$. By linearity, it suffices to consider the case $f^{\dagger}=U_{t^{\prime}}$ for some $t^{\prime} \in T^{++}$.

Proposition 5.3.2. Let $K \subset G^{\prime}$ be a conjugate-symmetric deeper Iwahori (in particular, $v$ splits in $F$ ). Assume that $f^{\dagger}=U_{t^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{H}^{\dagger}$ for some $t^{\prime} \in T^{++}$. There exists a compact subset

$$
B_{K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}\right) \subset B_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}
$$

such that for every smooth character $\chi$ of $F_{0}^{\times}$such that $f^{\dagger}$ is sufficiently positive for $\chi$ and $K$,

$$
I_{\gamma, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H}\right) & \text { if } \gamma \in B_{K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}\right) \\ 0 & \text { if } \gamma \notin B_{K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}\right)\end{cases}
$$

The proof of Proposition 5.3 .2 will occupy all of $\S 7$, which may be skipped on a first reading.
6. $p$-ADIC RELATIVE-TRACE FORMULA AND $p$-ADIC $L$-FUNCTION: GLOBAL THEORY

Throughout this section, we fix a rational prime $p$.
6.1. Statements. Recall that we denote $\Gamma=\Gamma_{F_{0}}:=F_{0}^{\times} \backslash \mathbf{A}^{\infty, \times} / \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{F_{0}}^{p, \times}$, and $\mathscr{Y}:=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_{p} \llbracket \Gamma_{F_{0}} \rrbracket \otimes$ $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ We say that $\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\mathrm{her}}$ is ordinary if for all $v \mid p$, the representation $\Pi_{v}$ is ordinary in the
sense of Definition 5.2.1. The ordinary representations form an ind-subscheme

$$
\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord }} \subset \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\mathrm{her}}
$$

For $K_{p}=\prod_{v} K_{v}$, we let $\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{K_{p}}^{\text {her,ord }}$ be the subscheme of those $\Pi$ which are $K_{v}$-ordinary for all $v \mid p$.
6.1.1. p-adic L-function. The following is Theorem B from the introduction

Theorem 6.1.1. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and let $\Pi$ be an ordinary hermitian trivialweight cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$ over $L$.

Assume that for each place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$, v splits in $F$ or $\Pi_{v}$ is unramified. Then there exists a unique function

$$
\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \in \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right)
$$

whose restriction to $Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)_{L}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)(\chi)=e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi \otimes \chi}\right) \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)(\chi) \tag{6.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ is the function in Theorem 4.2.1, and $e_{p}\left(M_{\Pi \otimes \chi}\right):=\prod_{v \mid p} e\left(\Pi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right)$ for the factors of (5.2.9).
6.1.2. Generalized Radon measures. We make the first of two preparations which will be the relevant to the the $p$-adic relative-trace formula.

Recall that a Banach ring is a topological ring equipped with a norm $|\cdot|$ for which it is complete; the relevant examples for us are the finite extensions of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (with the p-adic norm) and $\mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y})$ (with the Gauss norm).

Definition 6.1.2. Let $X$ be a locally compact topological space and let $R$ be a Banach ring. A generalized bounded Radon measure with values in $R$ is a pair ( $\mu, L^{1, \infty}(X, \mu)$ ), where
$-L^{1, \infty}(X, \mu) \subset L^{\infty}(X)$ is a closed subspace of the $R$-Banach space of bounded $R$-valued function on $X$;
$-\mu: L^{1, \infty}(X, \mu) \rightarrow R$ is a bounded $R$-linear functional.
We will usually denote such measures simply by $\mu$, and for $\Phi \in L^{1, \infty}(X, \mu)$, we will use the notation

$$
\mu(\Phi)=: \int_{X} \Phi(x) d \mu(x)
$$

When $L^{1, \infty}(X, \mu)$ contains $C_{c}(X)$, the functional $\mu$ is a (bounded) Radon measure in the sense of Bourbaki. When $R^{\prime} \supset R$ is an extension of Banach rings, an $R$-valued generalized bounded Radon measure $\mu$ gives rise to an $R^{\prime}$-valued generalized bounded Radon measure by extension of scalars, which we will still denote by $\mu$. We say that a function $\Phi \in L^{\infty}(X)$ is $\mu$-integrable if it belongs to $L^{1, \infty}(X, \mu)$. When we make an assertion regarding $\int_{X} \Phi d \mu$, it implicitly includes the assertion that $\Phi$ is $\mu$-integrable.
6.1.3. Local distributions at $p$. Let $K_{p}=\prod_{v \mid p} K_{v} \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$ be a compact open subgroup that is convenient in the sense that each $K_{v}$ is (as defined in $\oint 5.2 .6$ ). We will say that $K_{p}$ is a conjugate-symmetric deeper Iwahori (CSDI) if each $K_{v}$ is (as defined in § 5.1.4).

For $\chi \in Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)$ and $f_{p}^{\dagger}=\otimes_{v \mid p} f_{v}^{\dagger} \in \mathscr{H}_{p}^{\dagger}=\bigotimes_{v \mid p} \mathscr{H}_{v}^{\dagger}$ that is sufficiently positive for $\Pi_{p}, \chi_{p}$, and $K_{p}$ (in the obvious sense derived from $\S 5.2 .9$ for each $v \mid p$ ), and for $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, p}^{\prime}, \Pi_{p}$ a tempered irreducible representation of $G_{p}^{\prime}$, define

$$
I_{\Pi_{p}, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi_{p}\right):=\prod_{v \mid p} I_{\Pi_{v}, K_{v}}^{\dagger}\left(f_{v}^{\dagger}, \chi_{v}\right), \quad I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi_{p}\right):=\prod_{v \mid p} I_{\gamma, v, K_{v}}^{\dagger}\left(f_{v}^{\dagger}, \chi_{v}\right),
$$

where the last factors are as in (5.3.1).
6.1.4. $p$-adic relative-trace formula. For $K_{p}$ as above, define the Hecke subspace

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)\right)_{K_{p}, \mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\circ}
$$

to consist of those $f^{\prime p}$ such that $f^{\prime p} \otimes e_{K_{p}}$ is quasicuspidal. We also denote $U_{t_{p}}=\otimes_{v \mid p} U_{t_{v}}$.
Theorem 6.1.3 ( $p$-adic analytic RTF). Let $K_{p}=\prod_{v \mid p} K_{v} \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$ be a convenient subgroup, and let $L$ be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$.

There exist:
(1) For each finite place $v \nmid p$ of $F_{0}$ and for $v=\infty$, for each $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$, and for each tempered irreducible representation $\Pi_{v}$ of $G_{v}^{\prime}$ over $L$, distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{I}_{\Pi_{v}}: \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right), \\
& \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v}: \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L[\sqrt{-1]}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

obtained from the corresponding distributions of Proposition 4.2.2 (1), (3), by pullback via the restriction maps $\mathscr{Y} \ni \chi \mapsto \chi_{v} \in Y_{v}(1)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} .\left(\right.$ If $\left.v=\infty, Y_{v}(1):=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}.\right)$
(2) For each representation $\Pi$ over $L$ as in Theorem 6.1.1, a distribution

$$
\mathscr{I}_{\Pi}:=\frac{1}{4} \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \prod_{v \nmid p} \mathscr{I}_{\Pi_{v}}: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right) .
$$

(3) A bounded orbital-integral function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}^{p}: \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right) \times \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right) \tag{6.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by

$$
\left(\gamma, f^{\prime p}\right) \longmapsto \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right):=\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \prod_{v \nmid p} \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v} .
$$

(4) For every $\chi \in Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)$, a $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\chi)$-valued generalized bounded Radon measure $I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(\chi_{p}\right)$ on $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)$, which:
(a) is defined by the limit of weighted samplings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \Phi(\gamma) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(\chi_{p}\right):=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \chi_{p}\right) \cdot \Phi(\gamma) \tag{6.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the space of bounded functions $\Phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)\right)$ for which the sums over $\gamma$ converge and the limit converges;
(b) if $K_{p}$ is a CSDI, is independent of $\chi_{p}$ (thus omitted from the notation) and equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \Phi(\gamma) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}=\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, p}\right) \cdot \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \cap B_{p, N}^{\dagger}} \Phi(\gamma) ; \tag{6.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, $B_{p, N}^{\dagger}=\prod_{v \mid p} B_{K_{v}}^{\dagger}\left(U_{t_{v}, K_{v}}^{N!}\right)$ and $\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, p}\right)=\prod_{v \mid p} \operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, v}\right)$, with the factors defined in Proposition 5.3.2.
(5) A distribution

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}, \mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right)
$$

which admits the spectral expansion

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}=\sum_{\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{K_{p}}^{\text {her,ord }}} \mathscr{I}_{\Pi}
$$

and:
(a) for each finite-order $\chi \in \mathscr{Y}_{L}$, the geometric expansion in $L(\chi)$

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right)=\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} I_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(\chi_{p}\right) ;
$$

(b) if $K_{p}$ is a CSDI, the geometric expansion in $\mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right)$

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}} .
$$

6.2. Proofs. We will prove Theorem 6.1.3 and, as an interlude, Theorem 6.1.1.
6.2.1. Finite-slope distributions and boundedness of the associated orbital integrals. For $\gamma \in$ $B_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{A})$, and $\Pi$ as in Theorem 6.1.1, we first define the following distributions on the subspace of $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{p}^{\dagger}$ of elements that are sufficiently positive for all the relevant data:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\Pi, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi\right) & :=\frac{1}{4} \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right) \cdot I_{\Pi_{p}, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi\right) \prod_{v \not p p} I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}, \chi_{v}\right)=I_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime p} f_{p}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{6.2.1}\\
I_{\gamma, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi_{p}\right) & :=\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \cdot I_{\gamma, p}^{\dagger}\left(f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi_{v}\right) \prod_{v \nmid p} I_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \chi_{v}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we may define and expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi\right):=\sum_{\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\mathrm{her}}} I_{\Pi, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma, K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} f_{p}^{\dagger}, \chi\right) \tag{6.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the geometric expansion follows from Proposition 4.2 .2 (5) and the definition (5.3.1).
Lemma 6.2.1. Let $s_{0}$ be an integer that is sufficiently positive for $K_{v}$ for all $v \mid p$, and denote $\varpi_{p}:=\prod_{v \mid p} \varpi_{v} \in \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, p}}$. For any $f^{\dagger}=f^{\prime p} \otimes \otimes_{v \mid p} f_{v}^{\dagger} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{p}^{\dagger}$ such that each $f_{v}^{\dagger}$ is sufficiently positive for $s_{0}$, the map

$$
Y\left(\varpi_{p}^{s_{0}}\right) \ni \chi \longmapsto I_{\gamma, K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)
$$

extends by linearity to a functional $C\left(\Gamma_{F_{0}} /\left(1+\varpi_{p}^{s_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, p}}\right), L\right) \rightarrow L$ that is bounded by a constant depending on $f^{\dagger}$ but not on $s_{0}$ or $\gamma$.

Proof. This follows by the same argument in the proof of Lemma 5.3.1 (2), applied to the possibly non-Eulerian global orbital integrals deduced by linearity from (6.2.1) as in Remark 4.5.1.
6.2.2. Proof of Theorem 6.1.3 / I. Part (1) and the definitions in part (3) and (4) of Theorem 6.1.3 are self-explanatory. The boundedness of the measures $I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}\left(\chi_{p}\right)$ (which is, importantly, uniform in $\chi_{p}$ ) follows from Lemma 5.3.1 (2). To see that $\gamma \mapsto \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}$ is bounded, it suffices to notice that the denominators arising from the orbital integration are bounded in terms of the level of $f^{\prime p}$ only.

Corollary 5.2 .10 shows that in the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right):=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} I_{K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} U_{t, K^{p}}^{N!}, \chi\right)=\sum_{\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord }}} e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right) \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \chi\right) \cdot\left(\otimes_{v \nmid p} I_{\Pi_{v}}\right)\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi^{p}\right) . \tag{6.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of the limit and (6.2.2) prove that the orbital-integral functions

$$
I_{(-)}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right): \gamma \longmapsto \kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\otimes_{v \nmid p} I_{\gamma, v}\right)\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi^{p}\right)
$$

are $I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}\left(\chi_{p}\right)$-integrable, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right)=\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} I_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(\chi_{p}\right) \tag{6.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have already seen that the function

$$
(\gamma, \chi) \longmapsto I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right)
$$

is bounded on $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right) \times Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)$. By Lemma 6.2.1, the map $\chi \mapsto I_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}\left(f^{\prime p}, \chi\right)$ extends to a bounded distribution $C^{\mathrm{lc}}\left(\Gamma_{F_{0}}, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ on $L^{\prime}$-valued locally constant functions on $\Gamma_{F_{0}}$, for any $L^{\prime}$-vector space $L$. It therefore extends uniquely to a bounded Radon measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right): C\left(\Gamma_{F_{0}}, L^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow L^{\prime} \tag{6.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the element $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) \in \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{L}\right)$ of part (5).
The geometric expansion in part (5a) follows from the definitions and the geometric expansion of $I$. Then by (6.2.4) and Lemma 6.2 .2 below applied to (6.1.3), the distribution $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}$ has the geometric expansion described in part (5b).

Lemma 6.2.2. Let $\left(\mathscr{I}_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbf{N}}, \mathscr{I}_{\infty} \in \mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y})$. Suppose that for all $\chi \in Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)$ we have $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{I}_{N}(\chi)=$ $\mathscr{I}_{\infty}(\chi)$. Then $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{I}_{n}=\mathscr{I}_{\infty}$.

Proof. Recall that $Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } Y\left(p^{s}\right)$; then observe that the ideals $J_{s}:=\operatorname{Ker}\left[\mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y}) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(Y\left(p^{s}\right)\right) \subset\right.$ $\left.\prod_{\chi \in Y\left(p^{s}\right)} \mathbf{Q}_{p}(\chi)\right]$ form a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 0 in $\mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Y})$.

We now turn to the $p$-adic $L$-function and the spectral expansion of $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$.
6.2.3. Proof of Theorem 6.1.1. Let $K_{p}=\prod_{v} K_{v}$ be a convenient subgroup such that for every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$, the representation $\Pi_{v}$ is $K_{v}$-ordinary. Similarly to the proof ot Theorem 4.2.1, we prepare with a variant of Corollary 4.3.4, with a similar proof.
Lemma 6.2.3. For any $\chi \in \mathscr{Y}_{L}$, the set $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{\mathrm{rs}, K_{p}, \Pi^{p}, \chi^{p}}^{\circ}$ of regularly supported Gaussians adapted to $\left(\Pi, \chi, K_{p}\right)$ is non-empty.
(Note that as $\chi_{\mid \mathbf{A}^{p, \times}}$ is smooth, the definition of 'adapted to ( $\Pi, \chi, K_{p}$ )' in $\S 4$ 4.2.2) still makes sense.)

Proof. Let $P^{\prime}$ be the set of all finite places of $F_{0}$ not above $p$ at which $\Pi$ is ramified. Let $v_{0}$ be a finite place of $F_{0}$ that is split in $F$ and not above $p$, and such that $\Pi_{v_{0}}$ is a regular principal series, which exists by Lemma 4.3.3. Let $f_{v_{0}}^{\prime}$ be as in Lemma 4.3.1 (3), and for $v \in P^{\prime}$ let $f_{v}^{\prime}$ be as in Lemma 4.3.1 (1). Let $f_{S \infty}^{\prime}$ be as given by Proposition 4.3.2 with $P=P^{\prime} \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\} \cup\{v \mid p\}$, and any $K$ that is maximal away from $P$, sufficiently small at the places in $P^{\prime} \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}$, and equal to $K_{p}$ at $p$. Then

$$
f^{\prime p}=f_{P^{\prime} v_{0}}^{\prime} f_{S \infty}^{\prime} \otimes \otimes_{v \nmid P^{\prime} v_{0} S \infty} f_{v}^{\prime \circ}
$$

is as desired.
For any $\chi \in Y\left(p^{\infty}\right)_{L}$ and any $f^{\prime p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right), L\right)_{\mathrm{r}, K_{p}, \Pi^{p}, \chi^{p}}^{\circ}$, we define

$$
\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right)_{f^{\prime p}}:=\frac{4 \cdot \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \cdot\right)}{\left(\otimes_{v \nmid p} I_{\Pi_{v}}\right)\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \cdot\right)}
$$

away from the zero set $\mathscr{Z}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)$ of the denominator. As $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}$ restricts to $I_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$, it follows from (6.2.3) that the functions $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right)_{f^{\prime p}}$ glue to a function $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \cdot\right)$ with the desired interpolation properties, on the complement of the polar locus $\mathscr{Z}:=\bigcap_{f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right), L\right)_{\mathrm{rs}, K_{p}, \Pi^{p}, \chi^{p}}^{\circ} \mathscr{Z}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) \subset \mathscr{Y}_{L} .}$. By Lemma 6.2.3, the closed subset $\mathscr{Z}$ is empty. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.1.
6.2.4. Proof of Theorem 6.1.3 / II. Part (2) of the theorem is now clear. The spectral expansion of $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$ in part (5) then follows from the definitions and (6.2.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.3.
6.3. Derivative of the analytic RTF. We study the derivative of the distribution $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}$.
6.3.1. Notation. Denote by $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathscr{O} \mathscr{y}$ the ideal of functions vanishing at $\chi=\mathbf{1}$. For a $\mathscr{Y}$-scheme $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}$ and a function $\Phi \in \mathfrak{m} \mathscr{O}\left(\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}\right)$, we say that $\Phi$ vanishes at $\chi=\mathbf{1}$ and we denote by $\partial \Phi$ be the image of $\Phi$ in $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Y}}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Y}}{ }^{\prime}=\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Y}}, \hat{\otimes} \Gamma_{F_{0}}$.

For $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ a coherent or incoherent pair of definite hermitian spaces as in §2.1.3, and $v$ a finite place of $F_{0}$, we let:
$-\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord, } V} \subset \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord }}$ be the subset of those isomorphism classes of representations $\Pi$ such that for each finite place $u$ of $F_{0}$, the space $V_{u}$ is the one attached to $\Pi_{u}$ by the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture (Proposition 2.4.1).

- $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}, \mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}, \mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ}$ be the subspace of those $f^{\prime p}$ that match (spectrally and geometrically, see Proposition 3.5.3) a function on $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ}:=\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right) \otimes_{L}$ $L f_{\infty}^{\circ}$;
$-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)_{V}:=\prod_{u \nmid p}^{\prime} B_{\mathrm{rs}, u, V_{u}}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)$;
- $V(v) \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ}$ be the pair such that $V(v)_{u} \cong V_{u}$ exactly for $u \neq v$; it is coherent if and only if $V$ is incoherent.

Proposition 6.3.1. Consider the situation of Theorem 6.1.3, and let $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ,-}$ be an incoherent pair. For all $f^{\prime p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}, \mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ}$, , the following hold.
(1) For all $\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord }}$ and all $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$,

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)=\mathscr{I}_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)=\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0 .
$$

(2) There is a spectral expansion

$$
\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\sum_{\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{K_{p}}^{\text {her,ord }, V}} \partial \mathscr{I}_{\Pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)
$$

where

$$
\partial \mathscr{I}_{\Pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot\left(\otimes_{v \nmid p} \mathscr{I}_{\Pi_{v}}\right)\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right) .
$$

(3) The function $\partial \mathscr{I}_{(-)}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)$ is integrable for the Radon measure $I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}:=I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}(\mathbf{1})$, and there is a geometric expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) & =\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\text {ts }}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \\
& =\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ts}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \sum_{v \nmid p \infty} \mathbf{1}_{V(v)}(\gamma) \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{v p}\left(f^{\prime v p}, \mathbf{1}\right) \cdot \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}, \tag{6.3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{v p}:=\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \cdot \otimes_{u \nmid v p} \mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{\gamma, u}$, and $\mathbf{1}_{V(v)}$ denotes the characteristic function of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)_{V(v)}$.
Proof. Consider the geometric terms $\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)$. For $\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right) \cap B_{\infty}^{\prime \circ}$, let $V_{\gamma} \in \mathscr{V}^{0,+}$ be the unique coherent pair such that $\gamma$ matches an orbit in $B_{\mathrm{rs}}\left(F_{0}\right)_{V_{\gamma}}$ as in (3.5.4); let $\Sigma(\gamma, V)$ be the non-empty finite set of non-archimedean (and necessarily nonsplit) places of $F_{0}$ such that $V_{\gamma, v} \not \equiv V_{v}$. If $v \in \Sigma(\gamma, V)$, then by the assumption on $f^{\prime p}$ we have $I_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$; hence $\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)$ vanishes at 1 to order at least $|\Sigma(\gamma, V)| \geq 1$. Moreover, if $v \in \Sigma(\gamma, V)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{v p}\left(f^{\prime v p}, \mathbf{1}\right) \cdot \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right), \tag{6.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be nonzero only if $\Sigma(\gamma, V)=\{v\}$, equivalently $V_{\gamma}=V(v)$.
Consider now a representation $\Pi=\Pi_{n} \boxtimes \Pi_{n+1} \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord. }}$. Let $V_{\Pi} \in \mathscr{V}^{0, \epsilon(\Pi)}$ be the pair such that $\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord, } V_{\Pi}}$ (cf. Remark 2.5.6). If $\epsilon(\Pi)=-1$, then $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$ by the functional equation of Rankin-Selberg $L$-functions; this implies $\mathscr{I}_{\Pi}\left(f^{\prime p \infty}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$. If $\epsilon(\Pi)=+1$, then for any finite place $v$ such that $V_{\Pi, v} \neq V_{v}$, we have $I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$ by the assumption on $f^{\prime p}$. This completes the proof of part (1). More generally, we note that the last argument shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi \notin \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord, }, V} \Longrightarrow I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0 \text { for some } v \nmid p \infty . \tag{6.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the sum in part (2) indeed runs over $\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {her,ord,V }}$; as above, this implies that $\epsilon(\Pi)=-1$ and $\mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$, which implies the second equality in (2).

We now consider part (3). By the definition of the measure $I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, the second equality follows from (6.3.2), whose right-hand side can be nonzero only if $V_{\gamma}=V(v)$. We consider the expansion in the first equality; it will hold without the condition that $f^{\prime p}$ is quasicuspidal, and by linearity we may thus assume that $f^{\prime p}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\prime}$ is a pure tensor. Suppose first that $K_{p}$ is a CSDI. Since $\int d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ is a bounded functional, we simply differentiate under the integral sign in Theorem 6.1.3 (5). We now consider the general case. Viewing $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}$ as in (6.2.5) we have

$$
\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)=\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \ell\right)
$$

(see for instance, [DL, Lemma 4.38]), where the 'logarithm' $\ell: \Gamma_{F_{0}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}} \subset \Gamma_{F_{0}} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ is the projection onto the maximal $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-free quotient $\Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ of $\Gamma_{F_{0}}$.

For $s \geq 1$, let $\ell_{s}: \Gamma_{F_{0}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}} / p^{s}$ be the reduction map, and let $\widetilde{\ell}_{s}: \Gamma_{F_{0}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}} / p^{s} \rightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ be any lift of $\ell_{s}$, which is a linear combination of characters whose conductors at places $v \mid p$ do not exceed $s$. By the definition of $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}$, the expansion (6.2.3), and linearity, we have

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(\tilde{\ell}_{s}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} I_{K_{p}}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \widetilde{\ell}_{s}\right)
$$

Then by Proposition 4.2.2 (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(\widetilde{\ell}_{s}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \tilde{\ell}_{s}\right) . \tag{6.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 6.2.1, up to multiplying $f^{\prime p}$ by a power of $p$ independent of $s$ we have that all terms in (6.3.4) are $p$-integral; hence it makes sense to consider the reduction of that identity modulo $p^{s}$,

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(\ell_{s}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\prime p} U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \ell_{s}\right)
$$

in $\Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}} / p^{s}$. Now $\ell_{s}=\sum_{v \nmid \infty} \ell_{s, v}$, where $\ell_{s, v}:=\ell_{s \mid F_{0, v}^{\times}}$, so from Remark 4.5.1, the $\gamma$-summand equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \nmid \infty} \frac{I_{\gamma}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) \kappa_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)} \int_{\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)} f^{\prime \infty}\left(h_{1}^{-1} \gamma^{\prime} h_{2}\right) \ell_{s, v}\left(h_{1, v}\right) \eta\left(h_{2}\right) \frac{d^{\natural} h_{1} d^{\natural} h_{2}}{d^{\natural} g} \tag{6.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}} / p^{s}$; here $\gamma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)$ is any preimage of $\gamma$. (Note that only finitely many $v$-summands are nonzero, hence it is trivial to interchange sum and integration.) For $v \nmid p$, the $v$-summand is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{I_{\gamma}\left(f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) \kappa_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)} I_{\gamma, p}^{\dagger}\left(U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \mathbf{1}\right) \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{v p \infty}\left(f^{\prime v p \infty}, \mathbf{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \ell_{s, v}\right) \\
\equiv & \frac{1}{\kappa\left(\mathbf{1}_{\infty}\right) \kappa_{\infty}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)} I_{\gamma, p}^{\dagger}\left(U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \mathbf{1}\right) \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{v p}\left(f^{\prime v p}, \mathbf{1}\right) \cdot \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $v \mid p$, the $v$-summand in (6.3.5) is a multiple of $\mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)$, which is zero by part (1). Therefore $\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)$ is congruent to

$$
\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \ell\right) \equiv \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} I_{\gamma, p}^{\dagger}\left(U_{t_{p}}^{N!}, \mathbf{1}\right) \cdot \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)
$$

in $\Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}} / p^{s}$ for all $s$. We conclude that the above congruences amount to an equality in $\Gamma_{F_{0}}^{\mathrm{fr}}$; by definition, the right-hand side is

$$
\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}^{p}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}},
$$

as desired.

Remark 6.3.2. The above discussion is valid when $f^{\prime p}$ has regular semisimple support. In the more general case of regular support, we need to use the result mentioned in Remark 4.2.4. Analogously to Lemma 3.3.4, if $f^{\prime p}$ has regular support at two split places, then the contributions to $\partial \mathscr{I}\left(f^{\prime p}\right)$ of those orbits that are not semisimple vanish.

## 7. Evaluation of $p$-ADIC orbital integrals

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 5.3.2, of which we retain all the assumptions.
We also keep using the notation of $\S 5.1$; however, at various steps of our descent into the argument, we will lighten (and sometimes recycle) the notation for the sake of readability. We start by dropping all apices from the notation, writing for instance $f$ and $G$ in place of $f^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$.

We define involutions $w$ and $\iota$ on $\mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$ by $\left(\lambda^{w}\right)_{i}:=\lambda_{\nu+1-i}$ and $\lambda^{\iota}:=-\lambda^{w}$, and a notion of positivity by declaring $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu,+}$ if $\lambda_{i} \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \nu-1$; thus $\iota$ preserves $\mathbf{Z}^{\nu,+}$. We also write $\lambda \succeq \lambda^{\prime}$ if $\lambda-\lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu,+}$. Then $\varpi^{\lambda} \in \underline{T}_{\nu, *}^{+}$if and only if $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu,+}$, and $\left(\varpi^{\lambda}\right)^{\iota}=\varpi^{\lambda^{\iota}}$.
7.1. Reduction to $p$-adic linear algebra. Extending the notation from (3.3.15), let $\mathrm{p}_{\nu}: G_{\nu} \rightarrow$ $G_{\nu, 0} \times G_{\nu, 0} / F_{0}^{\times}$be the projection, and let $\mathrm{p}_{\nu, *}: \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\nu, 0} \times G_{\nu} / F_{0}^{\times}\right)$be the pushforward map. Thus p $:=\mathrm{p}_{n} \times \mathrm{p}_{n+1}: \widetilde{G} \rightarrow G$ and $\mathrm{p}_{*}=\mathrm{p}_{n, *} \otimes \mathrm{p}_{n+1, *}$.

Let $c \geq 1$ be such that $K$ is of level $\leq c$. By the positivity condition on $f^{\dagger}$ and linearity, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu}^{\dagger}=U_{t^{\prime}, K}=\mathrm{p}_{\nu, *}\left(f_{\nu, 1}^{\dagger} \otimes f_{\nu, 2}^{\dagger}\right), \quad f_{\nu, 1}^{\dagger}=K_{\nu, 0} \varpi^{\lambda_{\nu, 1}} K_{\nu, 0}, \quad f_{\nu, 2}^{\dagger}=K_{\nu, 0}^{w_{c}} \varpi_{\nu, 2}^{\lambda^{w}} K_{\nu, 0}^{w_{c}} \tag{7.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda_{\nu, i} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$ with $\lambda_{\nu, i}, \lambda_{\nu, i}^{\iota} \succeq(s+c) \rho_{\nu}$.
We decompose the function

$$
f=f_{K, s}=(5.2 .10)=q_{0}^{d(n)(2 s-c)} \cdot m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} U_{\left[1 ; t_{0}\right]}^{c} f^{\dagger} e_{K}\left[1 ; w_{0, c}^{-1}\right]=f_{n} \otimes f_{n+1}
$$

where each $f_{\nu}$ is a Hecke measure on $G_{\nu} / F_{0}^{\times}$, and further decompose

$$
f_{\nu}=q^{c(\nu) s} m_{\nu, s} f_{\nu}^{\dagger} U_{t_{\nu}}^{-s}=\mathrm{p}_{\nu, *}\left(f_{\nu, 1} \otimes f_{\nu, 2}\right)
$$

where $f_{\nu, i} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\nu, 0}\right)$ are defined up to some scalar ambiguities that we do not need to resolve. We also denote $f_{i}=f_{n, i} \otimes f_{n+1, i}$ for $i=1,2 .{ }^{15}$

Fix a representative $\gamma=\left[\gamma_{0} ; 1\right]=\left[\left(\gamma_{n, 0}, \gamma_{n+1,0}\right) ;\left(1_{n}, 1_{n+1}\right)\right] \in G$ under the decomposition $G=\left(G_{n, 0} \times G_{n+1,0}\right)^{2} / F_{0}^{\times, 2}$.

Decompose $H_{1}=H_{1,0}^{2}$, and write $h_{1} \in H_{1}$ as $h_{1}=\left(h_{1,0}, h_{1,0}^{\prime}\right)$. In the orbital integral (3.3.4), we first integrate over $H_{2}$ (noting that $\eta=1$ ), to find

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(\gamma_{n}\right) I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right) & =\int_{H_{1}} \int_{H_{2}} f\left(\left[h_{1,0}^{-1} \gamma_{0} h_{2} ; h_{1,0}^{\prime-1} h_{2}\right]\right) d h_{2} \chi\left(h_{1}\right) d h_{1} \\
& =\int_{\left(H_{1,0}\right)^{2}} f^{*}\left(h_{1,0}^{-1} \gamma_{0} h_{1,0}^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(\left(h_{1,0}, h_{1,0}^{\prime}\right)\right) d h_{1,0} d h_{1,0}^{\prime} \tag{7.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f^{*}=f_{1} * f_{2}^{\vee} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{n, 0} \times G_{n+1,0}\right)
$$

Lemma 7.1.1. Assume that $f^{\dagger}=(7.1 .1)$, and let $\lambda_{\nu}:=\lambda_{\nu, 1}+\lambda_{\nu, 2}^{\iota} \succeq 2(s+c) \rho_{\nu}$. Then $f^{*}=$ $f_{n}^{*} \otimes f_{n+1}^{*}$ for

$$
f_{\nu}^{*}:=q_{0}^{c(\nu)(2 s-c)} m_{s} K \varpi^{\lambda_{\nu}-2 s \rho_{\nu}} w K m_{s}^{-1} \in \mathscr{H}_{G_{\nu}}
$$

${ }^{15}$ The context should prevent any possible confusion from the clash of notation with $f_{n} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{n} / F_{0}^{\times}\right)$, since the integer in this $f_{n}$ will never be specialized.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{\nu}:=(\nu-1, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu}$, so that $t=\varpi^{\sigma_{\nu}}$ and $\sigma_{\nu}+\sigma_{\nu}^{\iota}=2 \rho_{\nu}$. Abbreviate $w_{(c)}=w_{\nu, 0,(c)}$; $m_{s}=m_{\nu, 0, s} ; t=t_{\nu, 0} ; K=K_{\nu, 0} ; K^{\prime}=K^{w_{c}} ; K^{\prime \prime}=K_{\nu, 0}^{\langle c\rangle}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\nu}^{*}=f_{\nu, 1} * f_{\nu, 2}^{\vee} & =q_{0}^{c(\nu)(2 s-c)} m_{s} U_{t}^{-s} f_{\nu, 1}^{\dagger} K w_{c} K^{\prime}\left(U_{t}^{c-s} f_{\nu, 2}^{\dagger}\right)^{\vee} m_{s}^{-1} \\
& =q_{0}^{c(\nu)(2 s-c)} m_{s} K^{\prime \prime} \varpi^{\lambda_{\nu, 1}-s \sigma_{\nu}} K w_{c} K^{\prime} \varpi^{-\lambda_{\nu, 2}+(s-c) \sigma_{\nu}} K^{\prime \prime} m_{s}^{-1} \\
& =q_{0}^{c(\nu)(2 s-c)} m_{s} K^{\prime \prime} \varpi^{\lambda_{\nu, 1}-s \sigma_{\nu}} K \varpi^{-\lambda_{\nu, 2}^{w}+s \sigma_{\nu}^{w}} w K^{\prime \prime} m_{s}^{-1} \\
& =q_{0}^{c(\nu)(2 s-c)} m_{s} K \varpi^{\lambda_{\nu, 1}-s \sigma_{\nu}} K \varpi^{-\lambda_{\nu, 2}^{w}+s \sigma_{\nu}^{w}} w K m_{s}^{-1} \\
& =q_{0}^{c(\nu)(2 s-c)} m_{s} K \varpi^{\lambda_{\nu}-2 s \rho_{\nu}} w K m_{s}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the symmetry of $K^{\prime \prime}$ and the algebra rules of Lemma 5.1.2.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\nu}^{\circ}:=\varpi^{\lambda_{\nu}-2 s \rho_{\nu}} w_{\nu, 0} \in G_{\nu, 0} \tag{7.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7.1.1, the integrand in (7.1.2) is non-vanishing at $h_{1}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1,0}^{-1} \gamma_{\nu, 0} h_{1,0}^{\prime} \in m_{\nu, 0, s} K_{\nu, 0} X_{\nu}^{\circ} K_{\nu, 0} m_{\nu, 0, s}^{-1} \tag{7.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if the orbital integral $I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\dagger}, \chi\right)$ is non-vanishing, up to changing the representative $\gamma_{0}$ in its $H_{1,0}$-orbit we may and will assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\nu, 0} \in m_{\nu, 0, s} K_{\nu, 0} X_{\nu}^{\circ} K_{\nu, 0} m_{\nu, 0, s}^{-1} \tag{7.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the convenient variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\nu}:=m_{\nu, 0, s}^{-1} \gamma_{\nu, 0} m_{\nu, 0, s} \tag{7.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (7.1.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\nu} \in K_{\nu, 0} X_{\nu}^{\circ} K_{\nu, 0} \tag{7.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (7.1.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\nu, 0, s}^{-1} h_{1,0}^{-1} m_{\nu, 0, s} \cdot X_{\nu} \cdot m_{\nu, 0, s}^{-1} h_{1,0}^{\prime} m_{\nu, 0, s} \in K_{\nu, 0} X_{\nu}^{\circ} K_{\nu, 0} \tag{7.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We reduce Proposition 5.3 .2 to the following.
Proposition 7.1.2. Let $X_{\nu}^{\circ}:=\varpi^{\lambda_{\nu}^{\prime}} w_{\nu, 0}$ for some $\lambda_{\nu}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\nu,+}$, and let $\left(X_{n}, X_{n+1}, h_{1}\right) \in G_{n, 0} \times$ $G_{n+1,0} \times H_{1}$ satisfy (7.1.7), (7.1.8) for $\nu=n, n+1$. Then $h_{1}=\left(h_{1,0}, h_{1,0}^{\prime}\right) \in K_{H}^{(s)}$.

Corollary 7.1.3. The function $f=(5.2 .10)$ (where $K$ is a CSDI) has regular support.
Proof. Let $\gamma \in G$ be in the support of $f$. The set $K_{\gamma, f} \subset H_{1}$ of those $h_{1}$ satisfying (7.1.3) for $\nu=n, n+1$ is stable under the stabilizer $H_{\gamma} \subset H_{1}$. Assume that $\gamma$ is not regular, so that $H_{\gamma}$ is nontrivial. Then $H_{\gamma}$ is isomorphic to the $F_{0}$-points of a non-trivial closed subgroup $\mathrm{H}_{\gamma} \subset \mathrm{H}_{1}$. Using the classification of stabilizer subgroups for the $\mathrm{H}_{1}$-action on G by Rallis and Schiffmann $[R S]$ (note that the question can be reduced to the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ on $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}$ considered in [RS]), we see that, if $\mathrm{H}_{\gamma}$ is not trivial, then it has positive dimension and $H_{\gamma}$ is non-compact. In particular, the set cannot be contained in a compact subset. This contradicts Proposition 7.1.2.

Lemma 7.1.4. Proposition 7.1.2 implies Proposition 5.3.2.
Proof. By linearity we may assume that $f^{\dagger}$ is of the form (7.1.1). Let $X^{\circ}:=\left(X_{n}^{\circ}, X_{n+1}^{\circ}\right) \in$ $G_{n, 0} \times G_{n+1,0}$ (which depends on $f^{\dagger}$ ) be as in (7.1.3), and let $B_{K}^{\dagger}=B_{K}^{\dagger}\left(f^{\dagger}\right) \subset B^{\prime}$ be the image of

$$
m_{0, s}^{-1} K_{0} X^{\circ} K_{0} m_{0, s}^{-1} \times\{1\} \subset G
$$

We have already noted that if $\gamma \notin B_{K}^{\dagger}$, then $I_{\gamma}\left(f^{\dagger}\right)=0$. Assume thus that $\gamma \in B_{K}^{\dagger}$, and pick a representative of the form $\left[\gamma_{0} ; 1\right]$. Proposition 7.1 .2 and the discussion preceding it, applied to $X_{\nu}=(7.1 .6)$ and $\lambda_{\nu}^{\prime}=\lambda_{\nu}-2 s \rho_{\nu}$, show that the integrand

$$
f_{H, \gamma_{0}, \chi}^{*}: h_{1} \longmapsto \chi\left(h_{1}\right) f^{*}\left(h_{1,0}^{-1} \gamma_{0} h_{1,0}^{\prime}\right)
$$

in (7.1.2) has support contained in $K_{H}^{(s)}$. Thus in order to prove Proposition 5.3 .2 we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{H, \gamma_{0}, \chi \mid K_{H}^{(s)}}^{*}=q^{d(n) s} \tag{7.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the observation from (5.1.8) that if $h_{1,0} \in K_{H, 0}^{(s)}$, then $m_{0, s}^{-1} h_{1,0}^{-1} m_{0, s} \in K_{0}^{\langle s+1\rangle} \subset K$, and similarly for $h_{1,0}^{\prime-1}$. Therefore the equivalent form (7.1.8) of (7.1.4) and the fact that $\chi_{\mid K_{H}^{(s)}}=1$ imply (7.1.9).

In $\S 7.2$ we reduce Proposition 7.1 .2 to a simpler statement, to be proved in the remainder of this section.
7.2. Contraction. From now until the end of the section, we lighten the notation by: dropping all subscripts ' 0 '; writing $h$ in place of $h_{1,0}$, and $h^{\prime}$ in place of $h_{1,0}^{\prime}$; and writing $m_{s} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ in place of $m_{n+1, s}$, whereas we recall that $m_{n, s}=t_{n}^{s}$.

We extract, from the pair of conditions on $h, h^{\prime}$ in (7.1.8), a single condition on $h$.
Let $e_{n+1, n}=\binom{1_{n}}{0} \in M_{n+1, n}(F)$ be the matrix with rows $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, 0\right)$. Denote $\underline{s}:=(s, \ldots, s) \in$ $\mathbf{Z}^{n}$ and $\varpi_{n}:=\varpi^{1}=\varpi 1_{n} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$, and define the $(n+1) \times n$ matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X:=X_{n+1} m_{s}^{-1} e_{n+1, n} t_{n}^{s} X_{n}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the second-last matrix $0 \in\left(F^{n}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$, and $\lambda_{i}:=\left(\lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)_{n+2-i}-\left(\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{i}-(n+2-2 i) s$. Then

$$
\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i} \geq 2 s
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{h}_{s} & :=m_{s}^{-1} h^{-1} m_{s}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{n}^{-s} w_{n}^{-1} h^{-1} w_{n} t_{n}^{s} & \varpi_{n}^{-s} t_{n}^{-1}\left(w^{-1} h^{-1}-1_{n}\right) u \\
1
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)  \tag{7.2.1}\\
h_{s} & :=t_{n}^{-s} h t_{n}^{s} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $Y_{\nu}$ the left-hand side of (7.1.8). Then those equations imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1} m_{s}^{-1} e_{n+1, n} t_{n}^{s} Y_{n}^{-1}=\bar{h}_{s} X h_{s} \in K_{n+1} X_{n+1}^{\circ} K_{n+1} m_{s}^{-1}\binom{t_{n}^{s} K_{n} X_{n}^{\circ,-1} K_{n}}{0} \tag{7.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We simplify the right-hand side. First, we have

$$
K_{n} X_{n}^{\circ,-1} K_{n}=K_{n} w_{n} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}} K_{n}=K_{n}^{\langle 2 s\rangle} w_{n} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}} K_{n}
$$

where the group $K_{n}^{\langle 2 s\rangle}$ is as in § 5.1.4 (the second equality can be shown by observing that the quotient $K_{n}^{\langle 2 s\rangle} \backslash K_{n}$ is represented by lower-triangular matrices). By the quasi-symmetry of $K_{n}^{\langle 2 s\rangle}$, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
K_{n+1} m_{s}^{-1}\binom{t_{n}^{s} K_{n} w_{n} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}} K_{n}}{0} & =K_{n+1}\binom{\varpi_{n}^{-s} t_{n}^{-s} w_{n} t_{n}^{s} K_{n}^{\langle 2 s\rangle} w_{n}^{-1} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}} K_{n}}{0} \\
=K_{n+1}\left(\varpi_{n}^{-s} \cdot \varpi^{-2 s \rho_{n}} w_{n} K_{n}^{\langle s\rangle} w_{n} \varpi^{2 s \rho_{n}} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-2 s \rho_{n}} K_{n}\right. \\
0
\end{array}\right)=K_{n+1}\binom{K_{n}^{\langle s\rangle} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-2 s \rho_{n}-\underline{s}} K_{n}}{0}
$$

Therefore (7.2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\bar{h}_{s} X h_{s} \in K_{n+1} \varpi^{\lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}} w_{n+1} K_{n+1}\binom{K_{n}^{\langle 2\rangle} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-2 s \rho_{n}-\underline{s}}}{0} K_{n}=K_{n+1} X^{\circ} K_{n}
$$

where the identity follows from writing

$$
K_{n+1}\binom{K_{n}^{\langle 2 s\rangle} \varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-2 s \rho_{n}-\underline{s}} K_{n}}{0}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{n+1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi^{-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-2 s \rho_{n}-\underline{s}} & \\
& \varepsilon
\end{array}\right) K_{n+1} e_{n+1, n}
$$

and applying the multiplication rules of Lemma 5.1.2. We conclude that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
X & \in K_{n+1} X^{\circ} K_{n} \\
\bar{h}_{s} X h_{s} & \in K_{n+1} X^{\circ} K_{n} \tag{7.2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first containment follows from the above calculation and (7.1.7).
We show that the following solution to the contracted problem (7.2.3) implies Proposition 7.1.2.

Proposition 7.2.1. Let $K_{\nu}$ be a deeper Iwahori of level $\leq s$. Let

$$
X^{\circ}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 
& & & 0  \tag{7.2.4}\\
& & & \varpi^{\lambda_{n}} \\
& 0 & \ldots & \\
0 & \varpi^{\lambda_{2}} & & \\
\varpi^{\lambda_{1}} & & &
\end{array}\right) \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)
$$

with $\lambda_{i+1} \geq \lambda_{i}+2 s$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and let $X \in K_{n+1} X^{\circ} K_{n}$.
If $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ satisfies

$$
\bar{h}_{s} X h_{s} \in K_{n+1} X^{\circ} K_{n}
$$

with the notation (7.2.1), then $h \in K_{H}^{(s)}$.
Lemma 7.2.2. Proposition 7.2.1 implies Proposition 7.1.2.

Proof. We revert for a moment to the notation of Proposition 7.1.2. The discussion preceding Proposition 7.2 .1 shows that this proposition implies the conclusion that $h_{1,0} \in K_{H, 0}^{(s)}$. Observe now that $\left(X_{n}^{\circ,-1}, X_{n+1}^{0,-1} ; X_{n}^{-1}, X_{n+1}^{-1} ; h_{1,0}^{\prime}, h_{1,0}\right)$ also satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 7.1.2. Then the previous argument applied to these data shows that $h_{1,0}^{\prime} \in K_{H, 0}^{(s)}$ as well.

The proof of Proposition 7.2 .1 will occupy the rest of this section.
7.2.1. Iwahori-invariants from minors. We say that a size- $r$ minor $M$ of a matrix $X \in M_{m \times n}\left(F_{0}\right)$ is

- Southwest principal if it is obtained by deleting all but the last $r$ rows and all but the first $r$ columns of $X$;
- quasi-SW-principal if $r \geq 2$ and $M$ contains the Southwest principal minor of size $r-1$;
- loose if $r=1$ and $M$ is one of the entries of the last row of $X$.

Definition 7.2.3. Fix integers $\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{n}$. We say that $X \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)$ satisfies the Minor Condition if for every $1 \leq r \leq n$, every $r \times r$-minor $M_{X}^{(r)}$ of $X$, and the Southwest-principal $r \times r$-minor $P_{X}^{(r)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\operatorname{det} M_{X}^{(r)}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}, \quad v\left(\operatorname{det} P_{X}^{(r)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} \tag{7.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $X \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)$ satisfies the Weak Minor Condition if (7.2.5) holds for all nonloose minors.

The first example of a matrix satisfying the Minor Condition is $X^{\circ}=(7.2 .4)$.
Lemma 7.2.4. Let $X, X^{\prime} \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)$.
(1) If

$$
X^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Iw}_{n+1} X \mathrm{Iw}_{n}
$$

then $X$ satisfies the Minor Condition if and only if $X^{\prime}$ does;
(2) if

$$
X^{\prime} \in\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Iw}_{n} & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) X \operatorname{Iw}_{n}
$$

then $X$ satisfies the Weak Minor Condition if and only if $X^{\prime}$ does.
Proof. This follows from the Cauchy-Binet formula for minors of products.
The next two subsections contain auxiliary lemmas to be deployed in the proof of our proposition in § 7.5 - the reader may wish to glance at $\S 7.5$ before continuing.
7.3. First auxiliary lemma. We define some variants of the condition $h \in K_{H}^{(s)}$.

Definition 7.3.1. For $s \geq 1$, we say that a matrix $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ is

- $s$-small if for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(h_{i i}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad v\left(h_{i j}\right) \geq|j-i| s ; \tag{7.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- upper-s-small up to row $i$ if there is a decomposition

$$
h=h_{-}^{(i)} h_{+}^{(i)}
$$

where $h_{+}^{(i)}$ is $s$-small, and $h_{-}^{(i)}$ admits a block decomposition

$$
h_{-}^{(i)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha &  \tag{7.3.2}\\
* & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that $\alpha \in M_{i}(F)$ is lower-triangular with units on the diagonal.

- extremely $s$-small if it is $s$-small and $\left(w h-1_{n}\right) u=0$.

Remark 7.3.2. The set of extremely $s$-small matrices is a subgroup of $K_{H}^{(s)}$, which in turn is a subgroup of the group of $s$-small matrices. If $h$ is of the form $h_{-}^{(i-1)}$ and it satisfies (7.3.1) for all $j \leq i$, then $h$ is upper-s-small up to row $i$. (In fact, there is a decomposition $h=h_{-}^{(i)} h_{+}^{(i)}$ with $h_{+}^{(i)}$ differing from the identity only in row $i$.)

From now until the rest of this section, we write $t$ in place of $t_{n}$. We denote $h^{-w}:=w_{n} h^{-1} w_{n}^{-1}$ for $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$, and we simply denote by 0 the zero row vector of length $n$. The following remark will often be used in conjunction with Lemma 7.2.4.

Remark 7.3.3. If $h$ is $s$-small, then $t^{-s} h t$ and $t^{-s} h^{-w} t$ belong to $\mathrm{Iw}_{n}$.
Lemma 7.3.4. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$, and consider the equation

$$
X \cdot s h:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t^{-s} h^{-w} t^{s} &  \tag{7.3.3}\\
& \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) X t^{-s} h t^{s}=X^{\prime}
$$

subject to:
$-X, X^{\prime} \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)$ satisfy the Weak Minor Condition of Definition 7.2.3;

- the entries of the last $i$ rows of $X$ below the lower antidiagonal are zero, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(X_{n+2-i^{\prime}, i^{\prime}}\right)=\lambda_{i^{\prime}}, \quad X_{n+2-i^{\prime}, j}=0 \text { for all } j>i^{\prime} \leq i \tag{7.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the first equation is a consequence of the second one and (7.2.5));
$-h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$.
We have:
(1) for given $X$, every solution $\left(h, X^{\prime}\right)$ has $h$ upper-s-small up to row $i$;
(2) if $h$ is of the form $h_{-}^{(i)}$ as in (7.3.2), then $X^{\prime}$ also satisfies (7.3.4).
(3) for given $X^{\prime}$, there exists a solution $(h, X)$ with $h$ extremely s-small.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $i$. Write

$$
X=\binom{A}{c}, \quad X^{\prime}=\binom{A^{\prime}}{c^{\prime}}
$$

with $A, A^{\prime} \in M_{n \times n}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$
Consider first $i=1$. The last row of (7.3.3) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}^{\prime}=c_{1} h_{1 j} / \varpi^{(j-1)} \tag{7.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \leq n$. Thus if $X, X^{\prime}$ satisfy (7.2.5), then $v\left(h_{11}\right)=0$ and $v\left(h_{1 j}\right) \geq(j-1) s$, hence the first statement is proved and the second one is immediate. On the other hand, substituting $h_{11}=1-\sum_{k=2}^{n} h_{i k}, c_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime} h_{11}^{-1}$ in (7.3.5) gives the integral linear system

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \delta_{j k}+\varpi^{(k-1) s} c_{j}^{\prime}\right) \varpi^{(1-k) s} h_{1 k}=c_{j}^{\prime}
$$

in the variables $\varpi^{(1-k) s} h_{1 k}$. As the system is invertible, the third statement is proved too.
Now let $i \geq 2$ and suppose the first two statements known for $i-1$. By Remark 7.3.3 and Lemma 7.2.4, acting on the right by $h_{+}^{(i-1)}$ preserves the Weak Minor Condition on $X^{\prime}$; hence we may and do replace $h$ by $h_{-}^{(i-1)}$ in a decomposition $h=h_{-}^{(i-1)} h_{+}^{(i-1)}$. In other words, we may assume that for $j>i^{\prime} \leq i-1$,

$$
v\left(h_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)=0, \quad h_{i^{\prime}, j}=0
$$

The same conditions are then satisfied by $h^{-1}$.
For $j \geq i$, let

$$
M^{n+2-i, j}
$$

be the quasi-SW-principal minor of $X^{\prime}$ of size $i$ whose upper-right corner is $X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}$; thus by the induction hypothesis $M^{n+2-i, j}$ has zero entries below the antidiagonal, and its antidiagonal entries have valuations (in order, starting from the SW corner)

$$
\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, v\left(X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

In particular,

$$
v\left(\operatorname{det} M^{n+2-i, j}\right)=\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i} \lambda_{i^{\prime}}-\lambda_{i}+v\left(X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence the Minor Condition (7.2.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda_{i}+v\left(X_{n+2-i, i}^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad-\lambda_{i}+v\left(X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0 \text { for all } j>i \tag{7.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $A^{\prime}=t^{-s} h^{-w} t^{s} A t^{-s} h t^{s}$, we have for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime} & =\varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(h^{-w}\right)_{n+2-i, n+1-k} \varpi^{(k+1-i) s} X_{n+1-k, k-1} h_{k+1, j} \varpi^{(k+1-j) s}  \tag{7.3.7}\\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} h_{i-1, k}^{-1} \varpi^{(k+1-i) s} \varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+1-k, k+1} h_{k+1, j} \varpi^{(k+1-j) s},
\end{align*}
$$

by our assumptions on $h$. Moreover, for $j \geq i$ by induction hypothesis $h_{k+1, j}=0$ for all $k<i-1$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}=h_{i-1, i-1}^{-1} h_{i, j} \varpi^{(i-j) s} \varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, i} . \tag{7.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{i-1, i-1}^{-1}$ and $\varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, i}$ are units, condition (7.3.6) is equivalent to

$$
v\left(h_{i, i}\right)=0, \quad v\left(h_{i, j}\right) \geq(j-i) s
$$

for all $j>i$, establishing the first statement. If $h$ is of the form $h_{-}^{(i)}$, the second statement is immediate from (7.3.8).

Consider now the third statement. After replacing $X^{\prime}$ by $X^{\prime}{ }_{s}\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ where $h^{\prime}$ is as given by this statement for $i-1$, we may and do assume that $X^{\prime}$ satisfies (7.3.4) for $i^{\prime}<i$. We seek $h$ extremely $s$-small, upper-triangular and differing from the identity only in row $i$; hence $h$ takes the form $h_{-}^{(i-1)}$, and by the second statement for $i-1$, we only need to find a solution to (7.3.8) in $h$ (with the further simplification $h_{i-1, i-1}=1$ ).

We set $h_{i, i}=1-\sum_{k \neq i} h_{i k}$, necessary for $h$ to be extremely $s$-small, and substitute in $X_{n+2-i, i}=$ $h_{i, i}^{-1} X_{n+2-i, i}^{\prime}$. We find the linear system

$$
\sum_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(\varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, i}^{\prime} \delta_{j k}+\varpi^{(k-i) s} \varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}\right) h_{i k} \varpi^{(i-k) s}=\varpi^{-\lambda_{i}} X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}
$$

in the variables $\varpi^{(i-k) s} h_{i k}$ for $k \geq i+1$. By our reductions, $-\lambda_{i}+v\left(X_{n+2-i, i}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $-\lambda_{i}+$ $v\left(X_{n+2-i, j}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$, hence the system is integral and invertible; its solvability implies the third statement.

### 7.4. Second auxiliary lemma.

Definition 7.4.1. We say that a lower-triangular matrix $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ with units on the diagonal is lower-s-small from column $j$ if

$$
v\left(h_{\left.i j^{\prime}\right)}\right) \geq\left(i-j^{\prime}\right) s \text { for all } i>j^{\prime} \geq j
$$

This is equivalent to the existence of a decomposition

$$
h={ }^{(j)} h_{-} \cdot{ }^{(j)} h_{--}
$$

where ${ }^{(j)} h_{--}$is lower-triangular and $s$-small, and

$$
{ }^{(j)} h_{-}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{-} &  \tag{7.4.1}\\
* & 1_{n+1-j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\alpha_{-} \in M_{j-1}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$ lower-triangular with units on the diagonal.
Remark 7.4.2. For a lower-triangular matrix $h$ with units on the diagonal:
$-h$ is lower- $s$-small from column $j$ if and only if $h^{-1}$ is;

- $h$ is lower- $s$-small from column 1 if and only if it is $s$-small.

Lemma 7.4.3. Let $1 \leq j \leq n$, and consider the equation

$$
X \cdot s h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t^{-s} h^{-w} t^{s} &  \tag{7.4.2}\\
& \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) X t^{-s} h t^{s}=X^{\prime}
$$

subject to:

- $X, X^{\prime} \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)$ satisfy the Weak Minor Condition of Definition 7.2.3;
- the entries of $X, X^{\prime}$ below the lower antidiagonal are zero, that is

$$
v\left(X_{n+2-i, i}\right)=\lambda_{i}, \quad X_{n+2-i, j^{\prime}}=0 \text { for all } j^{\prime}>i
$$

(where the first equation is a consequence of the second one and (7.2.5)), and similarly for $X^{\prime}$;

- the entries of the last $n-j$ columns of $X$ above the lower antidiagonal are zero, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+2-i, j^{\prime}}=0 \text { for all } i>j^{\prime} \geq j+1 \tag{7.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$-h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ is lower-triangular with units on the diagonal.
We have:
(1) for given $X$, every solution $\left(h, X^{\prime}\right)$ has $h$ lower-s-small from column $j$;
(2) if $h$ is of the form ${ }^{(j)} h_{-}$as in (7.4.1), then $X^{\prime}$ also satisfies (7.4.3);
(3) for given $X^{\prime}$, there exists a solution $(h, X)$ with $h$ extremely s-small.

Proof. We prove this by decreasing induction on $j$, the case $j=n$ being trivial. Thus let $j \leq n-1$ and assume the statements proved for $j+1$.

After replacing $h$ by ${ }^{(j-1)} h_{-}$as in the decomposition (7.4.1), that is acting by $\cdot s^{(j+1)} h_{--}$on both sides of (7.4.2), by the induction hypothesis we are led to a situation that is equivalent for the purposes of the first two statements. Hence we may and do assume that $h$ has the form ${ }^{(j+1)} h_{-}$. For $i \geq j$, let

$$
N^{n+1-i, j+1}
$$

be the quasi-SW-principal minor of $X^{\prime}$ of size $j+1$ whose upper-right corner is $X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime}$; thus the matrix $N^{n+1-i, j+1}$ has vanishing entries below the antidiagonal, and its antidiagonal entries (in order, starting from the SW corner) have valuations

$$
\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j}, v\left(X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

In particular,

$$
v\left(\operatorname{det} N^{n+1-i, j+1}\right)=\sum_{j^{\prime}=0}^{j+1} \lambda_{j^{\prime}}-\lambda_{j+1}+v\left(X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence (7.2.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda_{j+1}+v\left(X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0 \tag{7.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same condition holds for $X$ by assumption.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j+1}^{-1} X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime} & =\varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}\left(h^{-w}\right)_{n+1-i, n+1-k} \varpi^{(k-i) s} X_{n+1-k, l+1} h_{l+1, j+1} \varpi^{(l-j) s} \\
& =\sum_{k=j}^{i} h_{i, k}^{-1} \varpi^{(k-i) s} \varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} X_{n+1-k, j+1} \tag{7.4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used our assumptions on $h$ and $X$. All terms are integral except possibly the last one, whose valuation is $v\left(h_{i, j}^{-1}\right)-(i-j) s$. That this should be non-negative, for all $i>j$, is equivalent to $h$ being lower- $s$-small from column $j$, proving the first statement.

If moreover $h$ has the form ${ }^{(j)} h_{-}$, then in (7.4.5) all terms are zero unless $i=j$, in which case we only have the term corresponding to $i=j=k$, giving $X_{n+1-j, j+1}^{\prime}=X_{n+1-j, j+1}=0$. This proves the second statement.

For the third statement, we seek an extremely $s$-small matrix $h$ that differs from the identity only in column $j$. Then $h^{-1}$ satisfies the same conditions, $h$ is of the form ${ }^{(j-1)} h_{-}$, and we need it
to satisfy (7.4.5) (for some $X$ ), in whose right-hand side only the terms $k=j, i$ may be nonzero. Substituting

$$
h_{j j}^{-1}:=1-\sum_{i>j} h_{i j}^{-1}, \quad X_{n+1-j, j+1}=\left(1-\sum_{i>j} h_{i j}\right)^{-1} X_{n+1-j, j+1}^{\prime},
$$

and observing that for $i \geq j+1$ only the term $k=i$ may be nonzero in (7.4.5), we find

$$
h_{i j}^{-1} \varpi^{(j-i) s} \varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} X_{n+1-j, j+1}=\varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime} .
$$

This is an invertible integral linear system

$$
\sum_{k=j+1}^{i}\left(\varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} X_{n+1-j, j+1}^{\prime} \delta_{k j}+\varpi^{k-j} \varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime}\right) \varpi^{(j-k) s} h_{k j}^{-1}=\varpi^{-\lambda_{j+1}} X_{n+1-i, j+1}^{\prime}
$$

in the variables $\varpi^{(j-k) s} h_{k j}^{-1}$. The solvability of the system implies our third statement.
7.5. Proof of Propositions 5.3.2, 7.1.2, and 7.2.1. By Lemmas 7.1.4, 7.2.2, it suffices to prove Proposition 7.2.1. Thus we need to show that for $X, Y \in K_{n+1} X^{\circ} K_{n}$, all the solutions in $h$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\bar{h}_{s} X h_{s} \tag{7.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have $h \in K_{H}^{(s)}$. By Lemma 7.2.4, both $X$ and $Y$ satisfy the Minor Condition.
Write $X=\binom{A}{c} \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(F)$, with $c \in F^{n, \mathrm{t}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y=\bar{h}_{s} X h_{s}=X^{\prime}+X^{\prime \prime} \\
& X^{\prime}:=X \cdot{ }_{\cdot s} h=\binom{t^{-s} h^{-w} t^{s} A t^{-s} h t^{s}}{c t^{-s} h t^{s}},  \tag{7.5.2}\\
& X^{\prime \prime}:=X . . s h:=\binom{\varpi^{-s} t^{-s}\left(w h^{-1}-1_{n}\right) u}{0} c t^{-s} h t^{s},
\end{align*}
$$

where the notation $X . s h$ is as in Lemmas 7.3.4, 7.4.3.
Note that $X^{\prime \prime}=Y-X^{\prime}$ is a rank-1 matrix whose rows are all multiples of row $n+1$ of $X^{\prime}$ (and whose last row is zero), so that the determinants of any pair of corresponding non-loose minors of $Y, X^{\prime}$ are equal. In particular, $X^{\prime}$ also satisfies the Weak Minor Condition of Definition 7.2.3.

We proceed in several steps to show that $h \in K_{H}^{(s)}$.
(1) By applying first Lemma 7.3.4(3) for $i=n$, then Lemma 7.4.3(3) for $j=1$, we find an extremely $s$-small $h^{\prime}$ such that, first, $X . . s h^{\prime}=0$ (which is automatic by the extreme smallness of $h^{\prime}$ ) and, second, $X ._{s} h^{\prime}=\bar{h}_{s}^{\prime} X h_{s}$ has zero entries outside of the lower antidiagonal and of column 1. Hence, up to changing variables by such an $h^{\prime}$, we may assume $X$ satisfies these vanishing conditions.
(2) Apply Lemma 7.3.4 (1) to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}=X \cdot s h \tag{7.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to deduce that $h$ is upper- $s$-small, $h=h_{-} h_{+}$with $h_{-}$lower-triangular with units on the diagonal and $h_{+} s$-small.
(3) Act on (7.5.3) by ${ }_{s} h_{+}^{-1}$; by Remark 7.3.3 and Lemma 7.2.4(2) this preserves the Weak Minor Condition. We can then apply Lemma $7.4 .3(1)$ (with $j=1$ ) to the resulting equation, to conclude that $h_{-}$and $h$ are $s$-small.
(4) By Remark 7.3.3 and Lemma 7.2.4(1), we deduce that $X^{\prime}=X . s h$ satisfies the full Minor Condition; in particular, all entries of $X^{\prime}$ have valuation no less than $v\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$. Since this also holds for the entries of $Y$, it must hold for the entires of $X^{\prime \prime}$ too. As $\lambda_{1}^{-1} c t^{-s} h t^{s}$ is integral with first entry a unit, the condition on $X^{\prime \prime}$ is satisfied if and only if $\varpi^{-s} t^{-s}\left(w h^{-1}-1_{n}\right) u \in \mathscr{O}^{n}$; that is, $h \in K_{H}^{(s)}$.
The proof of Propositions 7.2.1, 7.1.2 and 5.3.2 is now complete.

## Part 2. $p$-adic heights and the arithmetic relative-trace formula

We now study the $p$-adic heights of Gan-Gross-Prasad cycles. In $\S 8$, we recall the relevant Shimura varieties, the arithmetic diagonal cycles, and their moduli interpretations over the reflex fields. In $\S 9$, we study various integral models and prove some vanishing results for their cohomologies. In §10, we define the arithmetic relative-trace distribution encoding the heights of GGP cycles, and prove the corresponding RTF. In §11, we compare the arithmetic RTF with the derivative of the analytic RTF in order to prove our main theorems. Appendix A collects the necessary definitions and results on cycles and $p$-adic heights, mostly used in $\S 10$.

In $\S \S 8-9$, we use slightly different notation on unitary groups from the rest of the paper.

## 8. Unitary Shimura varieties and arithmetic diagonals

For this section and the next one, we largely follow [RSZ20, RSZ21].
8.1. Unitary Shimura varieties. We keep denoting by $F$ a CM number field with maximal totally real subfield $F_{0}$ and nontrivial $F / F_{0}$-automorphism c: $a \mapsto a^{\text {c }}$. For an algebraic group G over $F_{0}$, we denote its restriction of scalars to $\mathbf{Q}$ by

$$
\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{b}}:=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbf{Q}} \mathrm{G}
$$

8.1.1. Unitary Shimura data and the associated varieties. We denote by $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathbf{C}$. Let $\nu$ be a positive integer. Recall from [RSZ21, $\S 2.2]$ that a generalized CM type (or a signature type) of rank $\nu$ is a function $r: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denoted $\varphi \mapsto r_{\varphi}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\varphi}+r_{\varphi^{\mathrm{c}}}=\nu \quad \text { for all } \quad \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) ; \tag{8.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\varphi^{\mathrm{c}}:=\varphi \circ \mathrm{c}$. When $\nu=1$, a generalized CM type is "the same" as a usual CM type, via

$$
\Phi=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \mid r_{\varphi}=1\right\} .
$$

Fix a CM type $\Phi$ of $F$, and let $(W,()$,$) be an F / F_{0}$-hermitian vector space of dimension $\nu$. The signatures of $W$ at the archimedean places determine a generalized CM type $r$ of rank $n$, by writing

$$
\operatorname{sig} W_{\varphi}=\left(r_{\varphi}, r_{\varphi^{c}}\right), \quad \varphi \in \Phi, \quad W_{\varphi}:=W \otimes_{F, \varphi} \mathbf{C}
$$

Consider the unitary group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}:=\mathrm{U}(W) . \tag{8.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $\varphi \in \Phi$, choose a C-basis of $W_{\varphi}$ with respect to which the matrix of the hermitian form (, ) is given by $\operatorname{diag}\left(1_{r_{\varphi}},-1_{r_{\varphi} c}\right)$ We now define a $\operatorname{Shimura}$ datum $\left(\mathrm{G}^{b},\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}}^{b}\right\}\right)$, where $\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}}^{b}\right\}$ is a $\mathrm{G}^{b}(\mathbf{R})$-conjugacy class of homomorphisms $\mathbb{S}:=\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbf{C} / \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{R}}^{b}$. With respect to the inclusion and the identification induced by the fixed CM type $\Phi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{b}(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{F \otimes \mathbf{R}}(W \otimes \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\underset{\sim}{\longrightarrow}} \prod_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(W_{\varphi}\right), \tag{8.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we define $h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}$ as $\left(h_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{b}}, \varphi}\right)_{\varphi \in \Phi}$ where the $\varphi$-component is defined on $\mathbf{C}^{\times}$by

$$
h_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{b}}, \varphi}: z \longmapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(1_{r_{\varphi}},\left(z^{\mathrm{c}} / z\right) 1_{r_{\varphi^{\mathrm{c}}}}\right) .
$$

The reflex field $E\left(\mathrm{G}^{b}, h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}\right)$ of this Shimura datum is the reflex field $E_{r^{\natural}}$ of the function $r^{\natural}$, characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / E_{r^{\natural}}\right)=\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q}) \mid \sigma^{*}\left(r^{\natural}\right)=r^{\natural}\right\}, \tag{8.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we define a modified function

$$
\begin{align*}
r^{\natural}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}  \tag{8.1.5}\\
\varphi \longmapsto & \begin{cases}0, & \varphi \in \Phi ; \\
r_{\varphi}, & \varphi \in \Phi^{\mathrm{c}} .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

We then obtain a tower of Shimura varieties $\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{b},\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{b}}}\right\}\right)\right)_{K \subset G\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)}$ over $E_{r^{\natural}}$.
Remark 8.1.1. The Shimura variety $\operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{b},\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}\right\}\right)$ is not of PEL type, i.e., it is not related to a moduli problem of abelian varieties (this can be seen already from the fact that the restriction of $\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}\right\}$ to $\mathbf{G}_{m} \subset \mathbb{S}$ is not mapped via the identity map to the center of $\left.\mathrm{G}^{b}\right)$. However, this Shimura variety is of abelian type.
8.1.2. A special signature type. If the generalized CM type $r$ satisfies

$$
r_{\varphi}= \begin{cases}\nu-1, & \varphi=\varphi_{0}  \tag{8.1.6}\\ \nu, & \varphi \in \Phi \backslash\left\{\varphi_{0}\right\}\end{cases}
$$

for some CM type $\Phi$ and some $\varphi_{0} \in \Phi$, we say that the signature type of $r$ is strictly fake Drinfeld (with respect to $\left(\Phi, \varphi_{0}\right)$ ). In this case, we have $\varphi_{0}: F \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{r^{\natural}}$ for all $\nu \geq 1$; in other words the reflex field is $F$ via the embedding $\varphi_{0}: F \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (cf. [RSZ21, Example 2.3 (ii)]). In this paper, we will only consider data of strict fake Drinfeld type. We will abbreviate $\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G}):=\operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{b},\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}\right\}\right)$, omitting the superscript $b$ and suppressing the datum $\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}\right\}$.
8.1.3. Hecke correspondences. For each $K \subset G\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$, and each characteristic-zero field $L$, we have an $L$-algebra homomorphism (see Definition A.3.3 for ÉtCorr)

$$
T: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right), L\right)_{K} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ét} \operatorname{Corr}\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})\right)
$$


where $K g K:=\operatorname{vol}(K)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{K g K} d g, K^{\prime}:=K \cap g K g^{-1}$, and the map nat ${ }_{1}$ is the natural map induced by the embedding $K^{\prime} \subset K$ while nat ${ }_{g}$ is induced by the composition

$$
\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}}(\mathrm{G}) \xrightarrow{. g} \mathrm{Sh}_{g^{-1} K^{\prime} g}(\mathrm{G}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G}) .
$$

For the other Shimura varieties in this section, we also have Hecke correspondences defined in an entirely analogous way.
8.1.4. Product Shimura varieties and the arithmetic diagonal. Let $\Phi$ be a CM type, let $W_{n}$ be a hermitian space of dimension $n \geq 1$, and assume that the associated generalized CM type $r_{n}$ is of strict fake Drinfeld type. Let $W_{n+1}=W \oplus^{\perp} F e$ where $e$ has norm 1. Let $\mathrm{G}_{\nu}=\mathrm{U}\left(W_{\nu}\right)$ for $\nu=n, n+1$, and let $\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\nu}}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\nu}\right)\right)_{K_{\nu}}$ be the corresponding tower of Shimura varieties. We also have a product Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{b}}, h_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{b}}}\right)$ associated with $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{n} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1}$ and $h_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}=h_{\mathrm{G}_{n}^{b}} \times h_{\mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{b}}$. Denote $\mathrm{H}:=\mathrm{G}_{n}$. The map

$$
\jmath: \mathrm{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}
$$

that is the graph of the natural embedding $\mathrm{G}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{n+1}$ induces a corresponding map of Shimura varieties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\jmath: \mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}(\mathrm{H}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}(\mathrm{G}) \tag{8.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $K_{\mathrm{H}} \subset \jmath^{-1}\left(K_{\mathrm{G}}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$.
The target Shimura variety has dimension $2 n-1$, and the image of $\jmath$ has codimension $n$, in the arithmetic middle dimension (i.e., the codimension is just more than half the dimension of the ambient variety). We thus call the map (8.1.8) the arithmetic diagonal, and the image cycle (defined in more detail in $\S 10.2 .1$ ) the arithmetic diagonal cycle in $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathrm{G})$.
8.2. Incoherent Shimura varieties. For our specific signature type, we may present the above Shimura varieties more symmetrically using incoherent hermitian spaces.
8.2.1. Shimura varieties for incoherent unitary groups. Let $V$ be a totally positive definite incoherent $F / F_{0}$-hermitian space of dimension $\nu$. The theory of conjugates of Shimura varieties ([MS82]; see also [Gro, ST]) shows that there exists a unique-up-to-isomorphism tower

$$
\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})\right)_{K \subset G\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)}
$$

over $\operatorname{Spec} F$ with the following property. For any CM type $\Phi$ of $F$ and any archimedean place $v_{0}$ of $F_{0}$, let $\varphi_{0} \in \Phi$ be the unique embedding above $v_{0}$, let $\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}=\mathrm{U}\left(V\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$ be the unitary group associated to the nearby hermitian space $V\left(v_{0}\right)$, and let $\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}\right)\right)_{K}$ be the tower of Shimura varieties associated with the data $\left(\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}, \Phi, \varphi_{0}\right)$ as in § 8.1.2. Then

$$
\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G}) \times{ }_{\operatorname{Spec} F, \varphi_{0}} \operatorname{Spec} \varphi_{0}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

where we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ induced from a fixed isometry $V\left(v_{0}\right)_{v} \simeq V_{v}$ for all $v \nmid \infty$. We will call $\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})$ the Shimura varieties attached to the incoherent hermitian space $V$ (even though strictly speaking they are not Shimura varieties defined by Deligne).

From now on we will also make the assumption that all our unitary groups G are anisotropic; in the incoherent case this means that $\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}$ is anisotropic for any (hence every) archimedean place $v_{0} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{0}, \mathbf{R}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})$ is proper for any compact open subgroup $K \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$; this is guaranteed if $F_{0} \neq \mathbf{Q}$.
8.2.2. The arithmetic diagonal for incoherent Shimura varieties. Fix now an incoherent pair $V=$ $\left(V_{n}, V_{n+1}\right) \in \mathscr{V}^{0,-}$. We denote $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}=\mathrm{G}_{n}^{V} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{V}:=\mathrm{U}\left(V_{n}\right) \times \mathrm{U}\left(V_{n+1}\right)$ (an incoherent unitary group as in § 1.3.1), and let $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}(\mathrm{G})$ denote the product of Shimura varieties constructed in §8.1.4. Then for every place $v_{0} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{0}, \mathbf{R}\right)$, let $\left.\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}:=\mathrm{G}_{n}^{\left(v_{0}\right)} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}:=\mathrm{U}\left(V\left(v_{0}\right)_{n}\right) \times \mathrm{U}\left(V\left(v_{0}\right)_{n+1}\right)\right)$ be
the unitary group associated to the nearby hermitian space $V\left(v_{0}\right)$. Then there exists a projective system of varieties $\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})\right)_{K \subset G\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)}$ over Spec $F$ such that, for every embedding $\varphi_{0}: F \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ extending $v_{0}$ and every choice of CM type $\Phi$ such that $\varphi_{0} \in \Phi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G}) \times_{\operatorname{Spec} F} \operatorname{Spec} \varphi_{0}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}\right) \tag{8.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(G^{\left(v_{0}\right), b}, h_{\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right), b}}\right)$ with $h_{\mathrm{G}^{\left(v_{0}\right), b}}=h_{\mathrm{G}_{n}^{\left(v_{0}\right), b}} \times h_{\mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\left(v_{0}\right), b}}$ (the latter defined in $\S$ 8.1.1). Similarly, we have incoherent Shimura varieties $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}(\mathrm{H})$ for the group $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}^{V}=\mathrm{U}\left(V_{n}\right)$.

As in § 8.1.4, we have (finite) maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\jmath: \mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}(\mathrm{H}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}(\mathrm{G}), \tag{8.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are the pullbacks of (8.1.8) via (8.2.1).
8.3. RSZ Shimura varieties. The unitary Shimura varieties above do not admit natural moduli descriptions. Hence we will relate them to RSZ Shimura varieties, which admit a PEL type moduli definition. They will play an auxiliary role when computing local heigts. We will follow [RSZ21].
8.3.1. Shimura varieties for unitary similitude groups. We resume the notation from $\S 8.1$. Thus let $\Phi$ be a CM type, and let $W$ be a hermitian space of dimension $\nu \geq 1$ whose associated generalized CM type $r_{\nu}$ is of strict fake Drinfeld type in the sense of $\S 8.1 .2$. Recall also that $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ denotes the multiplicative group over $\mathbf{Q}$.

We first consider the group (over $\mathbf{Q}$ )

$$
\mathrm{G}^{\mathbf{Q}}:=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbf{Q}} \mathrm{GU}(W) \times_{\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbf{Q}}} \mathbf{G}_{m, F_{0}} \mathbf{G}_{m}
$$

of unitary similitudes of $(W,()$,$) with similitude factor in \mathbf{G}_{m}$.
Let $\left\{h_{\mathrm{G} \mathbf{Q}}\right\}$ be the $\mathrm{G}^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{R})$-conjugacy class of the homomorphism $h_{\mathrm{G} \mathbf{Q}}=\left(h_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathbf{Q}}, \varphi}\right)_{\varphi \in \Phi}$, where the components $h_{\mathrm{G}^{\mathbf{Q}}, \varphi}$ are defined with respect to the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{F \otimes \mathbf{R}}(W \otimes \mathbf{R}) \stackrel{\Phi}{\sim} \prod_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(W_{\varphi}\right) \tag{8.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where each component is defined on $\mathbf{C}^{\times}$by

$$
h_{G^{\mathbf{Q}}, \varphi}: z \longmapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(z \cdot 1_{r_{\varphi}}, z^{\mathrm{c}} \cdot 1_{r_{\varphi^{c}}}\right)
$$

We single out the special case $\nu=1$. We let $W=W_{0}$ be totally definite and we write $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}}:=\mathrm{G}^{\mathbf{Q}}$ (a torus over $\mathbf{Q}$ ) and $h_{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Q}}}:=h_{\mathrm{G}} \mathbf{Q}$. Explicitly,

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}}=\left\{z \in \operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_{m} \mid \operatorname{Nm}_{F / F_{0}}(z) \in \mathbf{G}_{m}\right\}
$$

The reflex field of $\left(Z^{\mathbf{Q}},\left\{h_{Z^{Q}}\right\}\right)$ is $E_{\Phi}$, the reflex field of the CM type $\Phi$.
8.3.2. RSZ Shimura varieties. The Shimura varieties of [RSZ20] are attached to the group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}:=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}} \times \times_{\mathbf{G}_{m}} \mathrm{G}^{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{8.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the maps from the factors on the right-hand side to $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ are respectively given by $\mathrm{Nm}_{F / F_{0}}$ and the similitude character. In terms of the Shimura data already defined, we obtain a Shimura
datum for $\widetilde{G}$ by defining the Shimura homomorphism to be

$$
h_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}: \mathbf{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\left(h_{\mathrm{Z} \mathbf{Q}}, h_{\mathrm{G}} \mathbf{Q}\right)} \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{R})
$$

Then $\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}},\left\{h_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}\right\}\right)$ has reflex field $E \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ characterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / E) & =\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q}) \mid \sigma \circ \Phi=\Phi \text { and } \sigma^{*}(r)=r\right\} \\
& =\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q}) \mid \sigma \circ \Phi=\Phi \text { and } \sigma^{*}\left(r^{\natural}\right)=r^{\natural}\right\} . \tag{8.3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words, the reflex field is the common composite $E=E_{\Phi} E_{r}=E_{\Phi} E_{r^{\natural}}=E_{\Phi}$ for our signature type (8.1.6).

Remark 8.3.1. The RSZ Shimura varieties are related to the unitary Shimura varieties as follows. The torus $Z^{\mathbf{Q}}$ embeds naturally as a central subgroup of $G^{\mathbf{Q}}$, which gives rise to a product decomposition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathrm{G}^{b}  \tag{8.3.4}\\
(z, g) \longmapsto\left(z, z^{-1} g\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G^{b} \subset G^{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the restriction of scalars of the unitary group (8.1.2). The isomorphism (8.3.4) extends to a product decomposition of Shimura data,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}},\left\{h_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}\right\}\right) \cong\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Q}},\left\{h_{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Q}}}\right\}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{G}^{b},\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}}^{b}\right\}\right) \tag{8.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for a decomposable compact open subgroup $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}=K_{\mathrm{Z} \mathbf{Q}} \times K_{\mathrm{G}^{b}}$, there is a product decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}},\left\{h_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}\right\}\right) \cong \operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Q}}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}},\left\{h_{\mathrm{ZQ}}\right\}\right) \times \operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}\left(\mathrm{G},\left\{h_{\mathrm{G}}\right\}\right)
$$

of Shimura varieties over $E$.
8.3.3. Product Shimura varieties and the arithmetic diagonal. Let now $W=\left(W_{n}, W_{n+1}\right) \in \mathscr{V}$ and $\mathrm{G}:=\mathrm{G}_{n} \times \mathrm{G}_{n+1}:=\mathrm{U}\left(W_{n}\right) \times \mathrm{U}\left(W_{n+1}\right)$ be as in $\S$ 8.1.4. Similar to (8.3.2) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}:=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathbf{G}_{m} \mathrm{G}_{n}^{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathbf{G}_{m} \mathrm{G}_{n+1}^{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{8.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{G}_{\nu}^{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the similitude unitary group attached to $W_{\nu}$ as in (8.3.6). We have an analogous Shimura datum with the reflex field $E=E_{\Phi}$, and an isomorphism induced by (8.3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathrm{G}^{b} \tag{8.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this situation, we will always assume that the open compact $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}$ is decomposable of the form $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}=K_{\mathrm{ZQ}} \times K_{\mathrm{G}}=K_{\mathrm{ZQ}} \times K_{n} \times K_{n+1}$. In particular, we have a finite étale morphism $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}(\mathrm{G})_{E}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} E$.

Moreover, let $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}:=\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{n}$. Then we have a map $\jmath: \widetilde{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ and corresponding maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}) \tag{8.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

that are the pullbacks of (8.1.8) along the projection $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{Z}} \times K_{\mathrm{G}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}(\mathrm{G})$ given by (8.3.7).
8.4. Moduli functors over $E$. We formulate the PEL type moduli functor for RSZ Shimura varieties, following [RSZ21, $\S 3]$. Denote by (LNSch) $/ R$ the category of locally noetherian schemes over a ring $R$, and by Sets the category of sets.
8.4.1. The torus case. First we consider the torus $Z^{\mathbf{Q}}$. The construction of [RSZ21, §2.2], specialized to $n=1$, gives a Kottwitz PEL moduli functor (LNSch) ${ }_{/ E} \rightarrow$ Sets, which is represented by a finite étale stack $M_{0, K_{Z Q}}$ over $E=E_{\Phi}$. Since the precise definition of this functor plays only a minor auxiliary role in this paper, we omit it and refer the interested readers to loc. cit.; it suffices to recall that (among other data) one needs to fix a certain $F / F_{0}$-traceless element $\sqrt{\Delta} \in F^{\times}$adapted to the CM type $\Phi$. The stack $M_{0, K_{Z Q}}$ is isomorphic, over $E$, to finitely many copies of the Shimura variety $\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{ZQ}}}\left(Z^{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$. For our purposes, it suffices to work with a fixed copy, which we denote by $M_{0, K_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathbf{Q}}^{\tau}$.
8.4.2. Definition of the moduli funtor. Let now $W$ be of dimension $\nu$ as in $\S \S 8.1 .1,8.3 .1$, and set

$$
V=\operatorname{Hom}_{F}\left(W_{0}, W\right)
$$

We now present the moduli functor $M_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ represented by the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}})$. For simplicity, we will always assume

$$
K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}=K_{\mathrm{ZQ}} \times K_{\mathrm{G}}
$$

where $K_{\mathrm{G}} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ is a compact open subgroup. For each scheme $S$ in $(\mathrm{LNSch})_{/ E}, M_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(S)$ is by definition the groupoid of tuples $\left(A_{0}, \iota_{0}, \lambda_{0}, \bar{\eta}_{0}, A, \iota, \lambda, \bar{\eta}\right)$, where

- $\left(A_{0}, \iota_{0}, \lambda_{0}, \bar{\eta}_{0}\right)$ is an object of $M_{0, K_{\mathrm{Z} \mathbf{Q}}}^{\tau}(S)$;
- $A$ is an abelian scheme over $S$;
- $\iota: F \rightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}(A):=\operatorname{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is an action of $F$ on $A$ up to isogeny satisfying the Kottwitz condition of signature type $r$ given by (8.1.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{char}(\iota(a) \mid \operatorname{Lie} A)=\prod_{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})}(T-\varphi(a))^{r_{\varphi}} \quad \text { for all } \quad a \in F \tag{8.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\lambda$ is a quasi-polarization on $A$ whose Rosati involution satisfies condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ros}_{\lambda}(\iota(a))=\iota(\bar{a}) \quad \text { for all } \quad a \in F, \tag{8.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

- $\bar{\eta}$ is a $K_{\mathrm{G}}$-orbit (equivalently, a $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}$-orbit, where $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}$ acts through its projection $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}} \rightarrow K_{\mathrm{G}}$ ) of isometries of $\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty} / \mathbf{A}^{\infty}$-hermitian modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta: \widehat{\mathrm{V}}\left(A_{0}, A\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes_{F} \mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty} \tag{8.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, denoting by $\widehat{\mathrm{V}}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ the adelic Tate module of an abelian variety $A^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{V}}\left(A_{0}, A\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty}}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{V}}\left(A_{0}\right), \widehat{\mathrm{V}}(A)\right), \tag{8.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with its natural $\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty}$-valued hermitian form $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y):=\lambda_{0}^{-1} \circ y^{\vee} \circ \lambda \circ x \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty}}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{V}}\left(A_{0}\right)\right)=\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty}, \quad x, y \in \widehat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(A_{0}, A\right) . \tag{8.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, there are natural functors interpreting Hecke correspondences $T(K g K)$ for $g \in \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$.
Proposition 8.4.1 ([RSZ21]). The functor $M_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is represented by $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}})$.

## 9. Integral models

We define and study various integral models of the RSZ unitary Shimura varieties introduced in the last section.
9.1. Integral models with parahoric levels. We follow [RSZ21, § 4] with slightly different formulation. We continue with the notation of $\S 8$, we we fix a rational prime $\ell$, and we denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ the set of places of $F_{0}$ over $\ell$. If $\ell=2$, then we assume that every $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ is split in $F$.

We will assume that $K_{\mathrm{ZQ}, \ell} \subset \mathrm{Z}^{\mathbf{Q}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ is maximal. Then the auxiliary moduli stack $M_{0, K_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathbf{Q}}$ (respectively its substack $M_{0, K_{\mathrm{ZQ}}}$ ) has a natural integral model $\mathcal{M}_{0, K_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathbf{Q}}$ (respectively $\mathcal{M}_{0, K_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Q}}^{\tau}$ ), which is finite étale over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{E,(\ell)}$. For each $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$, we endow the $F_{v} / F_{0, v}$-hermitian space $W_{v}:=W \otimes_{F} F_{v}$ with the $\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$-valued alternating form $\operatorname{tr}_{F_{v} / \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}} \sqrt{\Delta}^{-1}($,$) , and we fix a vertex lattice$ $\Lambda_{v} \subset W_{v}$ with respect to this form, i.e., $\Lambda_{v}$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{F, v}$-lattice such that

$$
\Lambda_{v} \subset \Lambda_{v}^{\vee} \subset \pi_{v}^{-1} \Lambda_{v}
$$

Here $\pi_{v}$ denotes a uniformizer in $F_{v}$ (if $v$ splits in $F$, this means the image in $F_{v}$ of a uniformizer for $F_{0, v}$ ), and $\Lambda_{v}^{\vee} \subset W_{v}$ denotes the dual lattice with respect to $\operatorname{tr}_{F_{v} / \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}} \sqrt{\Delta}^{-1}($,$) .$

We assume that $K_{\mathrm{G}} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}_{F_{0}}^{\infty}\right)$ is of the form $K_{\mathrm{G}}=K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\ell} \times K_{\mathrm{G}, \ell}$, where $K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\ell} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\ell \infty}\right)$ is arbitrary and where

$$
K_{\mathrm{G}, \ell}=\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}} K_{\mathrm{G}, v} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, \ell}\right)=\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}} G_{v},
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{G}, v}:=\operatorname{Stab}_{G_{v}}\left(\Lambda_{v}\right) . \tag{9.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that if $v$ is unramified in $F$, then $K_{\mathrm{G}, v}$ is a maximal parahoric subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(W)\left(F_{0, v}\right)$.
We then define $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ as the functor that associates to each scheme $S$ in (LNSch) $\mathcal{O}_{E,(\ell)}$ the groupoid of tuples $\left(A_{0}, \iota_{0}, \lambda_{0}, A, \iota, \lambda, \bar{\eta}^{\ell}\right)$, where

- $\left(A_{0}, \iota_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is an object of $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\tau}(S)$;
- $A$ is an abelian scheme over $S$;
- $\iota: \mathscr{O}_{F,(\ell)} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{(\ell)}(A)$ is an action up to prime-to- $\ell$ isogeny satisfying the Kottwitz condition (8.4.1) on $\mathscr{O}_{F,(\ell)}$;
- $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{(\ell)}$ is a quasi-polarization on $A$ whose Rosati involution satisfies condition (8.4.2) on $\mathscr{O}_{F,(\ell)}$; and
- $\bar{\eta}^{\ell}$ is a $K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\ell}$-orbit of isometries of $\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\ell \infty} / \mathbf{A}_{F_{0}}^{\ell \infty}$-hermitian modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\ell}: \widehat{\mathrm{V}}^{\ell}\left(A_{0}, A\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes_{F} \mathbf{A}_{F}^{\ell \infty} \tag{9.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{V}}^{\ell}\left(A_{0}, A\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{F}^{\ell \infty}}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{V}}^{\ell}\left(A_{0}\right), \widehat{\mathrm{V}}^{\ell}(A)\right), \tag{9.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where the hermitian form on $\widehat{\mathrm{V}}^{\ell}\left(A_{0}, A\right)$ is the obvious prime-to- $\ell$ analog of (8.4.5).
We impose the following further conditions on the above tuples.
(i) Consider the decomposition of $\ell$-divisible groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left[\ell^{\infty}\right]=\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{p}} A\left[v^{\infty}\right] \tag{9.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced by the action of $\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \cong \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}} \mathscr{O}_{F_{0}, v}$. Since $\operatorname{Ros}_{\lambda}$ is trivial on $\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}, \lambda$ induces a polarization $\lambda_{v}: A\left[v^{\infty}\right] \rightarrow A^{\vee}\left[v^{\infty}\right] \cong A\left[v^{\infty}\right]^{\vee}$ of $\ell$-divisible groups for each $v$. The condition we impose is that $\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{v}$ is contained in $A\left[\iota\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right]$ of $\operatorname{rank} \#\left(\Lambda_{v}^{\vee} / \Lambda_{v}\right)$ for each $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$.
(ii) We require that the sign condition, the Eisenstein condition hold; we omit the definitions and refer to [RSZ21, §5].
The morphisms in the groupoid $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(S)$ are the obvious ones.
We have the following result from [RSZ20, RSZ21].
Theorem 9.1.1. The stack $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}}$ is Deligne-Mumford, and regular with strictly semistable reduction at all places $u$ of $E$ above $\ell$, provided that $u$ is unramified over $F$. It is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(\ell)}$ if the lattices $\Lambda_{v}$ have type 0 or $n$ for every $v \mid \ell$. The generic fibre of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is $M_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$.

Finally, there are natural functors interpreting Hecke correspondences $T\left(f^{\ell}\right)$ for all $f^{\ell} \in$ $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\ell \infty}\right)\right)_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}$. The correspondences $T\left(f^{\ell}\right)$ are all étale.
9.2. More integral models at split places. We need to have regular integral models for deeper levels at split places. We will consider two cases: the Iwahori case and the principal congruence subgroup case.
9.2.1. Setup. Fix a place $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ that splits in $F$, say $v=w \bar{w}$. Let $u: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ be a place of $E$ above $v$; we will assume that $E_{u}$ is unramified over $F_{0, v}$. Let $\widetilde{u}: \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ be an embedding extending $u$. Then $\widetilde{u}$ induces a bijection $\operatorname{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ be the subset of $\operatorname{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ consisting of $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ such that $\widetilde{u} \circ \varphi$ induces $w$. The set $\operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ depends only on $u$ but not on the choice of $\widetilde{u}$. Note that the distinguished element $\varphi_{0}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})$. We will assume that the matching condition between the CM type $\Phi$ and the chosen place $u$ of $E$ is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \subset \Phi, \tag{9.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. [RSZ20, §4.3]. Note that, for our signature type (8.1.6), this is equivalent to the condition that the restriction $\left.r\right|_{\operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}})}$ of the signature function is of the form

$$
r_{\varphi}= \begin{cases}n-1, & \varphi=\varphi_{0} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) ;  \tag{9.2.2}\\ n, & \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{w}(F, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \backslash\left\{\varphi_{0}\right\}\end{cases}
$$

9.2.2. Principal congruence subgroups. We now recall from [RSZ20, §4.3] the moduli problem in the case of principal congruence subgroups. Let $m$ be a nonnegative integer, and define $K_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{m}$ to be the principal congruence subgroup $\bmod \mathfrak{p}_{v}^{m}$ inside $K_{\mathrm{G}, v}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{v}$ denotes the prime ideal in $\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}}$ determined by $v$. Let

$$
K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{m}:=K_{\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Q}} \times K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\ell} \times K_{\mathrm{G}, v}^{m} \times \prod_{v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell} \backslash\{v\}} K_{\mathrm{G}, v^{\prime}} \subset K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}
$$

Then one can extend the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, \mathscr{O}_{E, u}}$ to the case of the level subgroup $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{m}$ by adding a Drinfeld level- $m$ structure at $v$. More precisely, consider the factors occurring in the decomposition (9.1.4) of the $\ell$-divisible group $A\left[\ell^{\infty}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left[v^{\infty}\right]=A\left[w^{\infty}\right] \times A\left[\bar{w}^{\infty}\right] . \tag{9.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (9.2.2) implies that $A\left[\bar{w}^{\infty}\right]$ is a one-dimensional formal $\mathscr{O}_{F, w_{0}}$-module. We introduce $T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right)\left[w_{0}^{m}\right]:=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathscr{O}_{F, \bar{w}}}\left(A_{0}\left[\bar{w}^{m}\right], A\left[\bar{w}^{m}\right]\right)$ and $T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right):=\underline{\lim }_{m} T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right)\left[w_{0}^{m}\right]$. Note that $T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right)$ is a 1-dimensional formal $\mathscr{O}_{F, w_{0}}$-module. The datum we add to the moduli problem is an $\mathscr{O}_{F, \bar{w}}$-linear homomorphism of finite flat group schemes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: \pi_{\bar{w}}^{-m} \Lambda_{\bar{w}} / \Lambda_{\bar{w}} \longrightarrow T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right)\left[\bar{w}^{m}\right], \tag{9.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a Drinfeld $\bar{w}^{m}$-structure on the target. Here $\Lambda_{\bar{w}}$ is the summand attached to $\bar{w}$ in the natural decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{v}=\Lambda_{w} \oplus \Lambda_{\bar{w}} \tag{9.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Lambda_{v}$ the vertex lattice at $v$ chosen in $\S 9.1$. See [RSZ20, §4.3] (which we note interchanges the roles of $w$ and $\bar{w}$ ) for more details.

Then by [RSZ20, Theorem 4.7], the moduli problem $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\mathbb{G}}^{m}}$ is relatively representable by a finite flat morphism to $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ and consequently it coincides with the normalization of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ in the generic fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{G}}^{m}}$. It is regular and flat over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}$. Furthermore, the generic fiber $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}^{m}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}} \operatorname{Spec} E$ is canonically isomorphic to $M_{K_{\mathrm{G}}^{m}}$.
9.2.3. Iwahori subgroups. We will also need the Iwahori case. For simplicity we assume that the vertex lattice $\Lambda_{v}$ in (9.2.5) is self-dual. We now choose a chain of $\mathscr{O}_{F, w}$-lattices

$$
\Lambda_{\bar{w}}=\Lambda_{\bar{w}}^{(0)} \subset \Lambda_{\bar{w}}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_{\bar{w}}^{(n)}=\pi_{w}^{-1} \Lambda_{\bar{w}},
$$

where each inclusion has colength one. Equivalently, we choose a full flag in the $k_{v}$-vector space $\Lambda_{w} / \pi_{w} \Lambda_{w}$. This chain determines uniquely a chain of vertex $\mathscr{O}_{F, v}=\mathscr{O}_{F, w} \times \mathscr{O}_{F, \bar{w}}$-lattices $\Lambda_{v}^{(i)}:=$ $\Lambda_{w} \oplus \Lambda_{\bar{w}}^{(i)}, 0 \leq i \leq n$. The stabilizer of the chain $\Lambda_{v}^{(i)}$ is an Iwahori subgroup $\operatorname{Iw}_{v}$ of $\operatorname{Stab}\left(\Lambda_{v}\right)$. To the moduli problem $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, \mathscr{O}_{E, u}}$, we add the datum of a chain of isogenies of $\mathscr{O}_{F, \bar{w}}$-divisible modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{0}=T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n}=\mathcal{G}_{0} / \mathcal{G}_{0}[\bar{w}] \tag{9.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equal heights $\# k_{v}$. An equivalent datum is an $\mathrm{Iw}_{v}$-orbit of the Drinfeld level structure

$$
\phi: \pi_{\bar{w}}^{-1} \Lambda_{\bar{w}} / \Lambda_{\bar{w}} \longrightarrow T_{\bar{w}}\left(A_{0}, A\right)[\bar{w}] .
$$

The resulting moduli functor is then denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}}{ }^{\mathrm{Iw}}$, where $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{Iw}}$, denotes the compact subgroup of $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}$ with the Iwahori factor at $v$. Then the moduli problem $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{Iw}}}$ is relatively representable by a finite flat morphism to $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ and consequently it coincides with the normalization of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ in the generic fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{IW}}}$. It is regular, proper and flat over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}$. Moreover, by the theory of local models, the scheme $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{IW}}}$ has strictly semistable reduction over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}$ (namely, its generic fiber is smooth and every closed point of the special fiber admits an open neighborhood which is smooth over the scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right] /\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}-\varpi\right)$ for some
$m \geq 1$, cf. [Har01, Prop. 1.3]). Moreover, there is a natural morphism from $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\bar{G}}^{m=1}}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{Iwv}}}$, which is finite flat. There is a stratification of the special fiber $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{Iv}}} \otimes k_{u}$, where $k_{u}$ denotes the residue field of $\mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{IW}}} \otimes k_{u}=\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{IW}}, k_{u}, i} \tag{9.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{\widetilde { G }}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{IW}}, k_{u}, i}$ is the closed subscheme where the kernel of the isogeny $\mathcal{G}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i}$ in (9.2.6) is connected, cf. [TY07, §3] for a similar case. By [TY07, Prop. 3.4] (or rather its proof), each of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{IW}, k_{u}, i}}$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} k_{u}$.
9.2.4. Hecke correspondences. We recall from [RSZ20, §4.3] that, in each of the above two cases (principal and Iwahori level), there are natural functors interpreting Hecke correspondences attached to functions $\mathbf{1}_{K g K}$ for any $g \in \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$, where we simply denote $K=K_{\mathrm{G}}$ :

where $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\prime}=K_{\mathrm{ZQ}} \times K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}$, and $K_{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}} \cap g K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}} g^{-1}$. We refer to [RSZ20, §4.3] for the unexplained notation. (Note that in loc. cit., the authors only consider the case of a principal congruence subgroup $K_{\mathrm{G}}=K_{\mathrm{G}}^{m}$. The Iwahori case is similar and may be reduced to the case $K_{\mathrm{G}}=K_{\mathrm{G}}^{m}$ as follows. We can factorize $[\mathrm{Iw} g \mathrm{Iw}]$ as $e_{\mathrm{Iw}} *[\mathrm{Kg} K] *[\mathrm{Iw} g \mathrm{Iw}]$ for some $K=K_{\mathrm{G}}^{m} \subset \mathrm{Iw}$, and accordingly we define the correspondence for $[\mathrm{Iw} g \mathrm{Iw}]$ as the composition of the three factors: the middle one is as in loc. cit., and the other two are given by the natural map from the principal level to the Iwahori level.)

Both maps nat ${ }_{1}$ and nat ${ }_{g}$ are finite flat, and étale if $g_{\ell}=1$. The Hecke correspondence (9.2.8) induces an endomorphism (by the usual pull-back and then push-forward maps) on the group of cycles (with $L$-coefficients), rather than merely cycles modulo rational equivalence. This endomorphism is independent of the choice of $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}^{\prime}$ in the diagram above. The resulting map

$$
T: \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\ell \infty}\right), L\right)_{K} \longrightarrow \operatorname{ÉtCorr}\left(\mathcal{M}_{K}\right)_{L}
$$

is a ring homomorphism. However, we do not know if the assertion remains true for the full Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right), L\right)_{K}$. When $m=0$, the recent work of Li-Mihatsch [LM, Proposition 3.4] shows that the assertion holds. Moreover, in the Iwahori case, the away-from- $\ell$ Hecke correspondences preserve the stratification (9.2.7).
9.3. Moduli functors for the product Shimura varieties. It is now easy to extend the construction in $\S 8.3$ to the product unitary group $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ defined in $\S 8.3 .3$. There are analogous moduli functors over $E$ and over $\mathscr{O}_{E,(\ell)}$. For example, the $\ell$-integral model may be succinctly defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}}=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}} \times \times_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\tau}} \mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}}, \tag{9.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{\nu}\right)}=K_{\mathrm{ZQ}} \times K_{\nu}$ for $\nu \in\{n, n+1\}$.

The product $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}}$ may no longer be regular even if both factors are regular, and we may need to resolve the product singularity. We will need to study two cases: the vertex parahoric case at an inert place, and the Iwahori case at a split place.
9.3.1. Vertex parahoric level at an inert place. We first consider the vertex parahoric case from §9.1. Fix a place $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ that is inert in $F$ and we let $w$ denote the unique place of $F$ above $v$. We fix a vertex lattice $\Lambda_{v}^{b} \subset V_{n, v}$ of type $0 \leq t \leq n$ and let $\Lambda_{v}=\Lambda_{v}^{b} \oplus\langle e\rangle_{\mathscr{O}_{F, v}} \subset V_{n+1, v}$ where the hermitian norm of the special vector $e$ has valuation $\epsilon \in\{0,1\} .{ }^{16}$ Then $\Lambda_{v}$ is a vertex lattice of type $t+\epsilon$. We let $u: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ be a place of $E$ above $v$ and we further assume that $E_{u}$ is unramified over $F_{0, v}$. We let $K_{n, v}$ and $K_{n+1, v}$ be the stabilizer of $\Lambda_{v}^{b}$ and $\Lambda_{v}$ respectively. We then call $K_{v}=K_{n, v} \times K_{n+1, v}$ a vertex parahoric subgroup of type $(t, t+\epsilon)$. The (self-dual) hyperspecial case corresponds to type $(0,0)$.

In this case, the integral models $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}}$ have strictly semistable reduction over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E, u} ;$ and $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{\left.K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}\right)}$ ) is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E, u}$ only when $t \in\{0, n\}$ (resp. $t+\epsilon \in\{0, n+1\}$ ); see Theorem 9.1.1. When $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}}$ or $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}}$ is non-smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E, u}$, its special fiber admits a "balloon-ground" stratification ([LTX $\left.{ }^{+} 22\right]$ for $t=1$ and [ZZh] for general $t$ ): the special fiber is a union of two Weil divisors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}, k_{u}}=\mathcal{M}_{\left.K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}\right)}, k_{u} \cup \mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}, k_{u}} \tag{9.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first one $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}^{\circ}, k_{u}}$ is called the balloon stratum and the second one $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}^{\bullet}, k_{u}}$ is called the ground stratum. (When $t \in\{0, n\}$ we understand that the balloon stratum is empty.) When $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is not regular, we let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ be the blow up along the product of the balloon strata of the two factors, and denote the blow-up morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \tag{9.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\left(?_{n}, ?_{n+1}\right) \in\{0, \bullet\}^{2}$, we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{v}}^{\left(? n, ?_{n+1}\right)}$ the strict transform of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}^{?}, k_{u}}^{?} \times \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}^{?}, k_{u}}^{?_{n+1}}$. For later reference we record the following result from [LTX $\left.{ }^{+} 22\right]$ for $t=1$ and [ZZh] for general $t$.

Proposition 9.3.1. The scheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is regular with strictly semistable reduction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \otimes k_{u}=\bigcup_{(? n, ? n+1) \in\{0, \bullet\}^{2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{v}}^{\left(? n, ?_{n+1}\right)}, \tag{9.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}, k_{v}}^{\left(?_{n}, ?_{n+1}\right)}$ are smooth of pure dimension $2 n-1$.
The map $\pi$ is small, i.e., a proper birational morphism with the property that

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left\{z \in \mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \mid \operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}(z) \geq i\right\} \geq 2 i+1,
$$

for all $i \geq 0$.
9.3.2. Iwahori level at a split place. Fix as in $\S 9.2$ a place $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ that splits in $F$ into $v=w \bar{w}$ and we let $u: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ be a place of $E$ above $v$. We further assume that $E_{u}$ is unramified over

[^12]$F_{0, v}$. Then the integral model $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}$ is smooth if one of the two compact open subgroups $K_{n, v}$ and $K_{n+1, v}$ is hyperspecial. When both $K_{n, v}$ and $K_{n+1, v}$ are Iwahori, $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is no longer regular and we need to resolve the product singularity. More precisely, we consider the fiber product of the stratifications from (9.2.7)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u},(i, j)}:=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}, k_{u}, i} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\tau}} \mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}, k_{u}, j} \tag{9.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We choose an ordering of the set $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n+1\}$, and rename the component $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u},(i, j)}$ as $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}, k_{u}, r$ where $1 \leq r \leq n(n+1)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}^{(0)}:=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ and for $1 \leq r \leq n(n+1)$ we let $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}}^{(r)}$ blow-up $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}^{(r-1)}$ along (the strict transforms of) $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u}, r}$. We denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ for $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}^{(n(n+1))}$, and denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u},(i, j)}$ for the strict transform of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u},(i, j)}$. The composition of the natural blow-up maps is denoted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \tag{9.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that the resolution in the inert case earlier can also be view a special case of the current procedure: one simply orders the components such that the first one is the product of the balloon strata.

Proposition 9.3.2. The scheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is regular with strictly semistable reduction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u}}=\bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n+1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u},(i, j)} \tag{9.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}, \text {, }_{u},(i, j)}$ are smooth of pure dimension $2 n-1$. The map $\pi$ is a small map.
Proof. The first part is well-known, for example see [Har01, Prop. 2.1] or [GS95]. For the smallness, we use the explicit description as in the proof of [Har01, Prop. 2.1]. Consider a point $P=(a, b)$ on the special fiber $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}, k_{u}}$ with an open neighborhood that is smooth over

$$
\operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{O}_{E,(u)}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, y_{1}, \cdots y_{s}\right] /\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}-\varpi, \prod_{j=1}^{s} y_{j}-\varpi\right)
$$

for some (uniquely-determined) integers $r, s \geq 1$, such that $P$ lies over the point defined by $x_{i}=0, y_{j}=0,1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$. Then keeping track of the steps of the blow-ups in loc. cit. shows that the dimension of the fiber of $P$ is $\min \{r-1, s-1\}$. Note that the locus of $P$ with fixed $r, s \geq 1$ is contained in the union of

$$
\left(\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}, k_{u}, i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}, k_{u}, i_{r}}\right) \times\left(\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}, k_{u}, j_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}\left(V_{n+1}\right)}, k_{u}, j_{s}}\right)
$$

for all possible $1 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{r} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq \cdots \leq j_{s} \leq n+1$. The codimension of such locus in $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is $r+s-1 \geq 2 \min \{r-1, s-1\}+1$, which proves the smallness of the map $\pi$.

This procedure depends on the choice of an ordering and therefore it is not canonical. Nevertheless the smallness of $\pi$ shows that the resolution has the property that $\pi_{*} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell} \simeq \mathrm{IC}$, the latter being the intersection complex of the $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$-sheaf (for $p \neq \ell$ ). Moreover, the resulting $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ and each of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, k_{u},(i, j)}$ still has an action of $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\ell \infty}\right)\right)_{K_{\mathrm{G}}}$ by correspondences.
9.3.3. Integral arithmetic diagonal. We have an integral model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\jmath: \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \tag{9.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the morphism (8.3.8). In the two cases discussed above, over a place $u$ of $E$, we have the small resolution $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ and we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\jmath}: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}} \tag{9.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the strict transform of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{H}}}}$ along the resolution morphism. For uniformity of notation, we will put $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}}:=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}:=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}, \widetilde{\jmath}:=\jmath$ in the cases where those schemes are already regular.
9.4. Vanishing of absolute cohomology. We continue to consider the scheme $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ over Spec $\mathscr{O}_{E, u}$ and we aim to prove $H^{2 n}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}, L(n)\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ in the following three cases:
(1) The split-(Drinfeld-level, hyperspecial) case: the place $v$ is split in $F$ and in the product (9.3.1) one of the two factors has Drinfeld-level for some integer $m$ and the other has hyperspecial level.
(2) The split-(Iwahori, Iwahori) case: the place $v$ is split in $F$ and in the product (9.3.1) both factors have Iwahori level; in this case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is the small resolution in Proposition 9.3.2.
(3) The inert-vertex-parahoric case: the place $v$ is inert in $F$ and in the product (9.3.1) both factors have vertex-parahoric levels (of type $(t, t+\epsilon)$ ); in this case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ is the small resolution in Proposition 9.3.1.

Theorem 9.4.1. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of the away-from- $\ell$ Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}$ attached to a representation $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})(L)$. Suppose we are in one of the above three cases, and suppose moreover that the following hold:
(1) In the split-(Iwahori, Iwahori) case, the representation $\pi_{v}$ is a (tempered) principal series.
(2) In the inert-vertex-parahoric case, the type $\left(t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right)$ satisfies $t_{n} \in\{0,1, n-1, n\}$ and $t_{n+1} \in$ $\{0,1, n, n+1\}$.

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2 n}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}, L(n)\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0 \tag{9.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We wish to apply the vanishing Theorem A.3.5 of Li-Liu. For this, we need to specify a stratification of the reduced special fiber of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\widetilde{G}}}$. In the split-(Drinfeld-level, hyperspecial) case, for simplicity we consider the case the Drinfeld level takes place on the first factor $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}}$. Then the special fiber, denoted by $Y_{n+1}$, of the second factor in the product (9.3.1) is smooth. In $[L L 22, \S 4.3]$ the authors have defined a stratification of the reduced special fiber, denoted by $Y_{n}$, of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}}$, essentially a refinement of the Newton stratification

$$
Y_{n}=\coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} \coprod_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_{i}} Y_{n}^{(M)}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{i}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{S}_{m}^{i}$ in loc. cit.. Here we simply take the stratification of $Y=Y_{n} \times Y_{n+1}$ as the product of the stratification of $Y_{n}$ with $Y_{n+1}$

$$
Y=\coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} \coprod_{M \in \mathfrak{G}_{i}} Y_{n}^{(M)} \times Y_{n+1}
$$

By [LL22, §4.3] this stratification of $Y_{n}$ verifies the two conditions stated before Theorem A.3.5 (for $\mathscr{X}=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{G}\left(V_{n}\right)}}$ ). It follows easily that the above stratification of $Y$ verifies the two conditions stated before Theorem A.3.5 (for $\mathscr{X}=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ ).

In the split-(Iwahori, Iwahori) case and the inert-vertex-parahoric case, the scheme $\mathscr{X}=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ has strictly semistable reduction. The special fiber $Y$ is already reduced and we define the stratification induced by the union (9.3.7) and (9.3.4) respectively, as follows. Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of indices in (9.3.7) and (9.3.4), and denote $Y=\cup_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Y_{j}$. Then we define $\mathfrak{S}^{i}$ to be the set of subsets $M$ of $I$ with $\# M=\# I-i$ such that $Y^{[M]}:=\cap_{j \in M} Y_{j}$ is non-empty (then it has codimension $\# M+1$ in $\mathscr{X})$. Set $Y^{[i]}=\cup_{M \in \mathfrak{S}^{i}} Y^{[M]}$ and $Y^{(M)}=Y^{[M]} \backslash Y^{[\# M+1]}$. Then we have the resulting stratification

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\coprod_{i=0}^{\# \mathcal{J}} Y^{[i]}=\coprod_{i=0}^{\# \mathcal{J}} \coprod_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_{i}} Y^{(M)} \tag{9.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strict semistability of $\mathscr{X}$ implies that the stratification verifies the two conditions stated before Theorem A.3.5. (Note that the scheme $Y^{[i]}$ is empty once $i>\operatorname{dim} Y$.)

We write $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ corresponding to $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{n}, \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\right)$ for maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{\nu}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{\nu}, \nu \in$ $\{n, n+1\}$. We will distinguish the three cases.

Split-(Drinfeld-level, hyperspecial) case. By Theorem A.3.5 (1), it suffices to verify that, for every $M \in \mathfrak{S}$, we have $H^{j}\left(Y^{[M]} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ whenever $j \neq \operatorname{dim} Y^{[M]}$. This follows from the Kunneth formula, [LL22, Prop. 4.25] for $H^{j}\left(Y_{n}^{[M]} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}_{n}}=0, j \neq \operatorname{dim} Y_{n}^{[M]}$ and the similar vanishing result for $H^{j}\left(Y_{n+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}}=0, j \neq \operatorname{dim} Y_{n+1}$.

Split-(Iwahori, Iwahori) case. We first define a stratification of the special fiber $Z$ of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}$ prior to the resolution, similar to (9.4.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\coprod_{i=0}^{\# \mathcal{J}} Z^{[i]}=\coprod_{i=0}^{\# \mathcal{J}} \coprod_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_{i}} Z^{(M)} . \tag{9.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, under the condition (1), it follows from [LL21, (3), p. 859] that $H_{c}^{i}\left(Z^{(M)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for all $i$ and $M \in \mathfrak{S}$, unless $Z^{(M)}$ are maximal dimensional, in which case $H_{c}^{i}\left(Z^{(M)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ unless $i=\operatorname{dim} Z^{(M)}$. In loc. cit. the authors only treated the case of Drinfeld levels; but the proof applies vebatim to the Iwahori case. Now we return to the stratum $Y^{(M)}$ in (9.4.3). It is easy to see that the natural map $\pi_{M}: Y^{M} \rightarrow Z^{(M)}$ is smooth and the direct images $R \pi_{M,!}^{j} L$ are constant on $Z^{(M)}$. It follows that $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y^{(M)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for all $i$ and $M \in \mathfrak{S}$, unless $Y^{(M)}$ are maximal dimensional hence equal to $Z^{(M)}$, in which case $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y^{(M)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ unless $i=\operatorname{dim} Z^{(M)}$. It follows from the cohomological exact sequence associated to $Y^{[M]}=Y^{(M)} \cup\left(Y^{[M]} \backslash Y^{(M)}\right)$ (see for example (9.4.5) below) and an induction that $H^{i}\left(Y^{(M)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for all $i$ and $M \in \mathfrak{S}$, unless $Y^{(M)}$ are maximal dimensional, in which case $H^{i}\left(Y^{[M]}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for $i>\operatorname{dim} Y^{[M]}$ and by Poincaré duality $H^{i}\left(Y^{[M]}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for
$i \neq \operatorname{dim} Y^{[M]}$. We have thus verified the condition in case (1) of Theorem A.3.5 and therefore we have proved $H^{2 n}(\mathscr{X})_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ in this case.

Inert-vertex-parahoric case. We note that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{K_{\tilde{G}\left(V_{\nu}\right)}}$ for type $t_{\nu}$ (at $v$ ) is isomorphic to another similarly defined moduli space of type $\nu-t$. Therefore it suffices to consider the cases when $t_{n}, t_{n+1} \in\{0,1\}$. We first recall from [LL21, $\S 9$, p. 868] that, when the type is $t_{n}=1$, we have the cohomology of the balloon and the ground strata

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(Z \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0, \quad i \neq \operatorname{dim} Z \tag{9.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Z=Y_{n}^{\circ}, Y_{n}^{\bullet}, Y_{n}^{\dagger}$ respectively, where we simplify the notation $Y_{n}^{?}=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}\left(V_{n}\right)}^{?}, k}$ in (9.3.2) for $? \in\{\circ, \bullet\}$, and define $Y_{n}^{\dagger}=Y_{n}^{\circ} \cap Y_{n}^{\bullet}$. If one of $t_{n}, t_{n+1}$ is 0 , the proof is now similar to the split-(Drinfeld-level, hyperspecial) case, using case (1) Theorem A.3.5. It remains to consider the type $(1,1)$ case. For $\left(?_{n}, ?_{n+1}\right) \in\{0, \bullet\}^{2}$, we will write $Y^{?}{ }^{?}, ?_{n+1}$ for the strict transform of $Y_{n}^{? n} \times Y_{n+1}^{? n+1}$. Then by the formula for cohomology of blow-up, $H^{i}\left(Y^{?, ?} ?_{n+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)$ is isomorphic to

$$
H^{i}\left(\left(Y_{n}^{?{ }_{n}} \times Y_{n+1}^{?_{n+1}}\right) \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right) \oplus \begin{cases}0, & \left(?_{n}, ?_{n+1}\right)=(\circ, \bullet) \text { or }(\bullet, \circ) \\ H^{i-2}\left(\left(Y_{n}^{\dagger} \times Y_{n+1}^{\dagger}\right) \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right), & \left(?_{n}, ?_{n+1}\right)=(\circ, \circ) \text { or }(\bullet, \bullet)\end{cases}
$$

Similarly we can compute the cohomology of all of the closed strata $Y^{[M]}$ in terms of the notation in (9.4.3) using (9.4.4)

$$
H^{i}\left(Y^{[M]} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0, \quad i \neq \operatorname{dim} Y^{[M]}
$$

for all $M \in \mathfrak{S}$ but one exception: the stratum $Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}:=Y^{\mathrm{o}, 0} \cap Y^{\bullet, \bullet}$, which is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over $Y^{\dagger, \dagger}$. Nonetheless the exceptional case has vanishing (localized at $\mathfrak{m}$ ) cohomology at all degree outside $i=\operatorname{dim} Y^{\dagger, \dagger}$ and $i=\operatorname{dim} Y^{\dagger, \dagger}+2$. Using (9.4.4) (for both $n$ and $n+1$ ) we can deduce that

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(Y^{(M)} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0, \quad i>\operatorname{dim} Y^{[M]}
$$

for $M \neq M_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}$. To treat the exceptional case, we use the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i-1}\left(Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]} \backslash Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}\right) \longrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}\right) \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}\right) . \tag{9.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the stratum $Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}$ has codimension 2 in $\mathscr{X}$, and $Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]} \backslash Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}$ is smooth of codimension 3 in $\mathscr{X}$. By $H^{i}\left(Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ when $i \geq \operatorname{dim} Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}+2$, and $H^{i}\left(Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]} \backslash Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ when $i \neq \operatorname{dim} Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]} \backslash Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}=\operatorname{dim} Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}-1$, we conclude that $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ when $i \geq \operatorname{dim} Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}+2$. By Poincaré duality we have $H^{i}\left(Y^{\left(M_{0}\right)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ when $i \leq \operatorname{dim} Y^{\left[M_{0}\right]}-2$. Since $H^{2 n}(X, L(n))=$ 0 , we have verified the condition in case (2) of Theorem A.3.5 and therefore we have proved $H^{2 n}(\mathscr{X})_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$.

Remark 9.4.2. The condition (1) in Theorem 9.4.1 may be unnecessary if one makes a more careful study on the stratification of the special fiber of the small resolution.

## 10. The arithmetic relative-trace formula

Let $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ,-}$ be an incoherent pair, and let $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{V}, \mathrm{H}:=\mathrm{H}^{V}$. In this section, we define our cycles of interest, and a distribution $\mathscr{J}=\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}$ on (part of) the Hecke algebra for $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)$
that encodes their $p$-adic heights. The main result of this section is the arithmetic RTF for $\mathscr{J}$ (Theorem 10.5.3).

We will denote $X_{K}:=\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G}), Y_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}:=\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}(\mathrm{H})$. In $\S 10.1$ we study the étale cohomology of $X_{K}$ and define the Galois representation of interest. in § 10.2, we define and study the arithmetic diagonal cycles and Gan-Gross-Prasad cycles. In $\S 10.3$ we define $\mathscr{J}$ by means of height pairings of those cycles, and give its spectral expansion. In § 10.4 we prove some vanishing results to decompose $\mathscr{J}$ as a sum indexed by the nonsplit places of $F_{0}$. Finally, in $\S 10.5$ we state the geometric expansion of $\mathscr{J}$.
10.1. Cohomology and automorphic Galois representations. Let $L$ be an algebraic extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$.
10.1.1. Ordinary representations of $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A})$. We say that $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L)$ is ordinary if for every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$, the base-change $\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ satisfies the ordinariness conditions of $\S$ 1.1.2. If $K_{p} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$ is a compact open subgroup, we say that $\pi_{p}$ is $K_{p}$-ordinary if it is ordinary and moreover $\pi^{K_{p}} \neq 0$. These conditions define ind-subschemes

$$
\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }} \subset \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})^{\text {ord }} \subset \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}
$$

We also denote by $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})^{\text {ord }}$ and $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$ their ind-subschemes of Galois orbits of distinguished representations. Finally, for the above decorations '?', we define $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})^{?}(L)$ as the corresponding sets of isomorphism classes of representations such that $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})^{?}(L)=\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(\bar{L}) / G_{L}(\mathrm{cf}$. § 2.5.2).
10.1.2. Duals and Hecke actions. If $S$ is a finite set of places of $F_{0}$ and $M$ is an admissible (left) $L\left[\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right)\right]$-module, we denote

$$
M^{*}:=\lim _{K^{S} \subset \overleftarrow{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S \infty}\right)} M^{K^{S}, \vee}
$$

the algebraic dual of $M$, whereas as usual we denote by $M^{\vee}=\varliminf_{K^{S}} M^{K^{S}, \vee}$ the contragredient; for any compact subgroup $K^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S \infty}\right)$, we denote by $M_{K^{\prime}}^{*}$ the $K^{\prime}$-coinvariants (thus $M_{K^{\prime}}^{*}=$ $M^{\vee, K^{\prime}}$ if $K^{\prime}$ is open). The left Hecke action on $M$ induces a right action

$$
M^{*} \times \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right) \longrightarrow M^{\vee}
$$

10.1.3. Hecke and Galois actions on the cohomology of unitary Shimura varieties. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{i, K}:=H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(\mathrm{G})_{\bar{F}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(n)\right), \quad M^{i}:=\underset{\vec{K}}{\lim } M_{K}^{i} . \tag{10.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limit is with respect to the pullback maps. For $?=\emptyset, K$, we also put $M^{\oplus, ?}:=\bigoplus M^{i, ?}$; it has a natural (left) action by $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right), \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ ? and by the Galois group $G_{F}$.

Let $\diamond \in \mathbf{Z} \cup\{\oplus\}$. Then the $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right), \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$-action on $M^{\diamond}$ makes it into an admissible $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ module, so that we may consider $M^{\diamond, *}$. It is helpful to think of $\left(M^{\oplus}\right)^{*}$ as the inverse limit of homology (whereas $M^{\oplus}$ is the direct limit of cohomology).

For $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho[\pi]^{\diamond} & :=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)\right)}\left(\pi^{\vee}, M_{L}^{\diamond, \vee}(1)\right), \\
M^{\diamond, \pi} & :=\pi^{*} \boxtimes \rho[\pi] \subset M_{L}^{\diamond, *}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that we have a Hecke-equivariant map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_{F}}\left(M^{\diamond, *}(1), \rho[\pi]\right) \tag{10.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

factoring through $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{F}}\left(M^{\triangleright, \pi}, \rho[\pi]\right)$. In fact, it is known (see [BW80, Theorem III.5.1]) that the temperedness implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\oplus, \pi}=M^{2 n-1, \pi} \tag{10.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we will simply write $M^{\pi}:=M^{2 n-1, \pi}, \rho[\pi]:=\rho[\pi]^{2 n-1}$.
We put $M_{\pi^{\vee}}^{K}:=\left(M_{K}^{\pi}\right)^{\vee}$ and $M_{\pi^{\vee}}:=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } M_{\pi^{\vee}}$, so that $M^{\pi}=M_{\pi^{\vee}}^{*}(1)$. For $? \in\{$ temp, t-ord $\}$, we put

$$
M_{?, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}:=\bigoplus M_{\pi} \subset M_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}^{\oplus}, \quad M_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}^{?}:=\bigoplus M^{\pi} \subset M_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}^{\oplus, *}(1)
$$

where the sums run over $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$ and $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})_{\text {ord }}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$ respectively. These are base-changes of $L$ subspaces $M_{\text {? }} \subset M^{2 n-1}, M_{L}^{?} \subset M^{2 n-1, *}(1)$. Poincaré duality gives an isomorphism $M_{K} \cong M_{K}^{*}(1)$, which induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{?}^{K} \cong M_{K}^{?} \tag{10.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $? \in\{$ temp, t -ord $\} \cup \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(\mathrm{L})$.
10.1.4. Automorphic Galois representations and decomposition of the cohomology. Assume from now on that the extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ is finite, and denote by $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ an algebraic closure of $L$. Let $\pi=\pi_{n} \boxtimes \pi_{n+1} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L)$.

Lemma 10.1.1. For $\nu \in\{n, n+1\}$ there is a semisimple continuous representation

$$
\rho_{\pi_{\nu}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}: G_{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\nu}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)
$$

characterized, up to isomorphism, by the property that for all but finitely many places $w$ of $F$ split over $F_{0}$, the restriction $\rho_{\pi_{\nu}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \mid \mathcal{G}_{F w}}$ is unramified, and a geometric Frobenius at $w$ acts with a characteristic polynomial equal to the Satake polynomial of $\pi_{w}$ viewed as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\nu}\left(E_{w}\right)$. If $\pi_{\nu}$ is stable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\pi_{\nu}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \cong \rho_{\mathrm{BC}\left(\pi_{\nu}\right), \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \tag{10.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the latter is as in § 1.2).
Proof. The construction is as in [DL, Lemma 4.8], using [LTX ${ }^{+}$22, Proposition 3.2.8] (due to Shin) instead of [Mok15]. Property (10.1.5) is immediate from the construction.

Let

$$
\rho_{\pi, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}: G_{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n(n+1)}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)
$$

be defined by $\rho_{\pi, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}(-n):=\rho_{\pi_{n}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \otimes \rho_{\pi_{n+1}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}$. If $\rho: G_{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(L)$ is a continuous representation, denote by $\rho_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}:=\rho \otimes_{L} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$ the base-change and by $\rho_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ its semisimplification.

The following key hypothesis gives an explicit description of $\rho[\pi]$ (at least in the stable case).

Hypothesis 10.1.2. Let $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L)$, and let $K \subset G\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$ be an open compact subgroup. Then $\rho[\pi]]_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}$ is is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\rho_{\pi, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}$. Moreover, if $\pi$ is stable then $\left.\rho[\pi]\right]_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\text {ss }} \cong \rho_{\pi, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}$.

Remark 10.1.3. Let $\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\mathrm{her},-}$, and let $L=\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\Pi)$. Let $\bar{\pi} \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$ be the preimage of $\Pi$ under (2.5.1); a priori we know it is isomorphic to its $G_{L}$-conjugates but not that it arises from some $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{V}\right)(L)$. Assume that Hypothesis 10.1.2 holds. By the definitions, the space

$$
M^{\bar{\pi}}
$$

is isomorphic to $\bar{\pi}^{*} \boxtimes \rho[\bar{\pi}]$ as a Hecke- and $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\left[G_{F}\right]$-module, and it is a $G_{L}$-invariant subspace of $M_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}^{2 n-1, *}(1)$. Let $M^{\pi}:=\left(M^{\bar{\pi}}\right)^{G_{L}} \subset M_{L}^{2 n-1, *}(1)$, and define the $L\left[G_{F}\right]$-module

$$
\rho_{\Pi}:=\left(M^{\pi}\right)^{\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)}
$$

Then we have

$$
\rho_{\Pi} \otimes_{L} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \cong\left(\bar{\pi}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \rho[\bar{\pi}] .
$$

The first tensor factor is 1 -dimensional, so that by Remark 2.5.6 and (10.1.5), the representation

$$
\rho_{\Pi}: G_{F} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n(n+1)}(L)
$$

satisfies

$$
\left(\rho_{\Pi} \otimes_{L} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)^{\mathrm{ss}} \cong \rho_{\Pi_{n}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_{n+1}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}(n)
$$

(In fact, it is conjectured that $\rho_{\Pi_{\nu, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}}$ is irreducible for $\nu=n, n+1$, so that the semisimplifcation should be superfluous.) This also implies that $\bar{\pi}$ has a model $\pi=\operatorname{Hom}_{L\left[G_{F}\right]}\left(M^{\pi}, \rho_{\Pi}\right)$ defined over $L$; in other words, for an incoherent $V \in \mathscr{V}^{\circ,-}$ we have $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{V} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\text {st }}=\mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{H}^{V} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{V}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}{ }^{\text {st }}{ }^{17}$
10.1.5. Properties of automorphic Galois representations.

Proposition 10.1.4. Let $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}(L)$. The Galois representation $\rho:=\rho_{\pi, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) For every nonarchimedean place $w$ of $F$, the representation $\rho_{\mid G_{F_{w}}}$ is pure of weight -1 in the sense of [DL, Definition A.8].
(2) The representations $\rho^{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\rho^{*}(1)$ are isomorphic.
(3) For every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$ and every place $w \mid v$ of $F$ :
(a) if $\pi_{v}$ is unramified, then $\rho_{\mid G_{F_{w}}}$ is crystalline;
(b) if moreover $\pi_{v}$ is ordinary, then $\rho_{\mid G_{F_{w}}}$ is Panchishkin-ordinary.

If Hypothesis 10.1.2 holds, then the conclusions (1)-(3) above also hold for $\rho=\rho[\pi]$ and $\rho=M_{K}^{\pi}$.

Proof. Part (1) is a fundamental result of Caraiani [Car12, Car14] (see also [TY07, Lemma 1.4 (3)]). Part (2) follows from the last statement in [DL, Lemma 4.8] for $\rho_{\pi, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}$, and from the Galoisequivariance of the Poincaré pairing for $\rho[\pi], M_{\pi}$. The proof of part (3) is as in [DL, Lemmas 4.14, 4.15]. (In fact the assumption on $\pi_{\nu, v}$ in (b) is stronger than the analogous assumption in

[^13]loc. cit.; correspondingly each factor $\rho_{\pi_{\nu} \mid G_{F_{w}}}$ is also ordinary in the sense of [Nek93, Definition 1.29]; however, only Panchishkin-ordinariness is stable under tensor products.)

For the rest of the paper, we will assume Hypothesis 10.1 .2 for every ${ }^{18}$ representation $\pi \in$ $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}\right)$.
10.2. Gan-Gross-Prasad cycles. From now on, we freely use the notation and results of Appendix A; see especially $\S$ A. 1 for the present subsection.
10.2.1. Arithmetic diagonal cycles. We have a fundamental cycle

$$
[Y]^{\circ}=\left(\left[Y_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}\right]^{\circ}\right) \in \lim _{\overleftarrow{K}_{\mathrm{H}}} \mathrm{Z}^{0}\left(Y_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}},
$$

where the transition maps on the right are pushforwards and $\left[Y_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}\right]^{\circ}=\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{\mathrm{H}}, d h\right)\left[Y_{K_{\mathrm{H}}}\right]$. Let $\jmath$ be (system of) arithmetic diagonal maps (8.2.2). The arithmetic diagonal cycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z:=J_{*}[Y]^{\circ} \in \underset{{\underset{K}{K}}^{\lim }}{ } \mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{10.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well-defined. We denote by $Z_{K}$ its image in $\mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
10.2.2. Limits of Selmer groups. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and let $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{G})(L)$. For $? \in\{$ temp, t-ord,$\pi\}$, define

$$
H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M^{?}\right):=\underset{K}{\lim _{K}} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{K}^{?}\right), \quad H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{?}\right):=\underset{K}{\underset{\underset{l}{l i m}}{ }} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{?, K}\right) .
$$

10.2.3. GGP cycles and associated functionals. Let

$$
Z_{\pi, K} \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\pi, K}\right)
$$

be the Hecke-eigencomponent of $\widetilde{\mathrm{cl}}\left(Z_{K}\right)$. Here, by the discussion in $\S$ A.1, the fact that $Z_{\pi, K}$ belongs to the Bloch-Kato Selmer group is a consequence of the vanishing of $M_{\pi, K} \cap M_{2 n}$ and Proposition 10.1.4.

Definition 10.2.1. The Gan-Gross-Prasad cycle of $\pi$ is

$$
Z_{\pi}:=\lim _{\underset{K}{ }} Z_{\pi, K} \quad \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M^{\pi}\right) ;
$$

The $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{A})$-invariant functional associated to it via (10.1.2) will still be denoted by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\pi}: \pi & \longrightarrow H_{f}^{1}(E, \rho[\pi]) \\
\phi & Z_{\pi} \phi:=\phi_{*} Z_{\pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{A})$-invariance it follows that $Z_{\pi}$ vanishes unless $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})$.
Remark 10.2.2. The linear functional $Z_{\pi}$ valued in the Selmer group can be viewed as an arithmetic analog of the automorphic period functional (3.4.4).

[^14]10.2.4. Ordinary cycles. Suppose that every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$ splits in $F$. For each $v$, we may fix a place $w \mid v$ of $F$ and compatible bases of $V_{\nu, w}$, giving isomorphisms $\mathrm{G}_{F_{0, v}} \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n} \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}, \mathrm{H}_{F_{0, v}} \cong$ $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ of algebraic groups over $F_{0, v}=F_{w}$. Then we may and will use the notation, definitions and results of $\S \S$ 5.1-5.2; we generally also denote $\square_{p}:=\prod_{v \mid p} \square_{v}$; for instance, $t_{0, p}=\prod_{v \mid v} t_{0, v}$, $N_{0, p}^{\circ}=\prod_{v \mid p} N_{0, v}^{\circ} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(F_{0, p}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}\left(G_{F_{0, p}}\right) \cong \mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$. We define an operator $e^{\text {ord }}:=\lim U_{t_{0}}^{N!}$; it acts on $M_{N_{0, p}^{\circ}}$ and on $\pi^{N_{0, p}^{\circ}}$ for any $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$; the representation $\pi$ is ordinary if and only if it is not annihilated by $e^{\text {ord }}$.

Let $K_{p} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$ be an open compact subgroup containing $N_{0, p}^{\circ}$, and let $c \geq 1$ be such that $K_{p}$ contains $K_{0}^{\langle c+1\rangle}$. For positive integers $r, N$ with $N!\geq r \geq c$, set $m_{0, r}=\prod_{v \mid p} m_{0, r, v}$ (cf. (5.1.4) for the definition of twisting matrices), and define

$$
Z_{K_{p}}^{\dagger, N}:=\prod_{v \mid p} q_{v}^{r d(n)} \cdot Z . T\left(m_{0, r} U_{t_{0, p}}^{N!-r} e_{K_{p}}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K_{p}}\right),
$$

which is independent of $r$ by Corollary 5.1.5.
We define the ordinary arithmetic diagonal cycle by

$$
Z_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}:=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathrm{cl}}\left(Z_{K_{p}}^{\dagger, N}\right) \quad \in \varliminf_{K^{p}}\left(H^{2 n}\left(X_{K^{p} K_{p}}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}(n)\right) / \mathrm{Fil}^{2}\right) \cdot e^{\mathrm{ord}}
$$

For any $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})^{\text {ord }}$, we define the ordinary GGP cycle

$$
Z_{\pi, K_{p}}^{\text {ord }} \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{K_{p}}^{\pi}\right)
$$

to be the eigencomponent of $Z_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$. By the definitions, for any sufficiently large $r \leq N$ !,

$$
Z_{\pi, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}=\prod_{v \mid p} q_{v}^{r d(n)} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} Z_{\pi} \cdot T\left(m_{0, r} U_{t_{0}, p}^{N!-r} e_{K_{p}}\right)
$$

We have an induced $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)$-invariant functional still denoted by the same name

$$
Z_{\pi, K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}}: \pi^{K_{p}} \longrightarrow H_{f}^{1}(E, \rho[\pi]) .
$$

It factors through $e^{\text {ord }} e_{K_{p}}$.
10.2.5. Norm relation. Continue with the notation and assumptions of $\S 10.2 .4$. In order to study $p$-adic heights, it will be useful to know that $Z_{\pi, K_{p}}^{\dagger, N}$ is a norm from some ring class fields of $F$ of conductors that are high powers of $p$.

Let S be the unitary group of the 1-dimensional hermitian space ( $F, N_{F / F_{0}}$ ). We have a map

$$
\text { rec }: G_{F} \longrightarrow \overline{F^{\times}} \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F}^{\infty, \times} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{S}\left(F_{0}\right)} \backslash \mathrm{S}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right),
$$

where the first map is the reciprocity law of class field theory and the second map is $x \mapsto x^{\mathrm{c}} / x$ (and the bars denote Zariski closures). For $v \mid p$ and $r \geq 0$, let $K_{S, v}^{(r)}:=\mathrm{S}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right) \cap 1+v^{r} \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}$, let $\Gamma_{r}=\Gamma_{r}^{(v)}:=\mathrm{S}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{S}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right) / \mathrm{S}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{F_{0}}^{v}\right) K_{S, v}^{(r)}$, and let

$$
F_{r}=F_{r}^{(v)} / F
$$

be the abelian extension such that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{r} / F\right) \cong \Gamma_{r}$ under the reciprocity map. We have the norm map

$$
\mathrm{N}_{F_{r} / F}: \mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K, F_{r}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K}\right)
$$

Lemma 10.2.3. Fix a place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$. For any $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right), \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)_{K^{p}}$ and any integer $r$ with $\max \left\{1, c\left(K_{v}\right)-1\right\} \leq r \leq N!$, there exists a cycle $\left.Z_{r}=Z_{K^{p}}^{\dagger, N}\left(f^{p}\right)_{r}^{(v)} \in \mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K, F_{r}}\right)\right)_{\mathscr{O}_{L}}$ such that

$$
Z_{K^{p}}^{\dagger, N}\left(f^{p}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{F_{r} / F}\left(Z_{r}\right)
$$

Proof. We may assume $f^{p}=e_{K^{p}}$, and abbreviate $Z_{K}^{\dagger, N}=Z_{K^{p}}^{\dagger, N}\left(f^{p}\right)$. Let $K_{H}^{p}:=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right) \cap K^{p}$ and let $Y_{r}:=Y_{K_{H}^{p} K_{H, 0, p}^{(r)}}$. Then by (5.1.8), the map $Y \xrightarrow{J} X \xrightarrow{m_{0} r} X \rightarrow X_{K}$ factors through $Y_{r}$, and we can write

$$
Z_{K}^{\dagger, N}=\prod_{v \mid p} q_{v}^{r d(n)} \cdot\left(\jmath_{*}\left[Y_{r}\right]^{\circ}\right) \cdot T\left(m_{0, r} U_{t_{0}, p}^{N!-r} e_{K}\right)=\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, 0, p}\right) \cdot\left(\jmath_{*}\left[Y_{r}\right]\right) \cdot T\left(m_{0, r} U_{t_{0}, p}^{N!-r} e_{K}\right)
$$

(see (5.1.7) for $\left.\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, 0, p}\right)\right)$.
Let det: $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ be the determinant map. For a compact open subgroup $K \subset \mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$, by Shimura's reciprocity law we have an isomorphism of $G_{F}$-sets

$$
\pi_{0}\left(Y_{K, \bar{F}}\right) \cong \mathrm{S}\left(F_{0}\right) \backslash \mathrm{S}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right) / \operatorname{det} K
$$

Now we have $\operatorname{det} K_{H, 0, v}^{(r)}=1+v^{r} \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}=1+w^{r} \mathscr{O}_{F_{0, w}} \cong K_{S, v}^{(r)}$, where the last identification comes from the natural map $F_{w}^{\times} \subset F_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow S_{v}$. Thus we deduce a natural surjection p: $\pi_{0}\left(Y_{r, \bar{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{r}$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r}$, let $Y_{r, \gamma, \bar{F}} \subset Y_{r, \bar{F}}$ be the union of connected components in $\mathrm{p}^{-1}(\gamma)$; it arises as $Y_{r, \gamma} \times{ }_{F_{r}} \bar{F}$ for an $F_{r}$-subvariety

$$
Y_{r, \gamma} \subset Y_{r, F_{r}}
$$

Then for any $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma_{r}$, we have

$$
Z_{K}^{\dagger, N}=\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, 0, p}\right) \cdot \mathrm{N}_{F_{r} / F}\left(J_{*}\left[Y_{r, \gamma_{0}}\right]\right) \cdot T\left(m_{0, r} U_{t_{0}, p}^{N!-r} e_{K}\right),
$$

which belongs to $\mathrm{N}_{F_{r} / F}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K, F_{r}}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right.$ since $\operatorname{vol}^{\circ}\left(K_{H, 0, p}\right)$ is a $p$-unit.
10.3. The distribution and its spectral expansion. From now until the end of the paper, we suppose that every place $v \mid p$ of $F_{0}$ splits in $F$ and that $K_{p} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(F_{0, p}\right)$ is the hyperspecial subgroup $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, p}}\right)$.
10.3.1. Height pairings. Considering the setup of $\S$ A.2.3, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h: H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ord}}^{K_{p}}\right) \times H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ord}}^{K_{p}}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}, L} \tag{10.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the pairing induced by the family, $h_{X_{K}, \lambda}: H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ord}}^{K}\right)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}, L}$ for $K=K^{p} K_{p}$, where

$$
\lambda: \Gamma_{F, L} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}, L}
$$

is the natural surjection. It is well-defined by the projection formula (Lemma A.2.5). Note that the conditions of $\S$ A. 2.1 for the definition of $h$ (as well as for the definition of the pairing $h_{\pi}$ from $\S 1.3 .7$ ) are satisfied by Proposition 10.1.4. We also denote by

$$
h: H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ord}}^{K_{p}}\right) \times H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{t} \text {-ord }}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}, L}
$$

the pairing sending $\left(Z^{K^{p}}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ to $h_{(10.3 .1)}\left(Z^{K^{p}}, Z^{\prime} . T\left(e_{K^{p}}\right)\right)$ whenever $Z^{K^{p}} \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{t} \text {-ord }}^{K_{p}}\right)$ is in the image of $H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\mathrm{t} \text {-ord }}^{K^{p} K_{p}}\right)$; the context should dispel any ambiguities caused by the conflated notation.

For a non-archimedean place $w$ of $F$, we denote by $h_{w}$ the corresponding local pairings (A.2.5) on pairs of (limits of) cycles with disjoint supports in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t} \text {-ord }}^{n}\left(X_{K, F_{w}}\right)_{L}^{0}($ if $w \mid p)$ or $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {temp }}^{n}\left(X_{K, F_{w}}\right)_{L}^{0}$ (if $w \nmid p$ ). For $w \nmid p$, this requires a projection formula for $w$-local heights, which is equivalent to Lemma A.2.4 (2).
10.3.2. Definition of the distribution. For $S$ a finite set of non-archimedean places of $F_{0}$, denote by

$$
\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)_{K_{S} \text {-temp }}^{\circ} \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)_{K_{S}}
$$

the subalgebra of measures $f^{S}=f^{S \infty} f_{\infty}$ such that $f_{\infty} \in L f_{\infty}^{\circ}\left(\right.$ where $\left.f_{\infty}^{\circ}=(4.1 .3)\right)$ and $M^{\oplus} . T\left(f^{S} e_{K_{S}}\right) \subset M_{\text {temp }}^{K_{S}}$.

Define first

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}:\left(\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p} \text {-temp }}^{\circ}\right)^{2} & \longrightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}, L} \\
\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right) \longmapsto & h\left(Z_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }} \cdot T\left(f_{1}^{p}\right), Z_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }} \cdot T\left(f_{2}^{p}\right)\right) . \tag{10.3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 10.3.1. For any $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}}^{\circ}$ that can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{p}=f_{1}^{p} * f_{2}^{p, \vee} \tag{10.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{i}^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p} \text {-temp }}^{\circ}$, we define the arithmetic relative-trace distribution by ${ }^{19}$

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right):=\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right)
$$

Remark 10.3.2. The definition is independent of the decomposition (10.3.3). Indeed, let $K^{p} \subset$ $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right)$ be such that $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right)\right)_{K^{p}}$, and let $S$ be a finite set of finite places of $F_{0}$, not above $p$, such that $K^{S}:=K \cap \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S p \infty}\right)$ is a maximal hyperspecial subgroup. Let $e_{K}^{\text {temp }} \in$ $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S \infty}\right)\right)_{K^{S}}$ be an element acting as the idempotent projection $M^{K} \rightarrow M_{\text {temp }}^{K}$. Then by the projection formula (Lemma A.2.5),

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=h\left(Z_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \cdot T\left(f^{p}\right), Z_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord}} \cdot T\left(e^{\mathrm{temp}}\right)_{K}\right)
$$

Let now

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p, K_{p}, N}:=\prod_{v \mid p} q_{v}^{r d(n)} \cdot m_{0, r, p} U_{t_{0}, p}^{N!-r} e_{K_{p}} \tag{10.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq N$ !. By the definitions, we have

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} h\left(Z \cdot T\left(f_{1}^{p} f_{p, K_{p}, N}\right), Z \cdot T\left(f_{2}^{p} f_{p, K_{p}, N}\right)\right)
$$

It is independent of the choice of $r \leq N$ !.
10.3.3. Spectral expansion. Let $\pi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}(L)$. Denote by

$$
h_{M_{\pi}}: H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{\pi}^{K_{p}}\right) \times H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{K_{p}}^{\pi^{\vee}}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{F_{0}, L}
$$

[^15]the restriction of $h$. For any $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ}$, we define
$$
\left.\mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right):=h_{M_{\pi}}\left(Z_{\pi, K_{p}}^{\text {ord }} \cdot T\left(f^{p}\right), Z_{\pi \vee}^{\text {ord }, K_{p}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{(,)_{\pi} K_{p}}^{h_{\pi} \circ\left(Z_{\pi, K_{p}}^{\text {ord }} \boxtimes Z_{\pi \vee}^{\text {ord }}, K_{p}\right.}\right)\left(T\left(f^{p}\right)\right),
$$
where the pairing $(,)_{\pi^{K_{p}}}$ is the restriction of $(,)_{\pi}=(1.3 .4)$ to $\pi^{K_{p}} \times \pi^{\vee, K_{p}}$. Then it is clear that if $f^{p}$ is as in Definition 10.3.1, we have
$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{\text {ord }_{p}}} \mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right),
$$
where for a Galois orbit $\pi=\left\{\pi^{\sigma}\right\} \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$ of isomorphism classes of representations, we put $\mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}:=\sum \mathscr{J}_{\pi^{\sigma}, K_{p}}$.
10.4. Decomposition over nonsplit places. We will complete the arithmetic relative-trace formula by finding a geometric expansion for the distribution $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}$. Each term in the expansion will be a sum over all nonsplit finite places of $F_{0}$. The goal of this subsection is to show the preliminary result that $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}$ has a decomposition as a sum over nonsplit places, by proving some vanishing results for local height pairings at split ( $p$-adic and non- $p$-adic) places.
10.4.1. Decomposition over all places. Let $v$ be a non-archimedean place of $F_{0}$. We define
$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right):=\sum_{w \mid v} h_{w}\left(Z_{K_{p}}^{\dagger, N} \cdot T\left(f_{1}^{p}\right), Z_{K_{p}}^{\dagger, N} \cdot T\left(f_{2}^{p}\right)\right)
$$
for any $f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p} \text {-temp }}^{\circ}$ (respectively $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p} \text {-t-ord }}^{\circ}$ if $\left.v \mid p\right)$ such that the two cycles involved have disjoint supports. Here, the sum ranges over the (one or two) places of $F$ above $v$.

It is clear from the definitions that we have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \nmid \infty} \mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right) . \tag{10.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the terms in the right-hand side are defined.
In the rest of this subsection, we show the vanishing of the contribution at split ( $p$-adic and non- $p$-adic) places.

Remark 10.4.1. If $v \nmid p \infty$, we can more generally define

$$
\mathscr{J}^{(v)}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right):=\sum_{w \mid v} h_{w}\left(Z \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right), Z \cdot T\left(f_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)_{\text {temp }}^{\circ}$ such that the two cycles involved have disjoint supports; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right)=\mathscr{J}^{(v)}\left(f_{1}^{p} f_{p, K_{p}, N}, f_{2}^{p} f_{p, K_{p}, N}\right) . \tag{10.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

10.4.2. Auxiliary Shimura varieties. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$ and $w$ a place of $F$ above $v$. Choose an "admissible CM type $\Phi$ (relative to $v$ )" in the sense of [LL21, p.851] and a place $u$ of the reflex field $E$ above the place $w$ of $F$ such that $u$ is unramified over $w$. (Note that $\Phi$ depends on $v$.) Recall from $\S 9.1$ that, by our assumption, the compact open subgroup $K_{Z \mathrm{Q}}$ is maximal at $v$. We
then have the auxiliary Shimura variety

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{u}^{\prime}:=X_{K / E_{u}}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}})_{E_{u}} \tag{10.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its integral model $\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{M}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}, \mathscr{O}_{E, u}}$ from $\S 9.3$. We observe that $X_{u}^{\prime}$ is of the form $X_{F_{w}} \times{ }_{F_{w}} A$ for some finite étale $F_{w}$-algebra $A$.

We denote by

$$
\mathscr{Z}^{\prime}=\mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime}:=\widetilde{\jmath}_{*}\left(\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{\mathrm{H}}\right)\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{K_{\tilde{\mathrm{H}}}}\right]\right)
$$

the $\mathscr{O}_{E, u}$-integral model of the arithmetic diagonal cycle, where $\widetilde{\jmath}$ is as in $\S$ 9.3.3.
10.4.3. Local heights at split places. The following lemma will be useful for considerations both at places above $p$ and away from $p$. We first need a definition.

Definition 10.4.2. We say that a pair $\left(f_{1, v}, f_{2, v}\right) \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}\right)_{K_{v}}^{2}$ has $K_{v}$-regular support, or simply that it is $K_{v^{-}}$-regular, if $f_{1, v}$ has regular support and $f_{2, v^{\prime}}=e_{K_{v}}$.

If $S$ is a finite set of finite places of $F_{0}$ and $v \notin S$ is another finite place of $F_{0}$, we say that a pair $\left(f_{1}^{S}, f_{2}^{S}\right) \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S}\right), L\right)_{K^{S}}^{\circ}$ is $K_{v}$-regular at $v$ if we can write $K^{S}=K^{S v} K_{v}$ and $f_{i}=f_{i, v} \otimes f_{i}^{v}$ with $\left(f_{1, v}, f_{2, v}\right) K_{v}$-regular.

Lemma 10.4.3. Let $v$ be a split place of $F_{0}$. Let $K=\prod_{v} K_{v}$ be an open subgroup of $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\infty}\right)$. Suppose that $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)_{K}^{\circ}$ satisfy:
$-\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ has $K_{v^{\prime}-r e g u l a r ~ s u p p o r t ~ a t ~ s o m e ~ f i n i t e ~ p l a c e ~} v^{\prime} \neq v$;

- the subgroup $K_{v}=K_{n, v} \times K_{n+1, v}$ satisfies either of the following conditions:
(a) for some labelling $\left\{\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right\}=\{n, n+1\}$, the subgroup $K_{\nu, v}$ is maximal hyperspecial and $K_{\nu^{\prime}, v}$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (cf.§9.2);
(b) for both $\nu=n, n+1$, the subgroup $K_{\nu, v}$ is Iwahori (that is, $G_{\nu, v}$-conjugate to the standard Iwahori $\left.\mathrm{Iw}_{\nu, v, 0}\right)$.
Then the following statements hold.
(i) The cycles $Z . T\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $Z . T\left(f_{2}\right)$ have disjoint support (on the generic fiber).
(ii) Abusing notation, we still let $T\left(f_{i}\right)$ denote the (flat) correspondence on the integral model $\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}$. Then the cycles $\mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime} . T\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{2}\right)$ have disjoint supports in $\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Part ( $i$ ) follows from [RSZ20, Theorem 8.5 (i)]. (The result in loc. cit only treats the auxiliary Shimura variety attached to $\widetilde{G}$; but it implies the desired result for G.)

For (ii) case (a), the integral model with Drinfeld $m$-level structure at one factor and with hyperspecial level $(m=0)$ at the other factor is regular. The proof of [RSZ20, Theorem 8.5 (ii)] (only the case $f_{2}=e_{K}$ was considered there) still applies to show that the cycles $\mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{2}\right)$ have disjoint supports in $\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}$.

In case (b), the integral model is the resolution given in $\S 9.3$ of the moduli scheme and the Hecke correspondences are obtained by base change and hence remain finite flat. The cycles are obtained by strict transforms. Hence it suffices to show the disjointness before the resolution, which again follows from [RSZ20, Theorem 8.5 (ii)]. (Strictly speaking, the result of loc. cit.
concerns the case of Drinfeld $m$-levels rather than Iwahori level. However, we may pull back the cycles to the moduli scheme with Drinfeld level for $m=1$ and then apply that result.)

Proposition 10.4.4. Let $v \nmid p$ be a split place of $F_{0}$. Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)_{\text {temp }}^{\circ}$ be as in Lemma 10.4.3. Assume furthermore that either $K_{v}$ is hyperspecial or that $T\left(f_{1}^{v}\right), T\left(f_{2}^{v}\right)$ annihilate $H^{2 n}\left(\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}, L(n)\right)$. Then

$$
\mathscr{J}^{(v)}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=0
$$

Proof. We show that $h_{w}\left(Z . T\left(f_{1}\right), Z . T\left(f_{2}\right)\right)=0$ for each of the two places $w \mid v$. By Lemma A.2.4 (2), it suffices to show the vanishing of the local height after pull-back to the auxiliary Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}}}(\widetilde{\mathrm{G}})$ over $E_{u}$. Finally, under our assumption, Proposition A.3.4 further reduces the question to the vanishing of the arithmetic intersection pairing on the integral model $\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{E, u}$. This last vanishing follows from Lemma 10.4.3 (ii).

### 10.4.4. Vanishing at p-adic places.

Proposition 10.4.5. Let $v$ be a place of $F_{0}$ above $p$ (hence split in $F$ ). If $n>1$, assume $p>2 n$. Let $f^{p}=f_{1}^{p} * f_{2}^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}, L\right)_{K_{p} \text {-t-ord }}^{\circ}\right.$, and assume that the pair $\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right)$ has regular support. Then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}\left(f^{p}\right)=0
$$

Proof. Write $Z_{i}:=Z_{K_{p}}^{\dagger, N} \cdot T\left(f_{i}^{p}\right)$, and let $K^{p}$ be such that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are right- $K^{p}$-invariant. For any finite extension $E$ of $F_{w}$, denote by $\lambda_{E}^{\prime}: E^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L \rightarrow E^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L$ the identity map, and by $h_{X_{K, E}}:=$ $h_{X_{K, E}, \lambda_{E}^{\prime}}$ the corresponding height pairing. We will show that

$$
h_{X_{K, F_{w}}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) \quad \in p^{N!-C} \mathscr{O}_{F_{w}}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} \mathscr{O}_{L}
$$

for some constant $C$; after taking limits, this implies the desired vanishing. Up to multiplying by a nonzero scalar, we may assume that $f_{i}^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)^{\circ}$.

By Lemma 10.2.3, for some constant $C^{\prime}$ cancelling the denominators of $f_{i}$, and for any sufficiently large $r \leq N$ !, we have $Z_{i}=\mathrm{N}_{F_{r} / F}\left(Z_{i, r}\right)$ for some $Z_{i, r} \in p^{-C^{\prime}} \mathrm{Z}^{n}\left(X_{\mathrm{G}, K, F_{r}}\right)_{\mathscr{O}_{L}}$. Denote by $F_{w, r}$ the localization of $F_{r}$ at its unique place above $w$. First, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{X_{K, F_{w}, r}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2, r}\right) \quad \in \mathscr{O}_{F_{w}, r}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L . \tag{10.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma A.2.4 (1) (which applies thanks to the observation made after (10.4.3)), it is enough to show the same result for the corresponding height pairing of arithmetic diagonal cycles on the auxiliary Shimura variety (10.4.3). This follows from Lemma 10.4.3 (ii), Proposition A.3.2, and Remark A.2.3.

By the integrality results of [Nek95, Proposition II.1.11], we have in fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{X_{K, F w, r}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2, r}\right) \quad \in p^{-C_{r}^{\prime \prime}-C^{\prime}} \mathscr{O}_{F_{w, r}}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} \mathscr{O}_{L}, \tag{10.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $C_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ that, similarly to [DL, Proof of Proposition 4.2.6], can be bounded as follows. Let $\mathrm{T}:=M_{\mathrm{t} \text {-ord }, K, L} \cap H^{2 n-1}\left(X_{K, \bar{F}}, \mathscr{O}_{L}(n)\right) /$ (tors), and denote by

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\infty} H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{w, r}, \mathrm{~T}\right):=\bigcap_{s \geq r} \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Tr}_{F_{w, s} / F_{w, r}}: H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{w, s}, \mathrm{~T}\right) \longrightarrow H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{w, s}, \mathrm{~T}\right)\right]
$$

Then $p^{C_{r}^{\prime \prime}} \leq c_{r}^{\prime \prime}:=\left|H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{w, r}, \mathrm{~T}\right) / \mathrm{N}_{\infty} H_{f}^{1}\left(F_{w, r}, \mathrm{~T}\right)\right|$. However $c_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ is bounded independently of $r$ : this follows by the same argument as for [DL, Lemma 4.28] from the fact that $M_{\mathrm{t} \text {-ord, } K, L}$, as a representation of $G_{F_{w}}$, is crystalline, Panchishkin-ordinary, and pure of weight -1 (Proposition 10.1.4). Thus in (10.4.5) we may replace $C_{r}^{\prime \prime}+C^{\prime}$ by a constant $C^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally, by Lemma A.2.4 (1), we have

$$
p^{C^{\prime \prime}} \cdot h_{X_{K, F_{w}}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=p^{C^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{N}_{F_{w, r} / F_{w}}\left(h_{X_{K}, F_{w, r}}\left(Z_{1, F_{w, r},}, Z_{2, r}\right)\right) \quad \in \mathrm{N}_{F_{w, r} / F_{w}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{w, r}}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} \mathscr{O}_{L}\right) .
$$

By the definition of $F_{w, r}$ and local class field theory, $\mathrm{N}_{F_{w, r} / F_{w}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{w, r}}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} \mathscr{O}_{L}\right) \subset p^{r-C^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{w}}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)$ for some constant $C^{\prime \prime \prime}$. This completes the proof.
10.5. The arithmetic relative-trace formula. The previous subsection shows that, for suitable $f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}$, we have a decomposition

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \nmid \infty \text { nonsplit }} \mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right) .
$$

We state a geometric expansion of $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}$ (in fact, $\mathscr{J}^{(v)}$ ) for inert places $v$. When $F / F_{0}$ is unramified, we then deduce a geometric expansion of $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}$, thus completing the corresponding RTF.
10.5.1. Local arithmetic intersection numbers and geometric expansions at inert places. Let $v \nmid$ $2 p$ be an inert finite place of $F_{0}$ and let $w$ be the unique place of $F$ above $v$. We define for $\delta \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{V(v)}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{\delta, v}\left(e_{K_{v}}\right):=-\left(\delta \cdot \mathcal{N}_{n, v}, \mathcal{N}_{n, v}\right) \lambda\left(\varpi_{w}\right), \tag{10.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in the right hand side, $(-,-)$ denotes the arithmetic intersection number on the unitary Rapoport-Zink space $\mathcal{N}_{n, v} \times{ }_{\text {Spf }} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{F_{v}}} \mathcal{N}_{n+1, v}$ (resp. the small resolution in [ZZh]) if $K_{v}$ is hyperspecial (resp. $K_{v}$ is vertex parahoric), relative to the quadratic field extension $F_{w} / F_{0, v}$. Since $\mathscr{J}_{\delta, v}\left(e_{K_{v}}\right)$ only plays an intermediate role, we refer to [MZ] (resp. [ZZh]) for the unexplained notation in the hyperspecial (resp. parahoric) case.

Proposition 10.5.1. Let $v \nmid 2 p$ be an inert finite place of $F_{0}$. Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)_{\mathrm{temp}}^{\circ}$ and let $f=f_{1} * f_{2}^{\vee}$. Suppose that:
(1) $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is regular at a place different from $v$;
(2) $f_{1, v}=f_{2, v}=e_{K_{v}}$ where $K_{v}$ is a vertex parahoric subgroup of type ( $t, t$ ) (cf. §9.3);
(3) $K_{v}$ is hyperspecial or $T\left(f_{1}\right), T\left(f_{2}\right)$ annihilate $H^{2 n}\left(\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}, L(n)\right)$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{J}^{(v)}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\delta \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{V(v)}\left(F_{0}\right)} J_{\delta}^{v}\left(f^{v}\right) \mathscr{J}_{\delta, v}\left(f_{v}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} e_{V(v)}(\gamma) J_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma)}^{v}\left(f^{v}\right) \mathscr{J}_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma), v}\left(f_{v}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last expression, $\underline{\delta}$ is the matching of orbits of (3.5.3).
Proof. It suffices to show the first equality. Similar to the proof of Proposition 10.4.4, by the base change property of Lemma A.2.4(2) we have

$$
\mathscr{J}^{(v)}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{u}^{\prime} / X_{w}\right)} h_{u}\left(Z^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right), Z^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{2}\right)\right),
$$

where $h_{u}$ denotes the local height on $X_{u}^{\prime}$ over $\mathscr{O}_{E, u}$. Under our assumption, by Proposition A.3.4 we have

$$
h_{u}\left(Z^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right), Z^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\mathscr{Z}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right), \mathscr{Z}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right)\right) \lambda\left(\mathrm{Nm}_{E_{u} / F_{w}} \varpi_{u}\right) .
$$

Since $\lambda_{\mid F_{w}^{\times}}$is necessarily unramified and $E_{u} / F_{w}$ is an unramified extension, we have

$$
\lambda\left(\mathrm{Nm}_{E_{u} / F_{w}} \varpi_{u}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{u} / F_{w}\right) \lambda\left(\varpi_{w}\right) .
$$

In the hyperspecial case, by [RSZ20, Theorem 8.15] (the statement there is for the sum over all places of $E$ above $w$, but the proof contains the formula for each place $u$ ), we obtain

$$
\left(\mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right), \mathscr{Z}_{u}^{\prime} \cdot T\left(f_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{u}^{\prime} / X_{w}\right) \sum_{\delta \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{V(v)}\left(F_{0}\right)} J_{\delta}^{v}\left(f^{v}\right) \mathscr{J}_{\delta, v}\left(f_{v}\right) .
$$

The vertex parahoric case is similar and we omit the details.
Remark 10.5.2. For the condition (2), we could relax it to allow vertex parahoric subgroup $K_{v}$ of type $(t, t+\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon \in\{0,1\}$. But this implicitly violates the convention in $\S 2.1 .3$ and we will need to renormalize the matching of orbits that appears in the statement of the proposition.
10.5.2. The arithmetic relative-trace formula. We are ready to deduce the following relative-trace formula for $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}$. Recall the matching of global orbits $\underline{\delta}$ of (3.5.4).

Theorem 10.5.3 (Arithmetic relative-trace formula). Suppose that:

- $F / F_{0}$ is unramified,
$-p>2 n$ if $n>1$,
- all places $v \mid 2 p$ of $F_{0}$ are split in $F$.

Suppose that there is a finite set $S$ of places of $F_{0}$, not above $p$ or $\infty$, and a compact open subgroup $K^{p}=\prod_{v \nmid p} K_{v}$ satisfying:

- $K_{v}$ is (self-dual) hyperspecial for $v \notin S$,
- for every split place $v \in S, K_{v}=K_{n, v} \times K_{n+1, v}$ where either at least one of the factors is maximal hyperspecial or both are Iwahori,
- for every inert $v \in S, K_{v}$ is a vertex parahoric subgroup of type ( $t, t$ ) (cf. §9.3),

For $i=1,2$, let $f_{i}^{p}=f_{i}^{p}=f_{i}^{S p} \otimes \otimes_{v \mid S} f_{i, v} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K^{p}}^{\circ} f_{i}^{p}=f_{i}^{S p} \otimes \otimes_{v \mid S} f_{i, v}$ satisy the following properties:

- for every inert $v, f_{1, v}=f_{2, v}=e_{K_{v}}$,
- the pair $\left(f_{1, v}, f_{2, v}\right)$ has regular support at two (necessarily split) places $v \in S$,
- for every finite place $v \in S, T\left(f_{i}^{S p}\right)$ annihilates $H^{2 n}\left(\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}, L(n)\right)$ for some place $u$ of $E$ that is unramified over $v$.
Let $f^{p}:=f_{1}^{p} \star f_{2}^{p, \vee} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K^{p}}^{\circ}$. Then we have a spectral and a geometric expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right) & =\sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}} \mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right)} \sum_{\substack{v \not p \infty \\
\text { nonsplit }}} \mathbf{1}_{V(v)}(\gamma) J_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma)}^{v p}\left(f^{v p}\right) \mathscr{J}_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma), v}\left(f_{v}\right) d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{ord}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{ord}}=d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(\mathbf{1}_{p}\right)$ is as in (6.1.3) for $K_{p}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, p}}\right)$.
Proof. The spectral expansion was noted in § 10.3.3. We establish the geometric expansion. By (10.4.1), we have

$$
\mathscr{J}\left(f^{p}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \nmid \infty} \mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}^{(v), N}\left(f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}\right) .
$$

By Propositions 10.4.4, 10.4.5, only the terms corresponding to nonsplit places $v \nmid p$ contribute. (We use the 'second' place of regular support to apply Proposition 10.4.4 to the 'first' one.) By (10.4.2) and Proposition 10.5.1, we then have

$$
\mathscr{J}\left(f^{p}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{v \nmid p \infty \\ \text { nonsplit }}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{\prime}\left(F_{0}\right)} \mathbf{1}_{V(v)}(\gamma) J_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma)}^{v p}\left(f^{v p}\right) \mathscr{J}_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma), v}\left(f_{v}\right) \cdot J_{\underline{\delta}(\gamma)}\left(f_{p, K_{p}, N} * f_{p, K_{p}, N}^{\vee}\right) .
$$

The asserted form of the geometric expansion then follows, via Lemma 3.5.6 and Lemma 5.2.11, from the definition of $d I_{\gamma, p, K_{p}^{\prime}}^{\text {ord }}$.

## Epilogue

## 11. Comparison of RTFs and proof of the main theorem

We compare the distributions $\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}$ and $\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}$ to deduce our main theorem. Throughout this section we assume:

- $F / F_{0}$ is unramified,
$-p>2 n$ if $n>1$,
- all places $v \mid 2 p$ of $F_{0}$ are split in $F$.
11.1. Comparison of relative-trace formulas. The comparison will be based on the following local result.

Theorem 11.1.1 ([Zha21, MZ, ZZh]). Let $v$ be an inert place of $F_{0}$ and assume that either of the following conditions on $K_{v} \subset G_{v}, K_{v}^{\prime} \subset G_{v}^{\prime}$ hold:
(1) $K_{v}$ is hyperspecial, and $K_{v}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, v}}\right)$;
(2) $K_{v}=K_{n, v} \times K_{n+1, v}$ is a vertex parahoric subgroup of type $(t, t)$ (cf. §9.3), and $K_{v}^{\prime}=$ $K_{n, v}^{\prime} \times K_{n+1, v}^{\prime}$ where $K_{\nu, v}^{\prime}$ is the stabilizer in $\mathrm{G}_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$ of both the vertex lattice defining $K_{\nu, v}$ and its dual lattice.

Suppose that $\gamma \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ matches an orbit $\delta=\underline{\delta}(\gamma) \in B_{\mathrm{rs}, v, V_{v}}$ for the hermitian pair $V_{v}$ with $\epsilon\left(V_{v}\right)=-1(c f .(1.3 .1))$. Then

$$
\mathscr{J}_{\delta, v}\left(e_{K_{v}}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma, v}\left(e_{K_{v}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Proof. By the definitions, the identity is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\delta \cdot \mathcal{N}_{n, v}, \mathcal{N}_{n, v}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}_{\gamma}\left(e_{K_{v}^{\prime}}\right) / \lambda\left(\varpi_{w}\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0} I_{\gamma, v}^{\mathbf{C}}\left(e_{K_{v}^{\prime}},|\cdot|_{F_{v}}^{-s}\right) /\left(-\log q_{v}^{2}\right) \tag{11.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $w$ is the place of $F$ above $v$, and the 'division' in the second term has the obvious meaning).
In the hyperspecial case, the identity (11.1.1) is the Arithmetic Fundamental Lemma conjecture proved in [Zha21, MZ]. In the vertex parahoric case, (11.1.1) (an instance of Arithmetic Transfer conjecture) is recently proved by Z. Zhang [ZZh].

There are two points where the formulation in those works appears different. First, they consider a version with derivatives of 'inhomogenous' orbital integrals; this is verified to be equivalent to the above homogeonous version as in [RSZ18, Proposition 14.1 (ii)]. Second, their identity apparently differs from ours by a sign -1 : the reason is that their orbital integral contains a transfer factor defined as in $\S 2.4$ ibid.; under our assumptions on $\gamma$ and $v$, that transfer factor (in its inhomogeneous version), evaluated at a preimage $\gamma^{\prime} \in G_{\mathrm{rs}, v}^{\prime}$ of $\gamma$, differs from our $\kappa_{v}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ by -1 .

We can now make the global comparison.
Theorem 11.1.2 (Comparison of RTFs). Let $S, K^{p}=\prod_{v \nmid p} K_{v}$, and

$$
f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}}^{\circ}
$$

be as in Theorem 10.5.3. Write $S=S^{\mathrm{spl}} \sqcup S^{\mathrm{in}}$ as a union of sets of split and inert places.
Let $K_{p}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0, p}}\right)$ and let $K^{\prime p}=\prod_{v \nmid p} K_{v}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right)$ be a compact open subgroup satisfying:

- for every $v \notin S, K_{v}^{\prime}=\mathrm{G}_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{F_{0}, v}\right)$ is hyperspecial;
- for every inert $v \in S, K_{v}^{\prime}=K_{n, v}^{\prime} \times K_{n+1, v}^{\prime}$ and $K_{\nu, v}^{\prime}$ is the stabilizer in $\mathrm{G}_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(F_{0, v}\right)$ of both the vertex lattice defining $K_{\nu, v}$ and its dual lattice.

Let

$$
f^{\prime p}=f^{\prime S p} \otimes f_{S^{\mathrm{spl}} \infty}^{\prime} \otimes f_{S^{\text {in }}}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K^{\prime p}-\mathrm{rs}, \mathrm{qc}}^{\circ}
$$

be a quasicuspidal, regularly supported Gaussian whose factors satisfy the following properties:
$-f^{\prime S^{\mathrm{spl}} p}=\otimes_{v} f_{v}^{\prime}$ with $f_{v}^{\prime}=e_{K_{v}^{\prime}} ;$
$-f_{S^{\text {spl }} \infty}^{\prime}$ matches $f_{S^{\mathrm{spl}} \infty}$;

Then $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$ and

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f_{p}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}\left(f_{p}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. We first show that $f^{p}$ and $f^{\prime p}$ match under the assumption. By our conditions and the Jacquet-Rallis Fundamental lemma (Proposition 3.5.4), $f S^{\text {in } p}$ and $f^{\prime S^{\mathrm{in}} p}$ match. The theorem of [ZZh] on transfer at vertex parahoric levels shows that $f_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime}$ match at the places in $S_{\text {in }}$ as well.

It follows that the function $f^{\prime p}$ is incoherent, hence $\mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime p}, \mathbf{1}\right)=0$ by Proposition 6.3.1.
Next we compare the geometric expansions of both sides of the desired equality, given by Theorem 10.5.3 and Proposition 6.3.1 (3) respectively. By the identity of Theorem 11.1.1, these are equal term by term. The proof is complete.

Remark 11.1.3. The theorem is implicitly using the result mentioned in Remark 4.2 .4 (cf. Remark 6.3.2).
11.2. Test Hecke measures. We find some $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ}, f^{\prime p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)^{\circ}$ to which the comparison may be applied, and that isolate a given pair of representations over $L$.

We will from now admit the following local hypothesis, which is a special case of a result expected to appear in [Dan].

Hypothesis 11.2.1. Let $v$ be an inert place of $F_{0}$ and let $\pi_{v}=\pi_{n, v} \boxtimes \pi_{n+1, v}$ be a representation of $G_{v}$ such that $\pi_{n, v}$ is either unramified or almost unramified, and $\pi_{n+1, v}$ is almost unramified. Let $f_{v}=e_{K_{v}}$ where $K_{v} \subset G_{v}$ is a vertex parahoric subgroup of type $(t, t)$. Then

$$
J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right) \neq 0
$$

Lemma 11.2.2. Let $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\mathrm{ord} \text { st }}(L)$ and let $\Pi=\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$. Assume that:

- for every place $v$ of $F_{0}$ that is split in $F / F_{0}$, at least one of $\pi_{n, v}$ and $\pi_{n+1, v}$ is unramified;
- for every place $v$ of $F_{0}$ that is inert in $F / F_{0}, \pi_{n, v}$ and $\pi_{n+1, v}$ are either unramified or almost unramified, and if $\pi_{n, v}$ is almost unramified then $\pi_{n+1, v}$ is also almost unramified.
Then there exist:
- a finite set $S$ of places of $F_{0}$, not above $p$ or $\infty$,
- open compact subgroups $K^{p}=\prod_{v \nmid p} K_{v} \subset \mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right)$ and $K^{\prime p}=\prod_{v \nmid p} K_{v}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p \infty}\right)$,
- Hecke measures $f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}, f^{p}:=f_{1}^{p} * f_{2}^{p, \vee} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}}^{\circ}$ and $f^{\prime p} \in \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{p}\right), L\right)_{K_{p}^{\prime} \text {-rs, qc }}^{\circ}$, such that:
- $\left(S, K^{p}, f_{1}^{p}, f_{2}^{p}, K^{\prime p}, f^{\prime p}\right)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 10.5 .3 and of Theorem 11.1.2;
$-M^{\oplus, *} . T\left(f_{i}^{p} e_{K_{p}}\right) \subset M_{\pi}^{K_{p}} ;$
$-\Pi^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime p} e_{K_{p}}\right)=0$ for every $\Pi \neq \Pi^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}$;
$-\otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\otimes_{v \nmid p} I_{\Pi, v}\left(f^{\prime p}\right) \neq 0$.
Proof. We construct $f_{1}, f_{2}^{p}, f^{\prime p}$ as products whose various factors take care of the required conditions.

Regularity of the supports. Let $S^{\mathrm{rs}}$ be a set consisting of two split places of $F_{0}$ at which $\Pi$ is an unramified regular principal series (cf. Lemma 4.3.3). For each $v \in S^{\text {rs }}$, we take $K_{v}^{\prime}$ to be the standard Iwahori subgroup, and $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{v}^{\prime}}$ a regularly supported element with $I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right) \neq 0$ as provided by Lemma 4.3.1 (3). Upon a choice of a basis of $V_{v}$, we have the matching $f_{v, 1} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}, L\right)_{K_{v}}$ for an Iwahori subgroup $K_{v} \subset G_{v}$; we put $f_{v, 2}:=e_{K_{v}}$. Thus $f_{v}=f_{v, 1} * f_{v, 2}^{\vee}=f_{v, 1}$ still matches $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and is regularly supported. For $i=1,2$, we put

$$
f_{S^{\mathrm{rs}}, i}=\otimes_{v \in S^{\mathrm{rs}}} f_{v, i}, \quad f_{S^{\mathrm{rs}}}^{\prime}=\otimes_{v \in S^{\mathrm{rs}}} f_{v}^{\prime}
$$

Choices at places of ramification. Let $S^{R}$ be the finite set of places $v \notin S^{\text {rs }} p \infty$ of $F_{0}$ where at least one of $\pi_{n, v}, \pi_{n+1, v}$ is ramified. Then for every split $v \in S^{R}$, we let $K_{v}=K_{n, v} \times K_{n+1, v}$ such that $\pi^{K_{v}} \neq 0$ and $K_{\nu, v}$ is hyperspecial if $\pi_{\nu, v}$ is unramified. Then we pick any $f_{v, 1}, f_{v, 2}, f_{v}:=$ $f_{v, 1} * f_{v, 2}^{\vee} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}, L\right)_{K_{v}}$ such that $J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right) \neq 0$. For every inert $v \in S^{R}$, we let $K_{v}$ be the vertex parahoric subgroup such that $\pi_{v}^{K_{v}} \neq 0$ and we let $f_{v}=e_{K_{v}}$. The non-vanishing $J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right) \neq 0$ is well-known in the hyperspecial case, and it is Hypothesis 11.2 .1 in the remaining vertex parahoric case. We put $f_{S^{R}, i}=\otimes_{v \in S^{R}} f_{v, i}$ and we let $f_{S^{R}}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{S^{R}}^{\prime}\right)$ be the element matching $f_{S^{R}}$.

Isolation of $\pi$ and $\Pi$. Now take $S=S^{R} \cup S^{\text {rs }}$. For $v \notin S p$, we let $K_{v}, K_{v}^{\prime}$ be hyperspecial, and form $K=\prod_{v} K_{v}, K^{\prime}=\prod_{v} K_{v}^{\prime}$. Consider the split Hecke algebras

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{spl}}:=\bigotimes_{\substack{v \nmid S p \\
\text { split }}} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}, L\right)_{K_{v}} & \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S p}, L\right)_{K^{S}}^{\circ}\right. \\
\mathbb{T}^{\prime}=\mathbb{T}^{\prime \mathrm{spl}}:=\bigotimes_{\substack{v \not S p \\
\text { split }}} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{v}^{\prime}, L\right)_{K_{v}^{\prime}} \otimes_{L} \mathscr{H}\left(G_{\infty}^{\prime}, L\right)^{\circ} & \subset \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S p}, L\right)_{K^{\prime S p}}^{\circ}\right.
\end{array}
$$

Let $f_{\pi, 1}=f_{\pi, 2} \in \mathbb{T}$ be an element acting as the idempotent projection from $M_{K}^{\oplus, *}$ onto $M_{\pi}^{K}$, which exists by Lemma ?? (for $\Sigma$ the finite set of representations occurring in $M_{K}^{\oplus, *}$ ). Let $f_{\pi}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ be an element supported at the finite places and matching $f_{\pi}:=f_{\pi, 1} * f_{\pi, 2}^{\vee}$.

Let $f_{\Pi}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ be an element such that $\Pi\left(f_{\Pi}^{\prime}\right)=1$ and for each $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}, R\left(f_{\Pi}^{\prime \iota}\right)$ sends $\mathscr{A}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)^{K}$ into $\Pi^{\iota, K}$, which exists by Proposition 4.3.2; let $f_{\Pi, 1} \in \mathbb{T}$ be a matching element and let $f_{\Pi, 2}$ be the unit of $\mathbb{T}$.

Annihilation of absolute cohomology. For every place $v \in S$, by the vanishing Theorem 9.4.1 (1) (applied to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ corresponding to the eigensystem attached to $\pi$ ), there exists $f_{\{v\}, 1}=f_{\{v\}, 2} \in \mathbb{T}$ which annihilates $H^{2 n}\left(\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}, L(n)\right)$ (for $\mathscr{X}_{u}^{\prime}$ as in $\S 10.4 .2$ ), and acts by a non-zero scalar on the line $\bigotimes_{\substack{v \nmid S p \\ \text { split }}} \pi_{v}^{K_{v}}$. Let $f_{\{v\}}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}^{\prime}$ be an element supported at the finite places and matching $f_{\{v\}}:=f_{\{v\}, 1} * f_{\{v\}, 2}^{v}$.

Assembly. For $i=1,2, \emptyset$, we define

$$
f_{i}^{S p}=f_{\pi, i} f_{\Pi, i} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} f_{\{v\}, i} \in \mathbb{T}, \quad f^{\prime S p}=f_{\pi}^{\prime} f_{\Pi}^{\prime} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} f_{\{v\}} \in \mathbb{T}^{\prime}
$$

viewed naturally as elements in $\mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S p}\right), L\right)_{K^{S p}}^{\circ}, \mathscr{H}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}^{S p}\right), L\right)_{K^{\prime S p}}^{\circ}$. Then we define

$$
f_{i}^{p}=f_{S, i} f_{i}^{S p}, \quad f^{\prime p}=f_{S}^{\prime} f^{\prime S p}
$$

Then it is easy to see that, by construction, $f_{i}^{p}$ satisfies the required conditions. To check the condition on spherical characters, we use

$$
\otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\otimes_{v \notin S p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{S p}\right) \prod_{v \in S} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right) .
$$

The product over $v \in S$ does not vanish by construction; the first factor is the product of $\otimes_{v \notin S p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(e_{K^{S p}}\right) \neq 0$ and of the eigenvalue of $f^{S p}$ acting on the line $\pi^{K^{S p}}$, which is a non-zero scalar.
11.3. Proof of the main theorem. We first reduce the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\pi}\left(Z_{\pi}(\phi), Z_{\pi \vee}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right)=e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right) \tag{11.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Theorem D to the factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right) . \tag{11.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 11.3.1. Let $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}$, and let $\Pi=\mathrm{BC}(\pi), L=\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\pi)$. The following are equivalent:
(1) For every $\phi \in \pi, \phi^{\prime} \in \pi^{\vee}$, the identity (11.3.1) holds.
(2) For some $\phi \in \pi, \phi^{\prime} \in \pi^{\vee}$ such that $\alpha\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, the identity (11.3.1) holds.
(3) For every $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)^{\circ}$, the factorization (11.3.2) holds.
(4) For some $f^{p} \in \mathscr{H}(\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{A}), L)^{\circ}$ such that $\otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right) \neq 0$, the factorization (11.3.2) holds.

Proof. It is trivial that (1) implies (2), and (3) implies (4). The two converse implications follow from multiplicity one and the nonvanishing of $\alpha$.

We prove that (3) is equivalent to (1). It is clear that (1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{(,)_{\pi}}^{h \circ Z_{\pi} \boxtimes Z_{\pi} \vee}(\tau)=e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}_{(,) \pi}^{\alpha}(\tau) \tag{11.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\tau \in \operatorname{End}(\pi)$, and equivalently for some $\tau$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{(,)_{\pi}}^{\alpha}(\tau) \neq 0$. Thus it is enough to show that (11.3.2) is equivalent to (11.3.3) for some such $\tau$.

Choose a factorization $(,)_{\pi}=(,)_{\pi^{p}}(,)_{\pi_{p}}$. For any $N \geq 1$, let $f_{p, K_{p}, N}:=(10.3 .4) \in \mathscr{H}\left(G_{p}, L\right)$, let $f_{p, K_{p}, N}^{*}:=f_{p, K_{p}, N} * f_{p, K_{p}, N}^{\vee}$, and for $? \in\{\emptyset, \vee\}$, let

$$
\pi_{p}^{?}\left(f_{p, K_{p}}\right):=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{p}^{?}\left(f_{p, K_{p}, N}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\pi_{p}\right)
$$

(This does not depend on the integer $1 \leq r \leq N$ ! implicit in (10.3.4).) Let

$$
\pi_{p}\left(f_{p, K_{p}}^{*}\right):=\pi_{p}\left(f_{p, K_{p}}\right) \circ\left(\pi_{p}^{\vee}\left(f_{0, p, K_{p}}\right)\right)^{\vee},
$$

where $(-)^{\vee}$ denotes the transpose with respect to $(,)_{\pi_{p}}$. Then by the definition in $\S 10.3 .3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{(,)_{\pi}}^{h \circ Z_{\pi} \boxtimes Z_{\pi} \vee}\left(\pi^{p}\left(f^{p}\right) \pi_{p}\left(f_{p, K_{p}}^{*}\right)\right)=\mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right) \tag{11.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is clear from the definitions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{(,)_{\pi}}^{\alpha}\left(\pi^{p}\left(f^{p}\right) \pi_{p}\left(f_{p, K_{p}}^{*}\right)\right)=\otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right) \cdot \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{\pi_{p}}\left(f_{p, K_{p}, N}^{*}\right) \tag{11.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by Lemma 3.5.6, $f_{p, K_{p}, N}^{*}$ matches the function $f_{p, K_{p}^{\prime}, N}^{\prime}$ attached to $U_{t_{p}}^{N!}$ as in Lemma 5.2.11. By the definitions and Corollary 5.2.10, we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{\pi_{p}}\left(f_{p, K_{p}, N}^{*}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} I_{\Pi_{p}}\left(f_{p, K_{p}^{\prime}, N}^{\prime}\right)=e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \tag{11.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that $e_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right)$ is the product of the factors $e\left(\Pi_{v}, \mathbf{1}_{v}\right)$ of (5.2.9).) Thus by (11.3.4), (11.3.5), (11.3.6), the identity (11.3.2) for $f^{p}$ is equivalent to (11.3.3) for $\tau=\pi^{p}\left(f^{p}\right) \pi_{p}\left(f_{p, K_{p}}^{*}\right)$. This completes the proof.

We may now prove Theorem D based on the comparison of relative-trace formulas in Theorem 11.1.2. i have edited the proof using the lemma

Proof of Theorem D. By Lemma 11.3.1, it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right) \tag{11.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $f^{p}$ such that $\otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right) \neq 0$.
Let $S, K^{p}, f^{p}, f^{\prime p}$ be as in Lemma 11.2.2. By construction, $\otimes_{v \nmid p} J_{\pi_{v}}\left(f^{p}\right) \neq 0$, the elements $f^{p}$ and $f^{\prime p}$ match (geometrically), and Theorem 11.1.2 is applicable and it gives

$$
\mathscr{J}_{K_{p}}\left(f_{p}\right)=\partial \mathscr{I}_{K_{p}^{\prime}}\left(f_{p}^{\prime}\right)
$$

By Theorem 10.5.3 and Proposition 6.3.1 (2), we have an equality of spectral expansions

$$
\sum_{\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\mathrm{H} \backslash \mathrm{G})_{K_{p}}^{\text {ord }}} \mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\sum_{\Pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\right)_{K_{p}}^{\text {her.ord }, V}} \partial \mathscr{I}_{\Pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right),
$$

but by construction only the terms corresponding to $\pi$ and $\Pi$ may be nonzero. We deduce that

$$
\mathscr{J}_{\pi, K_{p}}\left(f^{p}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \partial \mathscr{L}_{p}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Pi}\right) \cdot \otimes_{v \nmid p} I_{\Pi_{v}}\left(f^{\prime p}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to the desired factorization (11.3.7) by the (spectral) matching of $f^{p}$ and $f^{\prime p}$.

Proof of Theorem C. The main implication follows immediately from Theorem D, choosing the unique distinguished $\pi$ such that $\Pi=\mathrm{BC}(\pi)$. The strengthened implication then follows from $\left[\right.$ LTX $\left.^{+} 22\right]$ as observed in Remark 1.3.2.

## Appendix A. $p$-adic Abel-Jacobi maps and $p$-adic heights

We summarize the definitions and results we need from the theory of $p$-adic heights. For more details or more general setups, see Nekovář's original paper [Nek93] and [DL, Appendix A]; our constructions follow the sign conventions of the latter reference. Nothing in this appendix is new.

We denote by $L$ a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and by $\Gamma$ a finite-dimensional $L$-vector space.
A.1. $p$-adic Abel-Jacobi maps and biextensions. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic different from $p$, and let $X$ be a smooth projective scheme over $F$ of pure dimension $m-1 \geq 1$. We denote by $\mathrm{Z}^{\bullet}(X)_{R}$ the module of $\bullet$-dimensional algebraic cycles with coefficients in a ring $R$ (omitted
from the notation when $R=\mathbf{Z})$, and by $\mathrm{Ch}^{\bullet}(X)_{R}=\mathrm{Z}^{\bullet}(X)_{R} /($ rational equivalence) the Chow $R$-module. We denote $H^{i}(F,-)=H_{\text {cont }}^{i}\left(G_{F},-\right)$.
A.1.1. p-adic Abel-Jcobi maps. Let $0 \leq d \leq m$ and consider the absolute étale cohomology $H^{2 d}(X, L(d))$. By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, it has a filtration Fil ${ }^{\bullet}$ with

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(F, H^{2 d-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{2 d}(X, L(d)) / \operatorname{Fil}^{2} \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(F, H^{2 d}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

We denote by $\overline{\mathrm{cl}}: \mathrm{Z}^{d}(X)_{L} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(G_{F}, H^{2 d}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)\right.$ the geometric cycle class map, by $\mathrm{Z}^{d}(X)_{L}^{0}$ its kernel, and we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\tilde{\mathrm{cl}}: \mathrm{Z}_{d}(X)_{L} \longrightarrow H^{2 d}(X, L(d))\right) / \mathrm{Fil}^{2}, \\
& \mathrm{cl}: \mathrm{Z}_{d}(X)_{L}^{0} \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(F, H^{2 d-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be the absolute cycle class map and the Abel-Jacobi map, respectively. The maps $\overline{\mathrm{cl}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{cl}}$ factor through the Chow group $\mathrm{Ch}^{d}(X)$, and the map cl factors through the image $\mathrm{Ch}^{d}(X)^{0} \subset \mathrm{Ch}^{d}(X)$ of $Z^{d}(X)^{0}$.

If $M \subset H^{2 d-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)$ is a $G_{F}$-stable subspace, we denote by

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{d}(X)_{L}^{0}, \quad \operatorname{Ch}_{M}^{d}(X)_{L}^{0}
$$

the preimages in $\mathrm{Z}^{d}(X)_{L}^{0}, \mathrm{Ch}^{d}(X)_{L}^{0}$ of $H^{1}(F, M) \subset H^{1}\left(F, H^{2 d-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)\right)$ under the AbelJacobi map.

Suppose that $F$ is non-archimedean of residue characteristic $\ell$. We will consider subspaces $M$ satisfying the condition:
(1) if $\ell \neq p: H^{1}(F, M)=0$;
(2) if $\ell=p: H_{\mathrm{st}}^{1}(F, M)=H_{f}^{1}(F, M)$.

Remark A.1.1. Since by [NN' 16, Theorem B] the map cl takes values in the subspace

$$
H_{\mathrm{st}}^{1}\left(F, H^{2 d-1-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)\right),
$$

the conditions above imply that

$$
\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{M}^{d}(X)_{L}^{0}\right) \subset H_{f}^{1}(F, M)
$$

If $M$ is pure of weight -1 (as is implied for all $M \subset H^{2 d-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(d)\right)$ by the weight-monodromy conjecture), then the relevant one among the conditions above is satisfied.
A.1.2. Biextensions from algebraic cycles. Let $d_{1}, d_{2} \geq 0$ be integers with $d_{1}+d_{2}=m$, and let

$$
Z_{1} \in \mathrm{Z}^{d_{1}}(X)_{L}^{0}, \quad Z_{2} \in \mathrm{Z}^{d_{2}}(X)_{L}^{0}
$$

be cycles with disjoint supports. Let $M_{i}:=H^{2 d_{i}-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$. To each $Z_{i}$ is associated an extension of $L\left[G_{F}\right]$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{i} \rightarrow E_{i} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0
$$

whose class in $H^{1}\left(F, M_{i}\right)$ is the $p$-adic Abel-Jacobi image $\operatorname{cl}\left(Z_{i}\right)$. A further geometric construction yields the biextension $E_{1}^{2}=E_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{2}}$ fitting in the following exact diagram

where $M_{1}=M_{2}^{*}(1)$ via Poincaré duality, and $E^{2}:=E_{2}^{*}(1)$. We denote its class by $\left[E_{1}^{2}\right] \in$ $H^{1}\left(F, E^{2}\right)$.
A.2. Height pairings. We collect some definitions and properties of local and global height pairings.
A.2.1. Local height pairings of algebraic cycles. Suppose that $F$ is non-archimedean of residue characteristic $\ell$. Let $\lambda: F^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L \rightarrow \Gamma$ be an $L$-linear map.

For $i=1,2$ let $M_{i} \subset H^{2 d_{i}-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$ be $L\left[G_{F}\right]$-submodules, and denote still by $\langle\rangle:, M_{1} \otimes_{L}$ $M_{2} \rightarrow L(1)$ the restriction of the Poincaré pairing

$$
\langle,\rangle: H^{2 d_{1}-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L\left(d_{1}\right)\right) \otimes_{L} H^{2 d_{2}-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L\left(d_{2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{u} H^{2 m-2}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L(m)\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}} L(1),
$$

where the map $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the sum of the trace maps for the connected components of $X$. Assume that $M_{1}, M_{2}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(1) $\langle\rangle:, M_{1} \otimes_{L} M_{2} \rightarrow L(1)$ is a perfect pairing;
(2) if $\ell \neq p$, we have $H^{0}\left(F, M_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1,2$; this implies condition (1) for $M_{1}, M_{2}$ in § A.1.1, and is implied by the condition that $M_{i}$ is pure of weight -1 ;
(3) if $\ell=p$ :

- $M_{i}$ is crystalline with $\mathrm{D}_{\text {crys }}\left(M_{i}\right)^{\varphi=1}=0$ for $i=1,2$; this implies condition (2) for $M_{1}, M_{2}$ in § A.1.1, and is implied by the condition that $M_{i}$ is crystalline and pure of weight -1 ;
- the Panchishkin condition: there is a (necessarily unique) extension of crystalline representations

$$
0 \rightarrow M_{i}^{+} \rightarrow M_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i}^{-} \rightarrow 0
$$

such that $\operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}^{+}\right)=\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}^{-}\right) / \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}^{-}\right)=0$; this implies that the natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}^{+}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}\right) \tag{A.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a splitting of the Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(M_{i}\right)$.

Assume that $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{Z}_{M_{1}}^{d_{1}}(X)^{0}, Z_{2} \in \mathrm{Z}_{M_{2}}^{d_{2}}(X)^{0}$. Then the biextension class $\left[E_{Z_{1}}^{Z_{2}}\right]$ belongs to the preimage $H_{M_{1}-f}^{1}\left(F, E^{2}\right) \subset H^{1}\left(F, E^{2}\right)$ of $H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{1}\right)$ under the natural map $H_{f}^{1}\left(F, E^{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(F, M_{1}\right)$. This group sits in the (pushout) diagram of exact sequences ${ }^{20}$

admitting canonical splittings $\sigma, \sigma_{f}$. These are obvious if $\ell \neq p$, as then $H^{1}\left(F, M_{1}\right)=0$; for $\ell=p$, they are induced by (A.2.1) (see [Nek93, §4]). Morevoer, the Kummer map identifies $H^{1}(F, L(1)) \cong F^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L(1)$.

Definition A.2.1. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ be as above. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{X, \lambda}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right):=\lambda \circ \sigma\left(\left[E_{1}^{2}\right]\right) \in \Gamma . \tag{A.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark A.2.2. Since the conditions on the pair $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ are stable under subobejcts and extensions (see [DL, Lemma A.10] for extensions when $\ell=p$ ), there is a maximal pair satisfying those; in particular we may omit ( $M_{1}, M_{2}$ ) from the notation.

Remark A.2.3. If $\ell=p$, it follows from the previous discussion that $\sigma\left(\left[E_{1}^{2}\right]\right) \in \mathscr{O}_{F}^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L \subset F^{\times} \hat{\otimes} L$ if and only if $\left[E_{1}^{2}\right]$ is crystalline (that is, belongs to $H_{f}^{1}\left(F, E^{2}\right)$ ).

Lemma A.2.4 (Base change). Consider the setup of Definition A.2.1.
(1) Let $F^{\prime} / F$ be a finite extension, and let $\lambda^{\prime}:=\lambda \circ \mathrm{N}_{F^{\prime} / F}$. Then for any $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{Z}_{M_{1}}^{d_{1}}(X)^{0}$, $Z_{2}^{\prime} \in Z_{M_{2}}^{d_{2}}\left(X_{F^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$,

$$
h_{X_{F}, \lambda}\left(Z_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{F^{\prime} / F} Z_{2}^{\prime}\right)=h_{X_{F^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}}}\left(Z_{1, F^{\prime}}, Z_{2}\right) .
$$

(2) Let $u: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a finite étale morphism, and let $Z_{1} \in \mathrm{Z}_{M_{1}}^{d_{1}}(X)^{0}, Z_{2} \in \mathrm{Z}_{M_{2}}^{d_{2}}(X)^{0}$. Denote by $Z_{i}^{\prime}$ the pullback of $Z_{i}$ to $X^{\prime}$. Assume $\ell \neq p$. Then

$$
h_{X, \lambda}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg} u} h_{X^{\prime}, \lambda}\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{2}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Proof. Part (1) is [Nek95, (II.1.9.1)]. Part (2) follows from [LL21, Lemma B.3] and [DL, Theorem A.4].
A.2.2. Global height pairings for Selmer groups. Let now $F$ be number field and $\lambda: \Gamma_{F, L} \rightarrow \Gamma$ be an $L$-linear map.

Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be $L$-vector spaces endowed with continuous $G_{F}$-representations that are unramfied at all but finitely many places of $F$, and de Rham at all the $p$-adic places. Assume moreover that $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are endowed with a perfect $G_{F}$-equivariant pairing $\langle\rangle:, M_{1} \otimes_{L} M_{2} \rightarrow L(1)$, and that for each $i$ and each finite place $w$ of $F$, the representation $M_{i}$ restricted to $G_{F_{w}}$ satisfies the conditions (2), (3) of § A.2.1.

[^16]Under these conditions, ${ }^{21}$ Nekovář [Nek93] defined a bilinear height pairing on the Bloch-Kato Selmer groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{M_{1}, \lambda}: H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{1}\right) \otimes_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma \tag{A.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. For $i=1,2$ pick representatives $E_{i}$ of the extension classes $\left[E_{i}\right] \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{i}\right)$, and let $E_{1}^{2}$ be a biextension fitting in a diagram (A.1.1) of $G_{F}$-representations. For each place $w$ of $F$, one can then define $h_{w}^{E_{1}^{2}}\left(\left[E_{1}\right],\left[E_{2}\right)\right.$ by the right-hand side of (A.2.3) (where everything is viewed as a representation of $G_{w}$ ); the sum

$$
h\left(\left[E_{1}\right],\left[E_{2}\right]\right):=\sum_{w} h_{w}^{E_{1}^{2}}\left(\left[E_{1}\right],\left[E_{2}\right)\right.
$$

does not depend on the choice of $E_{1}^{2}$.
Lemma A. 2.5 (Projection formula). Let $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be as above. Let $\phi: M_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow M_{1}$ be a map of $G_{F}$-representations, and let $\phi^{*}(1): M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\prime}$ be the dual map. Let $\left[E_{1}^{\prime}\right] \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left[E_{2}\right] \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, M_{2}\right)$. Denote by $E_{2}^{\prime}:=\phi^{*}(1)_{*} E_{2}, E_{1}:=\phi_{*} E_{1}^{\prime}$ the pushouts. Then

$$
h_{M_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\left[E_{1}^{\prime}\right],\left[E_{2}^{\prime}\right]\right)=h_{M_{1}}\left(\left[E_{1}\right],\left[E_{2}\right]\right)
$$

Proof. Let $E^{\prime} \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, E^{2 \prime}\right)$ be a biextension (as in (A.1.1)) of $E_{1}^{\prime}$ and $E^{2 \prime}:=\phi^{*}\left(E_{2}^{*}(1)\right)=E_{2}^{* *}(1)$. The map $\phi: M_{2}^{\prime *}(1) \cong M_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow M_{1} \cong M_{2}^{*}(1)$ induces by pullback a map $\phi: E^{2 \prime} \rightarrow E^{2}$. Then a diagram chase shows that $\phi_{*} E^{\prime} \in H_{f}^{1}\left(F, E^{2}\right)$ is a biextension of $E_{1}$ and $E^{2}$.
A.2.3. Decomposition in the case of algebraic cycles. Let $X$ be a proper smooth scheme over $F$ of dimension $m-1$, and suppose that $M_{i} \subset H^{2 d_{i}-1}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, L\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$ are $L\left[G_{F}\right]$-submodules satisfying the above conditions with respect to a pairing $\langle$,$\rangle that is the restriction of the Poincaré pairing.$ We then denote $h_{X, \lambda}:=h_{M_{1}, M_{2}, \lambda}$, for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{X, \lambda}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(Z_{1}\right), \operatorname{cl}\left(Z_{2}\right)\right)=\sum_{w \nmid \infty} h_{X_{w}, \lambda_{w}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right), \tag{A.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over all the non-archimedean places of $F$, and $X_{w}:=X_{F_{w}}, \lambda_{w}:=\lambda_{\mid F_{w} \times \hat{\otimes} L}$.
A.3. Relation to arithmetic intersection theory. We collect two results relating local heights away from $p$, and the crystalline property of biextensions at $p$, with arithmetic intersections. We start with some preliminaries. For more details on the background, see [LL21, Appendix B] and references therein.
A.3.1. Extensions of algebraic cycles. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a regular scheme; for a closed subset $\mathscr{Y}$ (omitted from the notation if $\mathscr{Y}=\mathscr{X})$ we denote by $K_{0}^{\mathscr{Y}}(\mathscr{X})$ the $K$-group of complexes of coherent sheaves on $\mathscr{X}$ with cohomology supported in $\mathscr{Y}$. We denote by $\mathrm{F}^{\bullet}$ the filtration on $K_{0}^{\mathscr{y}}(\mathscr{X})$ by the codimension of support. We have an $L$-linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: \mathrm{Z}^{d}(\mathscr{X})_{L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F}^{\bullet} K_{0}(\mathscr{X})_{L} \tag{A.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that if $\mathscr{Z} \subset \mathscr{X}$ is an integral subscheme, then $\kappa([\mathscr{Z}])=\left[\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Z}}\right]$.

[^17]Let now $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field and denote by $k$ its residue field. Assume that the regular scheme $\mathscr{X}$ is endowed with a projective and flat map $\pi: \mathscr{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{F}$, and denote by $X$ and $\mathscr{X}_{k}$ respectively the generic and special fibre of $\mathscr{X}$.

Definition A.3.1. Let $Z \in Z^{d}(X)_{L}$, and denote by $|Z| \subset X$ its support. We say that an element

$$
\mathscr{Z} \in \mathrm{F}^{d} K_{0}^{\mathscr{X}_{k} \cup \backslash \mid}(\mathscr{X})_{L} \subset \mathrm{~F}^{d} K_{0}(\mathscr{X})_{L}
$$

is an extension of $Z$ if $\mathscr{Z}_{\left.\right|_{X}} \in \mathrm{~F}^{d} K_{0}(X)_{L}$ coincides with $\kappa(Z)$.
A.3.2. Intersection pairing. Suppose that $X$ has dimension $m-1 \geq 1$. For a pair of integers $d_{1}, d_{2} \geq 0$ with $d_{1}+d_{2}=m$, and cycles $\mathscr{Z}_{i} \in \mathrm{~F}^{d_{i}} K_{0}(\mathscr{X})$ with $\left|\mathscr{Z}_{1}\right| \cap\left|\mathscr{Z}_{2}\right| \subset\left|\mathscr{X}_{k}\right|$, we define their intersection by

$$
\left(\mathscr{Z}_{1} \cdot \mathscr{Z}_{2}\right):=\chi\left(\pi_{*}\left(\mathscr{Z}_{1} \cup \mathscr{Z}_{2}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
\cup: \mathrm{F}^{d_{1}} K_{0}^{\left|\mathscr{Z}_{1}\right|}(\mathscr{X}) \otimes \mathrm{F}^{d_{2}} K_{0}^{\left|\mathscr{L}_{2}\right|}(\mathscr{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{F}^{m} K_{0}^{\mathscr{X}_{k}}(\mathscr{X})
$$

is the cup product, and $\chi: K_{0}(\operatorname{Spec} k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the Euler characteristic. The definition is extended linearly to cycles with coefficients in $L$.
A.3.3. Arithmetic intersections and the crystalline property at $p$. Consider the setup of § A.2.1 with $\ell=p$.

Proposition A.3.2. Assume that $p>m$ or $m=2$, and that $X$ admits a proper smooth model $\mathscr{X} / \mathscr{O}_{F}$. If the supports of the Zariski closures $\mathscr{Z}_{1}, \mathscr{Z}_{2}$ of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ in $\mathscr{X}$ are disjoint, then the biextension $\left[E_{1}^{2}\right]$ is crystalline.

Proof. If $p>m$, this is a special case of [DL, Theorem A.6]. If $m=2$, this is a special case of [Dis17, Proposition 4.3.1].
A.3.4. Arithmetic intersections and local heights away from $p$. We start with a preliminary definition following [LL21, Appendix B].

Definition A.3.3. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a scheme. A correspondence on $\mathscr{X}$ is a diagram of finite morphisms

it is said to be étale if both morphisms are étale. Correspondences on $\mathscr{X}$ form a monoidal category under composition. We denote by $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathscr{X})_{L}$ (respectively ÉtCorr $\left.(\mathscr{X})_{L}\right)$ the $L$-algebra generated by isomorphism classes of correspondences (respectively étale correspondences) on $\mathscr{X}$. It acts (on the right) on cycles and cohomology of $\mathscr{X}$ by pullback and pushforward.

A commutative L-algebra of étale correspondences on $\mathscr{X}$ is a commutative $L$-algebra $\mathbb{T}$ equipped with a homomorphism $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \operatorname{ÉtCorr}(\mathscr{X})_{L}$.

Consider now the setup of $\S$ A. 2.1 with $\ell \neq p$.

Proposition A.3.4. Assume that $m=2 n$ and $d_{1}=d_{2}=n$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \operatorname{ÉtCorr}(\mathscr{X})_{L}$, and assume that $Z_{1} \cdot T_{1}$ and $Z_{2} \cdot T_{2}$ have disjoint supports. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a regular flat projective scheme over $\mathscr{O}_{F}$ with generic fibre $X$, and let $\mathscr{Z}_{i} \in \mathrm{~F}^{d_{i}} K_{0}(\mathscr{X})$ be an extension of $Z_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $\mathscr{X}$ is smooth over $\mathscr{O}_{F}, \mathscr{Z}_{i}$ is (the image under $\kappa=(\mathrm{A} .3 .1)$ of) the Zariski closure of $Z_{i}$, and $T_{i}=\mathrm{id} ;$
(2) $T_{1}, T_{2}$ annihilate $H^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}, L(n))$.

Then

$$
h_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{Z}_{1} \cdot T_{1}, \mathscr{Z}_{2} \cdot T_{2}\right)=-\left(\left(\mathscr{Z}_{1} \cdot T_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\mathscr{Z}_{2} \cdot T_{2}\right)\right) \lambda(\varpi)
$$

where $\varpi \in F^{\times}$is a uniformizer.
Proof. In case (1), this is a special case of [LL21, Proposition B.10] combined with [DL, Theorem A.4, Remark A.5]. In case (2), this is [LL21, Proposition B.13] combined with [DL, Theorem A.4, Remark A.5].
A.3.5. Correspondences that annihilate the cohomology. To find a correspondence satisfying condition (2) of the previous proposition, we recall some results from [LL21, LL22]. We assume $\mathscr{X}$ to be a regular scheme, proper and flat over a $p$-adic integer ring of relative dimension $2 n-1$ (not necessarily strictly semistable), and whose generic fiber $X$ is smooth. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a commutative $L$-algebra of étale correspondences on $\mathscr{X}$ with a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $Y$ denote the reduced special fiber of $\mathscr{X}$. Assume that there is a stratification $Y=Y^{[m]} \supset \cdots \supset Y^{[0]}$ by closed subschemes and, for each $0 \leq i \leq d$, a refinement of $Y^{(i)}:=Y^{[i]} \backslash Y^{[i-1]}$ as a disjoint union of open and closed subschemes of $Y^{(i)}$ of pure dimension $d_{i}$ :

$$
Y^{(i)}=\coprod_{M \in \mathfrak{S}^{i}} Y^{(M)},
$$

over a finite set of indices $\mathfrak{S}^{i}$, such that
(1) For every $i$ and $M \in \mathfrak{S}^{i}$, denoting by $Y^{[M]}$ the Zariski closure of $Y^{(M)}$, then $Y^{[M]}$ is smooth and is a disjoint union $\coprod_{M^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{M}} Y^{\left(M^{\prime}\right)}$ where $\mathfrak{S}_{M}$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{S}:=\coprod \mathfrak{S}^{(i)}$;
(2) For every $i$ and $M \in \mathfrak{S}^{i}$, the scheme $Y^{(M)}$ is stable under the action of $\mathbb{T}$.

Theorem A.3.5 (Li-Liu). Under the above assumptions, if we further assume either of the following two holds
(1) $H^{j}\left(Y^{[M]} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ whenever $j \neq \operatorname{dim} Y^{[M]}$ for every $M \in \mathfrak{S}$.
(2) $H^{2 n}(X, L(n))_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ and $H^{j}\left(Y^{(i)} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ whenever $j \leq \operatorname{dim} Y^{(i)}-\operatorname{codim}_{\mathscr{X}} Y^{(i)}$ for every $i$.
then $H^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}, L(n))_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ holds.
Proof. Case (1): The vanishing assumption $H^{j}\left(Y^{[M]} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ is the assertion of [LL22, Prop. 4.25]. The proof of [LL22, Theorem 4.21] applies verbatim to show that the assumptions imply the desired vanishing $H^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}, L(n))_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$.

Case (2): This is [LL21, Corollary B.15]. We sketch their proof.

By the assumption $H^{2 n}(X, L(n))_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ and the exact sequence

$$
H_{Y}^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}) \longrightarrow H^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}) \longrightarrow H^{2 n}(X)
$$

it suffices to show $H_{Y}^{2 n}(\mathscr{X})_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$. This follows from an induction using

- the exact sequences

$$
H_{Y_{j+1}}^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}) \longrightarrow H_{Y_{j}}^{2 n}(\mathscr{X}) \longrightarrow H_{Y_{j}^{\circ}}^{2 n}\left(\mathscr{X} \backslash Y_{j+1}\right)
$$

- the absolute purity theorem of Gabber $H_{Y_{j}^{\circ}}^{2 n}\left(\mathscr{X} \backslash Y_{j+1}\right) \simeq H^{2 n-2 n_{j}}\left(Y_{j}^{\circ}\right)$ for the regular local immersion $Y_{j}^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X} \backslash Y_{j+1}$ of codimension $n_{j}$,
- the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $H^{r}\left(k, H^{s}\left(Y_{j}^{\circ} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}\right)(n)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{r+s}\left(Y_{j}^{\circ}\right)(n)$. In particular, it suffices to replace (3) by a weaker assumption $H^{2 n-2 n_{j}}\left(Y_{j}^{\circ} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=H^{2 n-2 n_{j}-1}\left(Y_{j}^{\circ} \otimes_{k}\right.$ $\bar{k}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ (namely $H^{d_{Y_{j}}-c_{Y_{j}}-i}\left(Y_{j}^{\circ} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, L\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for $i=0,1$ where $d_{Y_{j}}$ and $c_{Y_{j}}$ denote respectively the dimension of $Y_{j}$ and the codimension of $Y_{j}$ in $\mathscr{X}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Words borrowed from [Wei40].
    ${ }^{2}$ The analogous conjecture for orthogonal groups is also known for 1-cycles in threefolds [YZZ].

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Throughout the introduction (but differently from the rest of the paper) $L$-functions do not include archimedean factors.
    ${ }^{4}$ See $[G G P 12, \S 7]$ for the definition of $L\left(s, \Pi_{n}, \mathrm{As}^{ \pm}\right)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ If $\pi$ is only assumed to be tempered but not stable, we can still define $Z_{\pi}$ with values in the Selmer group of a certain Galois representation (see $\S 10.2 .3$ ).

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ That is, tempered at all finite places.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ Our definition of $P_{2, v}$ differs form the one of [Zha14b] by the factor $\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta, \psi\right)\binom{n+1}{2}$, cf. §3.5.1 below.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ Strictly speaking only $\chi_{v}=\mathbf{1}_{v}$ is considered in [Zha14b], but the definition remains valid in our more general case too. When this is again the case in the rest of the paper, we will simply cite [Zha14b] without repeating this remark.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ To compare the last factor in (3.5.1) with [Zha14b], recall that $\omega_{\Pi_{v}}(z)=\omega_{\pi_{v}}\left(z / z^{c}\right)$, so that $\omega_{\pi_{v}}(-1)=\omega_{\Pi_{v}}(\tau)$. The absence of the factor $\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta_{v}, \psi\right){ }^{\left({ }_{2}+1\right.}\right)$, which cancels out its presence in our local Flicker-Rallis period $P_{2, \Pi_{v}}$, is helpful in Lemma 4.1.1.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ With respect to the notation of loc. cit., we omit the central character $\omega$, which in our setup is necessarily trivial.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ Requiring this condition may not be entirely natural but will be convenient.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ For their history, see [Jan] and references therein.

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ In fact, at least if $K$ is an Iwahori subgroup or one of the subgroups (5.1.2) with $r=c \geq 1$, the weaker condition $s \geq c$ will suffice; this is only used in the application of Lemma 5.1.2 (3) in the proof of Lemma 7.1.1.

[^12]:    ${ }^{16}$ Note that in $\S 2.1 .3$ we have assumed the special vector has norm 1 . For the general discussion of the geometry of Shimura varieties with parahoric levels, it is more convenient to relax this condition.

[^13]:    ${ }^{17}$ It is plausible that this kind of equality holds more generally, but we do not explore this here.

[^14]:    ${ }^{18}$ In fact, it would be enough to assume it for the representation $\pi$ in order to prove Theorem D for $\pi$, at the cost of some complication in the exposition.

[^15]:    ${ }^{19}$ The abuse of notation with respect to (10.3.2) should cause no confusion.

[^16]:    ${ }^{20}$ This diagram should also replace an incorrect one in [Dis17, (4.1.4)].

[^17]:    ${ }^{21}$ These are not the most general possible; for instance, the crystalline condition at $p$-adic places is not necessary.

